VOLUME 5

PAGES 791 - 1004

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DIGITAL REG OF TEXAS, LLC

PLAINTIFF,) NO. C-12-1971 CW

VS.) FRIDAY, AUGUST 29, 2014

ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,) OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

DEFENDANTS.) JURY TRIAL

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN, JUDGE

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF: DINOVO, PRICE, ELLWANGER & HARDY LLP

7000 NORTH MOPAC EXPRESSWAY, SUITE 350

AUSTIN, TEXAS 78731

BY: ANDREW G. DINOVO, ESQUIRE

ADAM G. PRICE, ESQUIRE GREGORY DONAHUE, ESQUIRE JAY D. ELLWANGER, ESQUIRE NICOLE E. GLAUSER, ESQUIRE

BARTKO ZANKEL BUNZEL MILLER

ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 942111

BY: W. PAUL SCHUCK, ESQUIRE

ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL FARLEY, COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)

REPORTED BY: DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

1			
1			
2			
3			
4	FOR DEFENDANT ADOBE SYSTEMS:		WEILL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP 201 REDWOOD SHORES PARKWAY
5	ADOBE SISIEMS.		REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 94065 EDWARD R. REINES, ESQUIRE
6		DI:	SONAL N. MEHTA, ESQUIRE
7			BYRON BEEBE, ESQUIRE ANANT PRADHAN, ESQUIRE
8			
9	ALSO PRESENT:		PAUL BETLEM, COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE KAREN ROBINSON, IN-HOUSE COUNSEL
10			NAMEN ROBINSON, IN HOUSE COONSEL
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	INDEX									
2	DEFENDANT'S WITNESS:		PAGE	VOL.						
3	WICKER, STEPHEN									
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DI	JMED)	802	5						
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS.		828	5						
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR.		836							
7	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:									
8	HERBACH, JONATHAN (ADVERSE)									
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. E	ELLWANGER		840	5					
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RE		867	5						
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. EI		909	5						
12	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR.		922	5						
13	PARR, RUSSELL									
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. F		924	5						
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RE		973	5						
16	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS:	EVD.	VOL.							
17	95	948	5							
18	154	865	5							
19	178	912	5							
20	181	967	5							
21	182	857	5							
22										
23	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS:									
24	497	895	5							
25										

FRIDAY, AUGUST 29, 2014 8:23 A.M. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 THE CLERK: REMAIN SEATED. (PROCEEDINGS OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) 4 5 MR. REINES: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. 6 7 MS. GLAUSER: GOOD MORNING. 8 THE COURT: SO YOU SAW THIS NOTE FROM THE JURY. 9 MR. REINES: YES, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT ITS TONE: 11 "DOES THE COURT CARE THAT THIS JUROR WAS UNABLE TO HEAR" 12 IS A LITTLE PASSIVE-AGGRESSIVE. 13 MR. REINES: I DON'T KNOW. 14 THE COURT: HE DID RAISE HIS HAND, AND I DIDN'T WANT 15 TO TAKE HIS QUESTION VERBALLY. HE GAVE THE NOTE YESTERDAY. 16 THIS IS THE SAME GUY, NUMBER ONE, THAT SAID "I CAN'T HEAR." 17 BUT I THOUGHT THAT MEANT HE COULDN'T HEAR. BUT I GUESS IT MEANT HE HAD MORE PROBLEMS THAN THAT. SO I THOUGHT THAT WHAT 18 19 I WOULD SAY IS THAT, OF COURSE, THE COURT IS CONCERNED THAT 20 ALL THE JURORS CAN HEAR. HOWEVER, I CAN'T TAKE VERBAL 21 COLLOOUY WITH YOU DURING THE TRIAL. BUT IF YOU CAN'T HEAR OR 22 YOU CAN'T UNDERSTAND, I THOUGHT I COULD TELL THEM TO RAISE 23 THEIR HAND AND PUT THEIR HAND BESIDE THEIR EAR, AND WE WOULD ALL TRY TO WATCH FOR THAT, AND REMIND WHICHEVER WITNESS IT WAS 24

25

TO SPEAK UP.

MR. REINES: THAT MAKES SENSE, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 THE COURT: I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING ELSE TO DO. I 3 DON'T KNOW IF DR. DEVANBU WILL BE BACK ON THE STAND. I DID NOTICE THAT HE HAS A BIT OF A WAY OF TAILING OFF AT THE END OF 4 5 SENTENCES. IT WASN'T A OUESTION OF LOUDNESS OR SPEAKING INTO THE MIC, BUT A KIND OF TAILING OFF OF THE SENTENCES. 6 7 SO IF HE IS GOING TO BE BACK ON THE STAND, YOU MIGHT WORK 8 WITH HIM ON MAKING SURE HE KEEPS HIS VOICE UP AT THE END OF PHRASES AND SENTENCES, AS WELL. 9 10 I CAN ALSO TELL THEM WHILE THERE IS NO TRANSCRIPT, THEY 11 CAN ASK FOR READBACK. IF THERE ARE PARTS THAT THEY FEEL THEY 12 MISSED, THEY SHOULD MAKE A NOTE OF THAT. AND IF IT IS NEEDED 13 DURING DELIBERATIONS, THEY CAN ASK FOR READBACK. I HOPE I REMEMBER TO SAY ALL THOSE THINGS. 14 15 MR. REINES: THAT IS ONE WE MIGHT REGRET. 16 THE COURT: TRUE. BUT, I MEAN, HE SAYING HE HAS 17 MISSED A LOT OF TESTIMONY. I CAN'T IGNORE THAT. 18 MR. DINOVO: JUST THINKING OUT LOUD, YOUR HONOR, ONE 19 APPROACH MIGHT BE FOR BOTH PARTIES' DEMONSTRATIVES TO BE 20 PUBLISHED TO THE JURY IN THE JURY ROOM. 21 THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN TALK ABOUT THAT WITH EACH 22 OTHER. 23 MR. REINES: RIGHT. WHY DON'T WE MEET AND CONFER ON THAT? 24 25 THE COURT: AND YOU MIGHT NEED TO CLEAN THEM UP A

BIT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SO WHAT DID I SAY? WE WOULD HAVE A SYMBOL. I DO CARE. WE HAVE A SYMBOL FOR HEARING. I DO CARE. WE CAN'T DISCUSS. SYMBOL FOR NOT HEARING. AND READBACK.

IS THERE SOMETHING ELSE I WAS GOING TO SAY?

MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, TURNING TO THE ADOBE VALUE PROPOSITION DOCUMENT, THE -- ONE OF THE NEW VERSIONS THAT WAS UNPRODUCED DURING DISCOVERY, WHICH WE RECEIVED YESTERDAY WHEN WE RECEIVED TWO VERSIONS HAD METADATA WHICH RAISED EVEN MORE CONCERNS.

FIRST, THE NAME OF THE DOCUMENT, YOUR HONOR, IS SUN VALUE PROPOSITION, AS IN SUN MICROSYSTEMS VALUE PROPOSITION. SO THAT IS OF CONCERN. AND THEN, THE PARTY THAT LAST SAVED IT, ACCORDING TO THE METADATA, WAS NOT MR. VENTERS. IT WAS A FELLOW -- AT LEAST IN ONE OF THE CASES -- CALLED "BARRY PHILLIPS."

SO THAT FURTHER UNDERMINES HIS FOUNDATION TO TALK ABOUT WHAT'S IN THE DOCUMENT.

THE SECOND THING, YOUR HONOR, IS, JUST TO CLARIFY MY STATEMENTS, AND FRANKLY CLARIFY THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN SAID BY THE OTHER SIDE, YOU KNOW, WITH THE BENEFIT OF LOOKING MORE THAN BETWEEN QUESTIONS YESTERDAY, THE ONE THAT WE SAID DOESN'T MENTION THE '670 PATENT, DOESN'T MENTION THE '670 PATENT.

WHAT IT DOES DO IS IT REFERS TO A TRACKING OF ELECTRONIC CONTENT. THAT'S THE -- IT SAYS "TRACKING ELECTRONIC CONTENT",

IN OUOTE, "PATENT BY THE U.S. PATENT OFFICE." 1 2 I THINK IT PROBABLY HADN'T ISSUED AT THIS DATE BECAUSE THE 3 MEETING SUPPOSEDLY -- AT LEAST ORIGINALLY -- HAPPENED IN JUNE, AND MAYBE IT HAPPENED BEFORE IT ISSUED OR NOT. THERE IS JUST 4 5 SO MANY OUESTIONS ABOUT WHICH VERSION OF THE DOCUMENT WAS 6 GIVEN. 7 AND, ESSENTIALLY, THE TESTIMONY IS, REALLY, TWO THINGS. 8 THE TESTIMONY --9 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO ABOUT THIS? 10 I THOUGHT WE DECIDED YESTERDAY WHAT WE WERE GOING TO DO. 11 MR. REINES: RIGHT. WE HAVE FOUR REQUESTS. WE HAD ASKED FOR A DEPOSITION. THE OTHER SIDE WOULD NOT CONSENT TO 12 13 THAT. THE COURT: NOW, WE'RE -- WE SAID THAT YESTERDAY, 14 15 RIGHT? WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A DEPOSITION. 16 MS. GLAUSER: YOUR HONOR, WE UNDERSTOOD YESTERDAY 17 THAT YOU WANTED US TO SUBMIT EACH OF THE VERSIONS WITH AN 18 EXPLANATION OF WHAT IT WAS, AND WE WOULD TAKE UP WHETHER THE 19 DEPOSITION WAS ACTUALLY IS NECESSARY. 20 THE COURT: LET US SCHEDULE A DEPOSITION. 21 MR. REINES: THE SECOND THING, YOUR HONOR, IS WHAT WE 22 WOULD LIKE TO SEE IS THE FILE STRUCTURE OF MR. VENTERS, AT 23 LEAST AS IT RELATES TO THE ADOBE VALUE PROPOSITION DOCUMENTS SO THAT WE SEE WHAT THEY ARE. 24

I MEAN, WE JUST GOT TWO MORE I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS

```
LIMITED TO THAT '04 TIME FRAME OF THE SUMMER, BUT --
 1
 2
                THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN BY "FILE
 3
       STRUCTURE," BUT WHY DON'T YOU TELL THEM WHAT IT IS THAT YOU
      WANT --
 4
 5
               MR. REINES: WE DID.
                THE COURT: -- AND YOU GIVE IT TO THEM. OKAY.
 6
 7
               MR. REINES: WE WOULD GO ONE FURTHER, AND THAT IS THE
 8
      MAC COMPUTER THAT NOW HAS THESE DOCUMENTS, WHICH ARE A MESS,
 9
      WE WOULD ASK FOR FORENSICS. OUR FORENSICS PEOPLE TELL US WITH
10
      THAT DOCUMENT, WITH THAT ABILITY, WE WILL BE ABLE TO DETERMINE
11
      MORE ABOUT THE BEGINNING OF THIS DOCUMENT, WHICH IS CALLED
12
       "SUN VALUE PROPOSITION DOCUMENT," AND BEEN RENAMED "ADOBE
13
      VALUE PROPOSITION DOCUMENT."
14
          OH, BY THE WAY, BOTH OF THE NEW ONES.
15
                THE COURT: SO THEY WERE JUST CUT AND PASTED FOR
16
      VARIOUS CLIENTS, BUT --
17
               MR. REINES: THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN --
18
                THE COURT: HAVE HIM BRING THE COMPUTER WITH HIM.
19
               MS. GLAUSER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I RESPOND? HE HAS THE
20
      COMPUTER WITH HIM, AND WE ARE HAPPY TO PRESENT IT TO THE COURT
21
      FOR IN CAMERA REVIEW. BUT THERE'S NO --
                THE COURT: HIS COMPUTER? WHAT WOULD I KNOW ABOUT
22
23
      IT? I CAN'T TURN A MAC ON.
24
               MS. GLAUSER: THERE IS NO BASIS TO TURN OVER
25
      MR. VENTERS' PERSONAL COMPUTER FOR ADOBE TO DO WHATEVER IT
```

WANTS AND IMAGE FORENSICALLY. IF THEY WANT TO TAKE THE 1 2 DEPOSITION AND ASK MR. VENTERS ABOUT THESE DOCUMENTS, THEN WE 3 WILL COME REPLY WITH THE ORDER DO SO. THE COURT: ALL I'M SAYING IS HAVE HIM BRING THE 4 5 LAPTOP -- IT IS A LAPTOP, RIGHT? MS. GLAUSER: IT IS. 6 7 MR. REINES: YES. 8 THE COURT: HAVE HIM BRING IT TO THE DEPOSITION. WE 9 ARE NOT GOING TO TAKE IT APART AT THE DEPOSITION. BUT IF 10 SOMETHING COMES UP, AND HE CAN PULL IT UP AND SAY, SEE, HERE 11 IS MY DIRECTORY. AND HERE IS MY PATH. AND HERE'S THE DIFFERENT DOCUMENTS. ******* 12 13 AND THEY CAN LOOK AT IT ON THE SCREEN, TAKE SOME SCREENSHOTS OF IT, OR WHATEVER, THAT MIGHT BE HELPFUL. 14 15 AND IF WE NEED MORE THAN THAT BECAUSE SUSPICIONS AREN'T 16 ALLAYED BY THE WHOLE PROCESS, THEN WE WILL CONSIDER A FORENSIC 17 ANALYSIS OR IMAGING OF IT, IN PARTICULAR THE HARDWARE, OR 18 WHATEVER. 19 MS. GLAUSER: WE WOULD REQUEST, YOUR HONOR, THAT ANY 20 DEPOSITION BE LIMITED. AND SO WE WOULD SEEK YOUR GUIDANCE ON 21 THE APPROPRIATE TIME FOR IT. WE WOULD SUGGEST --22 THE COURT: FOUR HOURS. 23 MR. REINES: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. 24 MS. GLAUSER: WE WOULDN'T SAY THAT FOUR HOURS WOULD

25

BE NECESSARY.

```
1
                THE COURT: I WOULD HOPE YOU WOULDN'T USE MORE THAN
 2
       YOU NEEDED, BUT I DON'T WANT A BIG FIGHT ABOUT NOW, IT IS TWO.
 3
      NOW, IT IS TWO HOURS AND 15.
 4
          SO NOT MORE THAN FOUR.
               MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, THE FINAL THING IS THAT
 5
       DIGITAL REG SUBMITTED A BRIEF ON THIS ISSUE THIS MORNING THAT
 6
 7
       I DON'T THINK THE COURT OBVIOUSLY HAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO
 8
       LOOK AT IT. WE WOULD LIKE TO OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND. WE CAN
 9
      DO THAT OVER THE WEEKEND.
10
                THE COURT: OKAY.
11
               MR. REINES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12
               MS. GLAUSER: AND IS IT CLEAR, YOUR HONOR, THE
13
       DEPOSITION IS TO BE LIMITED IN SCOPE TO THE ADOBE VALUE
14
       PROPOSITION DOCUMENT?
15
                THE COURT: WELL, AND THE MEETING AND DISCOVERY
16
      EFFORTS THAT WERE MADE TO PRODUCE IT.
17
               MR. REINES: AND THIS WITNESS ISSUE ABOUT THE WAYBACK
      MACHINE, AND ALL THAT, YOUR HONOR?
18
19
                THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT, THE
20
      MEETING: THE PARTICIPANTS, THE DATE, THE MATERIALS, BEFORE,
21
      DURING AND AFTER.
22
               MR. REINES: THANK YOU.
23
               MS. GLAUSER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
24
                THE COURT: OKAY. WE CAN HAVE THE WITNESS BACK ON
25
       THE STAND, AND WE WILL BRING IN THE JURY. AND WE ARE ON
```

1 DIRECT, STILL, ARE WE? 2 MS. MEHTA: NO, YOUR HONOR. WE ARE ON 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION. THE COURT: OKAY. 4 5 (PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) THE COURT: PLEASE BE SEATED. 6 7 GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. AND THANK YOU FOR 8 BEING TIMELY, AS USUAL. I JUST WANT TO SET UP A PROTOCOL 9 HERE. I'M VERY CONCERNED THAT ALL OF YOU ARE ABLE TO HEAR AND 10 UNDERSTAND ALL OF THE WITNESS TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS AS IT 11 COMES IN. 12 I CAN'T DISCUSS THE CASE OR ENTER INTO A COLLOQUY WITH YOU 13 FROM THE JURY BOX, BUT I THOUGHT WHAT I COULD DO IS SET UP A 14 PROTOCOL WHEREBY IF YOU CAN'T HEAR SOMETHING OR CAN'T 15 UNDERSTAND IT OR CAN'T SEE IT, THAT YOU WOULD RAISE YOUR HAND 16 AND GO LIKE THIS (INDICATING). 17 SO YOU RAISE YOUR HAND, BUT YOU ALSO DO THIS (INDICATING) 18 SO I KNOW YOU ARE NOT ASKING TO COMMENT ON SOMETHING, BUT 19 TELLING ME YOU CAN'T HEAR OR, LIKE THIS, (INDICATING) IF YOU 20 CAN'T SEE. 21 I CAN'T LOOK AT YOU ALL THE TIME BECAUSE I AM TRYING TO 22 FOLLOW ALONG, TOO. BUT I WILL KEEP A CLOSER EYE ON YOU. THE 23 ATTORNEYS WILL, TOO. IF THEY SEE SOMEBODY WITH THEIR EAR UP 24 WE WILL TRY TO BE MORE CONSCIOUS OF THAT AND TRY AND DEAL WITH 25 THE ISSUE.

- 1 Q. AND YOU DIDN'T REVIEW THE SOURCE CODE OTHER THAN WHAT
- 2 DR. DEVANBU PUT IN HIS REPORT, RIGHT?
 - A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 4 | Q. AND YOU AGREED WITH DR. DEVANBU'S ANALYSIS OF THAT SOURCE
- 5 CODE?

- A. YES. THE CODE HE LOOKED AT AND CHARACTERIZED, I HAD NO
- 7 PROBLEM WITH THAT.
- 8 0. DO YOU FURTHER AGREE WITH ADOBE THAT THE SOURCE CODE
- 9 DESCRIBES EXACTLY HOW THE PRODUCT WORKS AND WHAT THE COMPUTER
- 10 I IS INTENDED TO DO?
- 11 A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
- 12 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH ME, DR. WICKER, THAT WHEN AN ACROBAT
- 13 CLIENT WANTS TO OPEN A PROTECTED PDF, THE CLIENT NEEDS TO KNOW
- 14 WHAT TYPE OF AUTHENTICATION ARE AVAILABLE TO KNOW WHAT TYPE OF
- 15 INFORMATION IS NEEDED?
- 16 A. YES. THERE WILL BE A SET OF RULES THAT HAVE TO BE
- 17 FOLLOWED TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THAT DOCUMENT CAN BE
- 18 OPENED.
- 19 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, THEN, THAT
- 20 AUTHENTICATION OCCURS IN THE LIVECYCLE PRODUCT?
- 21 A. YES, IT DOES.
- 22 **Q.** DO YOU AGREE THAT WHEN AN ACROBAT CLIENT TRIES TO OPEN A
- 23 DOCUMENT IT SENDS THE DOCUMENT I.D. TO THE SERVER?
- 24 A. IN LIVECYCLE, YES, IT DOES.
- 25 Q. DO YOU AGREE, DR. WICKER, THAT WHEN AN ACROBAT CLIENT

- 1 OPENS THE DOCUMENT, THE OPERATING SYSTEM MAY COMMUNICATE AN IP
- 2 ADDRESS TO THE SERVER?
- 3 \parallel **A.** That is an option. It is a possibility.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. Do you also agree, sir, that livecycle can track the use
- 5 AND ACCESS OF DOCUMENTS?
- 6 A. AGAIN, THAT IS AMONG THE MANY CONFIGURATIONS THAT CAN BE
- 7 ESTABLISHED, YES.
 - O. THAT IS ONE SUCH CONFIGURATION?
- 9 A. YES, THAT IS POSSIBLE.
- 10 Q. IT IS TAUGHT BY ADOBE IN ITS INSTRUCTIONS?
- 11 A. YES, IN THE LIVECYCLE MANUALS.
- 12 **Q.** DO YOU AGREE THAT IN ALL CONFIGURATIONS OF VERSION EIGHT
- 13 | OF LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT, THE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS
- 14 MANAGEMENT SERVER WILL CREATE A VOUCHER AND RETURN THAT
- 15 VOUCHER TO THE CLIENT?
- 16 A. YOU ARE ASSUMING THE ONLINE MODE. IN THE ONLINE MODE,
- 17 YES.

- 18 Q. YOU DON'T BELIEVE IT'S TRUE IN ALL CONFIGURATIONS?
- 19 | A. I WOULD NEED TO GO OVER THE LIST OF CONFIGURATIONS TO MAKE
- 20 SURE. IT IS CERTAINLY TRUE IN MANY OF THE CONFIGURATIONS.
- 21 Q. MORE GENERALLY, DR. WICKER, DO YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT
- 22 ADOBE INSTRUCTS ITS CUSTOMERS IN THE USE AND OPERATION OF THE
- 23 ACCUSED PRODUCTS?
- 24 A. YES, THAT'S THE CASE.
- 25 Q. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO THE LICENSING FEATURES OF ADOBE

1	MR. DINOVO: MS. MASON, COULD YOU PLEASE PLAY BACK
2	C132?
3	THE COURT: WELL, IF YOU ARE READING A DEPOSITION, I
4	NEED TO GET THE PAGE AND LINE.
5	MR. DINOVO: YES, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS FROM
6	DR. WICKER'S DEPOSITION ON SEPTEMBER 23RD, PAGE 120, LINES 2
7	THROUGH 5.
8	THE COURT: SOMEBODY GAVE ME A BINDER.
9	(DEPOSITION VIDEO BEGAN PLAYING.)
10	THE COURT: I AM SORRY. COULD YOU STOP, PLEASE?
11	SOMEBODY GAVE ME A BINDER, BUT IT ONLY HAS 123 AND 124.
12	WHOEVER TOOK HIS DEPOSITION NEEDS TO HAVE THE ORIGINAL AND
13	GIVE IT TO ME SO I CAN LOOK AT IT.
14	MR. DINOVO: I THINK THAT'S SIMPLY AN ERROR ON THE
15	LABEL. IT IS ACTUALLY 9-23 AND 9-24.
16	THE COURT: OH.
17	MS. MEHTA: AND, YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT TO THE VIDEO
18	CLIP THAT THEY HAVE PLAYED. THEY ARE PLAYING AN ANSWER
19	WITHOUT ACTUALLY PLAYING THE QUESTION. THEY NEED TO HAVE THE
20	COMPLETE QUESTION AND ANSWER.
21	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, I AM STILL SEARCHING FOR THE
22	PAGE AND LINE.
23	MR. DINOVO: OKAY. WE CAN READ IT ALOUD IF THAT
24	WOULD ALLAY COUNSEL'S CONCERNS.
25	THE COURT: WELL, THAT WOULDN'T HELP, EITHER, BECAUSE

1	I STILL DON'T KNOW THE PAGE AND LINE.
2	MR. DINOVO: I AM SORRY. IT'S 9-23, WHICH IS
3	NOTWITHSTANDING THE TAB, BUT THE DEPOSITION YOU HAVE, THE
4	FIRST DEPOSITION YOU HAVE OF DR. WICKER.
5	THE COURT: OKAY.
6	MR. DINOVO: AND THE ANSWER IS ON 120, 2 THROUGH 5.
7	BUT TO ADDRESS COUNSEL'S CONCERN WE WILL READ THE PRECEDING
8	QUESTION, AS WELL.
9	THE COURT: OKAY.
10	(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
11	MR. DINOVO: (READING)
12	"QUESTION: IN THE CONTEXT OF OUR DISCUSSION WITH
13	LICENSING, ADOBE KNOWS THAT THE LICENSING PROTECTION
14	ASSOCIATED WITH AMT"
15	MS. MEHTA: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS ABOUT THE
16	PRODUCT THAT WAS EXCLUDED FROM THE CASE. AND THIS IS A
17	VIOLATION OF MIL RULING ON THE MIL NUMBER THREE. AMT IS NOT A
18	PRODUCT IN THE CASE.
19	MR. DINOVO: YOUR HONOR, IF I CAN ADDRESS THAT. AMT,
20	THE CODE THAT THEY GAVE US, ALM2.0
21	THE COURT: WE ARE REALLY GETTING INTO THE WEEDS
22	HERE. LET'S GO INTO SOMETHING ELSE, AND I'LL CHECK BACK WHAT
23	THE QUESTION WAS AND WHETHER THIS IS REALLY IMPEACHING OF IT,
24	AND YOU CAN TAKE IT UP AT THE BREAK.
25	MR. DINOVO: ALL RIGHT. VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. DINOVO 2 Q. NOW, YOU I

- Q. NOW, YOU DID TESTIFY, DIDN'T YOU, DR. WICKER, THAT ADOBE
- 3 DOES EXERT SOME DEGREE OF CONTROL?
- 4 A. YES. WHAT I SAID EARLIER WAS I DON'T KNOW THE EXTENT OF THAT CONTROL.
- Q. WELL, YOU KNOW ONE WAY THAT IT EXERTS CONTROL IS TO LIMIT
 THE USE AND MODIFICATION OF ITS EXECUTABLES.
 - A. THAT'S CORRECT.

8

12

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

- 9 Q. FOR EXAMPLE, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT ADOBE DOESN'T

 10 DISTRIBUTE ITS SOURCE CODE BECAUSE THAT WOULD BE EASIER FOR

 11 SOMEONE TO MODIFY AND ADAPT TO A DIFFERENT PURPOSE.
 - A. THAT IS GENERALLY TRUE, YES.
- Q. WELL, ADOBE DOESN'T GENERALLY DISTRIBUTE ITS SOURCE CODE,

 DOES IT?
 - MS. MEHTA: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION, SPECULATION AND
 IT IS ALL OUTSIDE THE SCOPE.
- 17 **THE COURT:** WELL, YOU CAN REPHRASE IT, I GUESS.
 - MR. DINOVO: HE'S TESTIFIED ABOUT THIS IN DEPOSITION,

 YOUR HONOR. THESE ARE QUESTIONS DIRECTLY OUT OF HIS

 DEPOSITION WHERE HE RESPONDED AFFIRMATIVELY.
 - THE COURT: WELL, SOMETIMES -- IF YOU ARE SAYING THE

 OBJECTION WAS WAIVED BECAUSE IT WASN'T RAISED AT THE

 DEPOSITION? IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?
- 24 MR. DINOVO: THERE WAS NO OBJECTION RAISED TO THE 25 QUESTION.

1	THE COURT: OKAY.
2	MS. MEHTA: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR. IF I CAN HAVE
3	THE PAGE AND LINE.
4	THE COURT: SOME OBJECTIONS ARE WAIVED AND SOME
5	AREN'T. I DON'T KNOW. JUST BECAUSE IT WAS ASKED AT THE
6	DEPOSITION DOESN'T MAKE IT AUTOMATICALLY ADMISSIBLE AT TRIAL,
7	I DON'T THINK, UNLESS IT IS SOME SORT OF MANDATORY OBJECTION
8	THAT IS WAIVED IF IT'S NOT MADE, AND I
9	(SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)
10	MR. DINOVO: LET ME
11	THE COURT: BUT, YOU COULD REPHRASE IT TO ASK WHETHER
12	HE HAS EVER TRIED TO GET ADOBE SOURCE CODE. I MEAN, IT'S A
13	RATHER BROAD QUESTION
14	MR. DINOVO: OKAY.
15	THE COURT: FOR HIM TO KNOW THE ANSWER TO
16	MR. DINOVO: FAIR ENOUGH.
17	THE COURT: FROM HIS PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE.
18	MR. DINOVO: ALLOW ME TO REPHRASE, DR. WICKER.
19	BY MR. DINOVO:
20	Q. HOW MANY HOURS HAVE YOU SPENT ON THIS CASE, INCLUDING THE
21	LAST WEEK?
22	A. IT WOULD JUST BE A ROUGH GUESS, BUT I WOULD SAY ON THE
23	ORDER OF 200, 250.
24	Q. AND OVER THE COURSE OF THAT TIME, YOU'VE TALKED TO A
25	NUMBER OF ADOBE PERSONNEL, RIGHT?

- 1 A. YES, I HAVE.
- 2 Q. AND YOU'VE DONE SOME INVESTIGATION INTO THE OPERATION OF
- 3 ADOBE'S PRODUCTS?
- 4 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 5 O. HAVE YOU EVER ENCOUNTERED DURING THAT TIME ANY INSTANCE
- 6 WHERE ADOBE DISTRIBUTES SOURCE CODE TO ITS CUSTOMERS?
- 7 **A.** THE ONLY INSTANCE I KNOW OF IN WHICH SOURCE CODE WAS
- 8 OBTAINED WAS UNDER A PROTECTIVE ORDER.
- 9 Q. AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE LAWYERS AND THE EXPERTS IN THIS
- 10 CASE?
- 11 A. EXACTLY.
- 12 **Q.** AND NONE OF THE ADOBE WITNESSES EVER TOLD YOU THAT SOURCE
- 13 CODE IS DISTRIBUTED?
- 14 A. NO ONE HAS EVER SAID THAT TO ME.
- 15 Q. AND, IN GENERAL, IN YOUR EXPERIENCE IN THE INDUSTRY,
- 16 COMPANIES ARE MORE PROTECTIVE ABOUT THEIR SOURCE CODE THAN
- 17 THEY WOULD BE ABOUT OBJECT CODE, BECAUSE THAT SORT OF THING,
- 18 SOURCE CODE IS EASIER TO MANIPULATE AND CHANGE.
- 19 A. AND TO LEARN FROM, AS WELL, YES.
- 20 Q. DR. WICKER, DO YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT THE '541 PATENT --
- 21 MR. DINOVO: AND, MS. MASON, CAN YOU PULL UP
- 22 PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 1, CLAIM 1.
- 23 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
- 24 BY MR. DINOVO
- 25 Q. IS IT ON YOUR MONITOR, DR. WICKER? YOU CAN SEE IT THERE.

1	A. YES. I'VE GOT IT.									
2	Q. WE TOUCHED ON THIS A BIT YESTERDAY. I JUST WANT TO HAVE A									
3	FULL UNDERSTANDING OF YOUR OPINION.									
4	DO YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT CLAIM 1 ALLOWS FOR THE INPUT OF									
5	THE PERMISSION GENERATED LOCALLY TO BE RECEIVED FROM AN									
6	EXTERNAL SOURCE?									
7	A. I WOULD AGREE THAT IN LOOKING AT THE LAST ELEMENT BASED									
8	ON WHAT IT STATES HERE IS THAT THE PERMISSION IS GENERATED									
9	AT THE CLIENT. BASED ON THAT AND THE FILE HISTORY, I WOULD									
10	DISAGREE.									
11	MR. DINOVO: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO USE YOUR									
12	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER FOR THE LIMITED PURPOSE OF POINTING									
13	OUT TO DR. WICKER THAT THIS LANGUAGE									
14	THE COURT: YOU'RE MAKING A SPEAKING OBJECTION HERE,									
15	IT SEEMS, OR ABOUT TO TELL ME WHAT IT IS YOU WANT TO SAY									
16	MR. DINOVO: ALL RIGHT.									
17	THE COURT: BEFORE I GIVE YOU PERMISSION TO SAY									
18	IT. SO									
19	MR. DINOVO: MAY WE APPROACH?									
20	THE COURT: NO, I DON'T DO SIDEBARS. IF YOU WANT TO									
21	POINT TO A PAGE AND LINE OF THE ORDER THAT YOU THINK YOU WANT									
22	TO TALK ABOUT.									
23	MS. MEHTA: AND, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT TO THAT									
24	BECAUSE THEY WERE THE ONES THAT MOVED IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE									
25	DISCUSSION OF A CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER, AND WE ABIDED BY THE									

1	COURT'S EXAMINATION AND DIDN'T USE IT ON CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
2	DR. DEVANBU.
3	MR. DINOVO: QUITE THE
4	THE COURT: THEY CAN ASK, AND I WILL LOOK, AND YOU
5	CAN LOOK, TOO.
6	MR. DINOVO: FAIR ENOUGH, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: WHAT PAGE AND LINE DID YOU HAVE IN MIND?
8	MR. DINOVO: YES, YOUR HONOR. IT'S PAGE 34, THE
9	FINAL SENTENCE. WELL, THE FINAL FULL SENTENCE ON THE PAGE.
10	AND
11	(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
12	THE COURT: THIS WAS A CONSTRUCTION THAT WAS AGREED
13	TO.
14	MS. MEHTA: YES, YOUR HONOR, BUT THEY ARE QUOTING
15	FROM THE ANALYSIS ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND THEY MOVED IN
16	LIMINE TO PRECLUDE THAT FROM COMING IN.
17	THE COURT: THIS WAS A CONSTRUCTION THAT WAS AGREED
18	TO.
19	MR. DINOVO: YES, YOUR HONOR. AND THIS WAS
20	PRECISELY
21	THE COURT: IT'S NOT IT ISN'T SOMETHING THE COURT
22	HAD TO RESOLVE IN ORDER TO DO THE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BECAUSE
23	YOU AGREED TO IT. SO THAT WOULDN'T BE WITHIN THE REALM OF THE
24	EXCEPTION TO THE MOTION IN LIMINE ORDER. SO THE OBJECTION IS
25	SUSTAINED.

1	MR. DINOVO: ALL RIGHT.
2	THE COURT: OR THE PERMISSION TO USE IT IS DENIED.
3	BY MR. DINOVO
4	Q. DR. WICKER, YOU REVIEWED CERTAIN PATENTS FOR
5	COMPARABILITY, DIDN'T YOU?
6	MS. MEHTA: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. AGAIN, THIS IS
7	OUTSIDE THE SCOPE. THERE WAS NO DISCUSSION OF COMPARABLE
8	PATENTS AT ALL DURING DIRECT EXAMINATION. WE ARE NOW GETTING
9	INTO A TOTALLY COLLATERAL MATTER THAT IS NOT PART OF DR.
10	WICKER'S
11	THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. YOU TALKED ABOUT THE WOLFE
12	PATENT AND MAYBE ONE OTHER.
13	MS. MEHTA: I THINK HE IS REFERRING TO OTHER
14	PATENTS
15	THE COURT: IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT A PATENT THAT
16	WASN'T DISCUSSED, THEN THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.
17	MR. DINOVO: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. I WILL MOVE ON.
18	BY MR. DINOVO
19	Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT YOUR SLIDE DECK, SIR.
20	MR. DINOVO: MS. MASON, CAN YOU PLEASE BRING UP SLIDE
21	17 FROM THE '541 PRESENTATION OF DR. WICKER?
22	(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
23	Q. ALL RIGHT, SIR. AND HERE YOU WERE REFERRING TO THE WOLFE
24	REFERENCE?
25	A. YES.

1	Q. YOU NEVER SET FORTH IN EITHER THIS REPORT OR ANY
2	INVALIDITY REPORT ANY ANALYSIS OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE '541
3	PATENT BEING MET BY WOLFE, HAVE YOU?
4	A. NO
5	MS. MEHTA: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. NOW WE ARE
6	GETTING INTO INVALIDITY TESTIMONY. THE WITNESS HASN'T
7	PRESENTED ON HIS OPINIONS ON INVALIDITY YET. THAT IS A WHOLE
8	DIFFERENT ISSUE FOR NEXT WEEK.
9	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
10	MR. DINOVO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11	BY MR. DINOVO
12	Q. SO IN THE BOTTOM BULLET POINT YOU MAKE THE STATEMENT THAT
13	DIGITAL REG WOULD NOT HAVE OTHERWISE GOTTEN THE PATENT, RIGHT?
14	A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
15	Q. SO MY QUESTION TO YOU, SIR, WAS DID, IN YOUR INFRINGEMENT
16	REPORT, OR ANY OTHER DOCUMENT THAT YOU'VE SUBMITTED IN THIS
17	CASE, DID YOU EVER DO A LINE-BY-LINE, ELEMENT-BY-ELEMENT
18	COMPARISON OF DIGITAL REG'S PATENTS AND WOLFE'S PATENT?
19	A. NO. MY POINT WAS MORE THAT THIS ARGUMENT WAS TO GET
20	AROUND WOLFE, AND, THEREFORE, GIVEN WHAT THE PATENT OFFICE HAD
21	SAID BEFORE, HAD THIS ARGUMENT NOT BEEN MADE, WOLFE WOULD HAVE
22	REMAINED A PROBLEM FOR THE PATENTEE.
23	Q. YOU ARE NOT PREPARED TODAY TO DO AN ANALYSIS OF WOLFE AND
24	HOLD IT UP AGAINST THE PATENT CLAIM, RIGHT?

A. I COULD. IT WOULD TAKE SOME TIME. I HAVEN'T DONE IT

	BEFORE
ш	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

18

19

- ALL RIGHT. AND DO YOU KNOW, FOR EXAMPLE, IF DURING THE SAME PATENT PROSECUTION THE PATENT OFFICE SAID THAT WOLFE DOES NOT DISCLOSE EXECUTING AN INSTALLATION PROCESS AT THE CLIENT BASED ON THE TOKEN RECEIVED?
- - I AM SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT THE OUESTION?
 - OF COURSE. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE PATENT OFFICE NOTED DURING PROSECUTION THAT WOLFE DOES NOT DISCLOSE EXECUTING AN
- 9 INSTALLATION PROCESS AT THE CLIENT BASED ON THE TOKEN
- 10 RECEIVED?
- 11 A. I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, BECAUSE THE EMPHASIS HERE IS ON WOLFE DOING THE GENERATION AT THE SERVER AS OPPOSED TO AT THE 12 13 CLIENT.
 - MR. DINOVO: ALL RIGHT. SLIDE 44 OF THE SAME PRESENTATION, PLEASE, MS. MASON.
- 16 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
- 17 MR. DINOVO: COULD YOU BLOW UP THE CENTER BOX,

PLEASE?

- 20 SO THE FIRST PASSAGE THAT YOU'VE HIGHLIGHTED SAYS:
- 21 "ADOBE LICENSE SERVER OR THE ALM BACK-END SERVICE SENDS
- 22 THE REQUESTER AN E-LICENSE."
- 23 RIGHT?
- 24 Α. THAT'S CORRECT.

BY MR. DINOVO

25 AND YOU UNDERSTAND THAT DR. DEVANBU SAYS THAT THE

- E-LICENSED IN AN ENCRYPTED FORMAT IS THE TOKEN, RIGHT? 1
- 2 THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, YES. A.
- 3 IS THERE A REASON YOU DECLINED TO HIGHLIGHT AS SIGNED Q.
- 4 TEXT?
- 5 NO. IT'S A LONG PIECE OF TEXT, AND SO I WANTED TO
- EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT THE E-LICENSE CAME FROM THE SERVER AS 6
- 7 OPPOSED TO BEING CREATED AT THE CLIENT.
- 8 O. DO YOU DEGREE THAT THE E-LICENSE IS ENCRYPTED IN THE FORM
- 9 THAT IT'S TRANSMITTED?
- 10 Α. YES, ABSOLUTELY.
- 11 AND THAT ENCRYPTION HAS TO BE TAKEN OFF OR DECRYPTED AT 0.
- 12 THE CLIENT IN ORDER TO BE USEFUL TO THE CLIENT?
- 13 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- AND AT THAT POINT THE E-LICENSE IS STORED AT THE CLIENT? 14 Q.
- 15 Α. YES.
- 16 ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO TO YOUR '670 PRESENTATION. 0.
- 17 MR. DINOVO: SLIDE EIGHT, PLEASE.
- 18 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
- BY MR. DINOVO 19
- 20 ALL RIGHT. THERE IS A LOT OF TEXT ON THIS SLIDE. I'M
- 21 HAVING TROUBLE SEEING IT. YOU REFERRED TO SOMETHING IN THE
- 22 PROSECUTION HISTORY ABOUT SELECTIVELY GRANTING ACCESS, RIGHT?
- 23 THIS IS FROM THE PROSECUTION HISTORY OF A RELATED PATENT,
- 24 NOT THE PATENT-IN-SUIT. BUT, YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
- 25 CLAIM 45 DOESN'T SPEAK TO SELECTIVELY GRANTING ACCESS,

DOES IT? 1 2 IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS "SELECTIVELY GRANTING" 3 LANGUAGE WAS CHANGED. THAT WAS AN ERROR. IT WAS CHANGED TO 4 "SELECTIVELY DENIED," LATER IN THE PROSECUTION OF THIS PATENT. 5 WELL, CLAIM 32 OF THE '670 PATENT DOES REQUIRE GRANTING ACCESS, RIGHT? 6 7 IT CALLS FOR DENYING ACCESS UNTIL SUCCESSFUL TRANSMISSION, 8 IF THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO. 9 WE MAY HAVE BEEN TALKING PAST ONE ANOTHER. I WAS 10 SWITCHING TO CLAIM 32 AND MAKING A COMPARISON. IF IT WOULD BE 11 HELPFUL TO HAVE EXHIBIT 3 IN FRONT OF YOU, SIR, JUST CONFIRM 12 FOR ME THAT CLAIM 32 DOES REQUIRE GRANTING ACCESS. CLAIM 45 13 DOES NOT. MS. MEHTA: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. CLAIM 32 IS NOT 14 15 ONE OF THE CLAIMS THAT IS ASSERTED FOR PURPOSES OF 16 INFRINGEMENT. SO WE ARE NOW GETTING INTO A WHOLE OTHER CLAIM 17 IN THE PATENT THAT IS NOT ONE OF THE ONES THAT IS BEING 18 ASSERTED FOR INFRINGEMENT. 19 MR. DINOVO: I'M SIMPLY TRYING TO JUXTAPOSE THE 20 DIFFERENCES IN THE CLAIMS, BECAUSE DR. WICKER IS REFERRING TO 21 A GRANTING ACCESS REQUIREMENT, WHICH IS NOT PRESENT IN 22 CLAIM 45. 23 THE COURT: WELL, COUNSEL IS CORRECT THAT CLAIM 32 24 ISN'T SUBJECT OF THIS EXAMINATION.

BY MR. DINOVO 1 2 ALL RIGHT, SIR. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT OTHER CLAIMS IN 3 THE PATENT ACTUALLY REFER TO GRANTING ACCESS? MS. MEHTA: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS THE SAME ISSUE. HE 4 5 JUST TAKES THE NUMBER OUT, AND HE'S STILL GOING INTO A WHOLE DIFFERENT AREA. 6 7 MR. DINOVO: YOUR HONOR, I'M JUST TRYING TO ESTABLISH THAT THERE ARE DIFFERENCES IN THE CLAIMS, AND -- LET ME 8 9 APPROACH THE QUESTION A DIFFERENT WAY. 10 BY MR. DINOVO 11 IS THE WORD -- DOES THE WORD "ACCESS" APPEAR ANYWHERE IN 12 CLAIM 45? AND --13 A. THE WORD "ACCESS" IN CLAIM 45? YES. WHERE DOES IT APPEAR? 14 Q. 15 READING FROM CREATING A FILE -- IN FACT, I CAN POINT TO Α. 16 IT. LOOK AT THE SECOND LIMITATION: 17 "CREATING A FILE THAT INCLUDES ELECTRONIC CONTENT AND 18 CAUSES ACCESS." 19 "CAUSES ACCESS TO BE DENIED." Q. 20 DOES IT IS REFER TO THE TIME THAT ACCESS IS GRANTED? 21 THE WAY THE LANGUAGE IS CONSTRUCTED WE HAVE: Α. 22 "CREATING A FILE THAT INCLUDES ELECTRONIC CONTENT AND 23 CAUSES ACCESS TO THE ELECTRONIC CONTENT TO BE DENIED UNTIL 24 NOTIFICATION INFORMATION, " ET CETERA.

SO THAT "UNTIL," I BELIEVE, TO A PERSON OF SKILL WOULD

MEAN THE DENIAL OF ACCESS IS MAINTAINED UNTIL. IN OTHER 1 2 WORDS, THE DENIAL IS REMOVED AFTER THE SUCCESSFUL 3 TRANSMISSION. 4 Q. OKAY. BUT, THE DISTINCTION THAT I'M DRAWING IS THERE IS 5 NO -- THE PASSAGE THAT YOU CITED FROM THE PROSECUTION HISTORY TALKED ABOUT GRANTING ACCESS AT A PARTICULAR TIME, RIGHT? 6 7 WELL, AGAIN, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THAT WAS AN 8 ERROR, AND IT WAS CHANGED TO "DENY" LATER IN THE PROSECUTION 9 OF THAT PARTICULAR PATENT. THE PASSAGE SAID "GRANTING ACCESS" THAT YOU PROPOSED TO 10 11 THE JURY TO CONSIDER. 12 A. WHAT I PUT ON THE SCREEN DID CONTAIN THE ERROR THAT SAYS 13 "GRANTS" AS OPPOSED TO "DENY." Q. WHY IS IT YOUR BELIEF THAT THAT'S AN ERROR? IS IT YOUR 14 15 VIEW THAT LANGUAGE ISN'T USED IN THE OTHER CLAIMS IN THE SAME 16 PATENT, IN THE '670 PATENT? 17 MS. MEHTA: YOUR HONOR, WE ARE BACK TO THE SAME ISSUE 18 AGAIN. 19 THE COURT: THERE'S TWO QUESTIONS. YOU CAN ASK THE 20 FIRST ONE. 21 BY MR. DINOVO 22 WHY DO YOU BELIEVE IT'S AN ERROR? 23 THE PROSECUTION HISTORY FOR THAT OTHER PATENT, THE RELATED Α.

PATENT, DOES INDICATE FOR THAT PARTICULAR CLAIM IT WAS CHANGED

24

25

TO "DENY."

- 1 Q. HAVE YOU IN THE COURSE OF REVIEWING YOUR OPINION REVIEWED 2 THE ENTIRETY OF THE '670 PATENT AT ISSUE?
- 3 YES. Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

- Q. DO YOU KNOW IF OTHER CLAIMS OF THE '670 PATENT REFER TO GRANTING ACCESS?
 - A. YES.

MR. DINOVO: MS. MASON, SLIDE 15 FROM THE '670 PRESENTATION, PLEASE.

(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)

BY MR. DINOVO

- 11 ALL RIGHT, SIR. ON THE LEFT COLUMN THERE THAT LOOKS TO BE
- 12 WHAT? THAT'S THE ACROBAT FAMILY OF PRODUCTS?
- 13 A. AT LEAST SOME OF THEM, YEAH.
- ALL RIGHT. AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE WHICH PRODUCTS? 14 Q.
- 15 WHAT IS SHOWN IS ADOBE ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL, ADOBE ACROBAT Α. 16 STANDARD AND THE ADOBE READER.
 - ALL RIGHT. AND THE RIGHT GRAPHIC IS WHAT? Q.
 - "ADOBE LIVECYCLE ES RIGHTS MANAGEMENT." Α.
- 19 SO, IN YOUR EXAMPLE, THE ACROBAT FAMILY, INCLUDING ACROBAT Q.
- 20 PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATES USING THE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS
- 21 MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY?
- 22 MS. MEHTA: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. NOW WE ARE 23 GETTING INTO A PRODUCT THAT IS NOT ACTUALLY ACCUSED. AND WE 24 ARE JUST USING AN ILLUSTRATION FROM A DOCUMENT TO SUGGEST THAT

25 SOMETHING IS ACCUSED NOT IS NOT ACCUSED.

1 MR. DINOVO: YOUR HONOR, I AM ASKING HIM WHAT HE 2 INTENDED TO DEPICT ON HIS OWN DEMONSTRATIVE. 3 THE COURT: IS THIS ONE THAT WAS SHOWN TO THE JURY DURING HIS DIRECT? 4 5 MR. DINOVO: YES. THE COURT: OKAY. 6 7 THE WITNESS: WHAT I INTENDED TO DEPICT HERE IS THAT 8 LIVECYCLE DOES NOT GRANT ACCESS UPON TRANSMISSION. THAT'S NOT 9 ENOUGH. THAT IS NOT WHAT DETERMINES WHETHER ACCESS IS 10 GRANTED. WHAT IS DETERMINED -- WHAT YOU WOULD HAVE TO GO 11 THROUGH -- I THINK CLICK THE MOUSE OR WHATEVER A COUPLE OF 12 TIMES. WHAT YOU WILL SEE IS ACCESS IS NOT GRANTED UNTIL THE 13 VOUCHER IS SENT FROM THE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT SERVER TO THE 14 CLIENT. 15 BY MR. DINOVO 16 O. ALL RIGHT? 17 SO IT IS NOT THE SUCCESSFUL TRANSMISSION. IT IS THE RETURN. IT IS THE PROVISION OF THE LICENSE OR VOUCHER. 18 19 I WAS DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION, DR. WICKER, TO THE 20 PRODUCTS THAT YOU'VE IDENTIFIED. AND SO LET'S FOCUS IN ON 21 ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL. ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL HAS THIS 22 CAPABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WITH LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT 23 SERVERS, RIGHT? 24 YES. Α. 25

ALL RIGHT. AND YOU UNDERSTAND THAT ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL

- 1 HAS LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT CODE EMBEDDED WITHIN THE
 - A. SOME VERSIONS, YES.

PRODUCT?

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. ALL RIGHT. WHICH VERSIONS?
- A. MY POINT IN SAYING THAT WAS THAT I DON'T KNOW WHICH

 VERSIONS DO OR DON'T. I KNOW THAT SOME DO.
- 7 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND THE REASON THAT ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL HAS
 8 THAT CAPABILITY IS WHAT?
 - A. IT'S DIGITAL RIGHTS MANAGEMENT. IT IS A WAY OF

 CONFIGURING THE USE THIS PARTICULAR APPLICATION WITHIN A

 CORPORATE ENVIRONMENT SO THAT A CERTAIN NUMBER OF LICENSES CAN

 BE GRANTED. CONTROL CAN BE PLACED ON WHO USES IT WHEN AND HOW

 OFTEN.
 - Q. OKAY. THANK YOU. LET'S TURN TO SLIDE 43.

 SO, HERE YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT INSTALLER DISTRIBUTION.

 AND IF I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY THAT'S WHERE SOMEONE DOWNLOADS A

 PROGRAM THAT ACTUALLY DOWNLOADS OTHER FILES TO INSTALL AN
 - A. THAT'S RIGHT. WHENEVER WE BUY -- TYPICALLY, THESE DAYS
 WHEN WE BUY SOFTWARE, AN INSTALLER IS WHAT IS PROVIDED. AND
 IT IS THAT INSTALLER THAT CONTROLS THE PLACEMENT OF THE
 APPLICATION ON THE CLIENT MACHINE.
 - Q. OKAY. DO YOU CONSIDER THAT USE OR MISUSE OF SOFTWARE?
 - A. THE USE OF AN INSTALLER?

EXECUTABLE FILE?

25 **Q.** YES.

1	A.	I	THINK	IT'S	PART	OF	THE	NATURAL	PROCESS	OF	ACQUIRING	AN
2	APP	T. T (CATION									

- Q. ALL RIGHT. AND DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND DR. DEVANBU'S

 TESTIMONY TO BE DIRECTED TO THE MISUSE OF SOFTWARE, THE

 INAPPROPRIATE COPYING OF SOFTWARE? I THINK HE MENTIONED A

 FLASH DRIVE OR EMAIL WHERE YOU ARE SENDING A FOLDER OR A GROUP

 OF FILES TO YOUR FRIEND OR PUTTING IT ON ANOTHER COMPUTER.
- A. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT DR. DEVANBU'S TESTIMONY WAS
 THAT IT WAS THE INSTALLER THAT WAS UNDER WHICH HE WAS READING
 THE CLAIM LANGUAGE. BEYOND THAT, I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND
 THE QUESTION.
- Q. SO, THE PERSON HAS TO GET THE FILE FROM SOMEWHERE, RIGHT?

 SO THIS -- LET'S ASSUME THIS PERSON INTENDS TO PIRATE. HE

 WOULD GET THE FILE FROM AN INSTALLER, CONCEIVABLY, RIGHT?

 THAT'S --
- A. IS THE FILE THE INSTALLER? IN OTHER WORDS, ARE YOU SAYING
 THAT A PERSON WHO WISHED TO PIRATE THE APPLICATION WOULD
 ACQUIRE THE INSTALLER?
- Q. I'M SAYING IN THE FIRST INSTANCE THERE HAS TO BE SOMEONE DOWNLOADING A PROGRAM. LET'S ASSUME THAT IS AN INSTALLER.
- A. OKAY.

- Q. IN THE SITUATION WHERE SOMEONE IS ACTUALLY PIRATING, IN
 OTHER WORDS, WHAT IS INTENDED TO BE PREVENTED BY DRM, THE
 INSTALLER IS NOT AT ISSUE. RIGHT?
 - A. WELL, THAT'S RIGHT. BECAUSE THERE IS NO DRM APPLIED TO

- 1 THE INSTALLER. THAT WAS ACTUALLY THE POINT I WAS MAKING. 2 Q. OKAY. BUT THE SITUATION OF SOMEONE PASSING A FOLDER WITH EXECUTABLE FILES ON A FLASH DRIVE, OR BY EMAIL, THAT'S NOT 3 4 WHAT IT IS ADDRESSED HERE. 5 A. NO, NO. THAT IS ACTUALLY MY POINT. THE INSTALLER IS --THERE'S NO PROBLEM IN MOVING THAT AROUND BECAUSE THERE IS NO 6 7 DRM. 8 O. ALL RIGHT. LET'S TURN TO SLIDE 46. 9 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) AND YOU TALKED ABOUT A FEW DESIGN-AROUND OPTIONS. I 10 11 WANTED TO TOUCH ON THOSE. ALL RIGHT? 12 A. YES. 13 SO, ONE OF THEM IS: "DO NOT ALLOW KEYS TO BE SAVED IN MEMORY." 14 15 SO THAT IS THIS NOTION OF CACHE WE HAVE BEEN TALKING 16 ABOUT, RIGHT? 17 THAT'S RIGHT. Α. AND IF YOU COULDN'T DO THAT, YOU WOULDN'T HAVE OFFLINE 18 19 ACCESS, RIGHT? 20 THIS WAS MORE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ONLINE VERSION. IF Α. 21 YOU OPEN UP A FILE AND ACOUIRE THE KEY ONLINE IN THE WAYS 22 WE'VE DESCRIBED AND DISCUSSED IN THE LAST DAY OR TWO, IT'S 23 THAT KEY THAT WOULD NOT BE SAVED.
 - OFFLINE, I DON'T UNDERSTAND TO BE AT ISSUE. THERE IS NO ACCESS, THERE IS NO SERVER, THEREFORE -- AND THE KEY COULD BE

- EMBEDDED IN THE DOCUMENT IN THAT SITUATION.
- 2 OKAY. BUT THE SITUATION, FOR EXAMPLE, OF LIVECYCLE, THERE
- 3 IS A PERMISSION THAT IS STORED IN MEMORY FOR OFFLINE USE,
- 4 RIGHT?

- 5 THE KEY IS ACTUALLY STORED IN THE DOCUMENT FOR ONLINE USE
- IF IT IS CONFIGURED THAT WAY. THAT IS A SPECIAL CONFIGURATION 6
- 7 THAT HAS TO BE DONE BY THE USER.
 - MY QUESTION WAS OFFLINE USE. Q.
- 9 Α. YES.
- AND SO THAT WOULD BE STORED SO THAT YOU CAN ACCESS IT 10
- 11 OFFLINE.
- 12 Α. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 13 Q. SO THAT WOULDN'T WORK VERY WELL.
- WHAT ABOUT LOCAL ACTIVATION PROCESS? HOW WOULD THAT WORK? 14
- IS THAT LIKE ENTERING A CD KEY LIKE I USED TO DO WHEN I HAD A 15
- 16 COMPUTER PROGRAM ON A CD?
- 17 EXACTLY. THAT WOULD BE ONE EXAMPLE OF LOCAL ACTIVATION. Α.
- THE POINT BEING THAT THERE IS NO REACHING OUT TO THE SERVER. 18
- 19 SO THAT IS NOT SEAMLESS TO THE USER, IS IT?
- 20 IT'S SOMETHING THE USER WOULD BE ACQUAINTED WITH. I DON'T Α.
- 21 THINK IT WOULD BE BURDENSOME.
- 22 WELL, ADOBE DOESN'T DO IT, RIGHT? Q.
- 23 ADOBE HAS DONE IT IN THE PAST. AND THE ENGINEERS I TALKED Α.
- 24 TO DIDN'T SEEM TO THINK IT WOULD BE A PROBLEM.
- 25 ISN'T ONE OF THE OBJECTIVES OF ADOBE'S LICENSE MANAGEMENT

PAID, OF COURSE -- BUT YOUR RATE AT \$600 AN HOUR AND 250

I'M REQUIRED BY THE COURT TO ASSUME INFRINGEMENT BEFORE

EIGHT, WHICH WAS THE ONE MR. DINOVO WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT.

1 AND IF WE CAN HAVE THAT PUBLISHED TO THE JURY, MS. RILEY.

BY MS. MEHTA:

THANK YOU.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

19

21

24

25

- Q. DR. WICKER, THERE WERE SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS GRANT AND DENY AND ALL OF THAT. IF I CAN DIRECT YOU TO THE TOP BOX ON SLIDE EIGHT. AND IF YOU COULD READ THAT LIMITATION IN ITS ENTIRETY. AND LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU'VE DONE THAT, AND THEN I WILL ASK YOU THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION.
- A. OKAY. READ IT OUT LOUD?
- Q. NO, YOU CAN JUST READ IT TO YOURSELF.
- A. OKAY.

PATENT?

- 12 OKAY.
- Q. OKAY. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO THE FINAL CLAUSE OF THAT

 LIMITATION, CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW THAT RELATES IN YOUR OPINION

 OR IF IT RELATES, IN YOUR OPINION, TO CLAIM 45 OF THE '670
- 17 A. OKAY. SO THE FINAL CLAUSE WOULD BE "UNTIL THE

IDENTICAL CLAUSE FROM THE CLAIM-IN-SUIT.

- 18 NOTIFICATION INFORMATION IS TRANSMITTED." AND THAT IS THE
- Q. I WANT TO GO BACK TO A COUPLE OF THE ISSUES THAT WERE
- 22 ABOUT THE CONCEPT OF CREATING THE SAME DATA IN TWO PLACES. DO

DISCUSSED YESTERDAY. THERE WAS A DISCUSSION WITH MR. DINOVO

- 23 YOU RECALL THAT?
 - A. YES, I DO.
 - Q. IS THAT HOW THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS WORK?

- 1 **A.** NO.
- 2 **Q.** HOW DO THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS WORK?
- 3 **| A.** THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS WORK BY GENERATING A LICENSE OR
- 4 VOUCHER OF SOME KIND AT THE SERVER, ENCRYPTING IT AND SENDING
- 6 GENERATED AT THE SERVER.
- 7 Q. NOW, THERE WAS ALSO DISCUSSION YESTERDAY ABOUT PACKAGING
- 8 UP DATA BEFORE IT'S TRANSMITTED OVER THE INTERNET. DO YOU
- 9 RECALL THAT?
- 10 **A.** YES.
- 11 | O. DOES PACKAGING DATA SO IT CAN BE TRANSMITTED OVER THE
- 12 INTERNET CHANGE THE DATA?
- 13 **A.** NO. NO, WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU USE THE INTERNET, THESE
- 14 | VARIOUS PROTOCOLS AND TCP IP HAVE PAYLOADS. IT IS LIKE
- 15 | PUTTING SOMETHING IN A TRUCK, AND THEN DRIVING IT WITH THE
- 16 TRUCK ACROSS THE NETWORK, AND THEN YOU UNLOAD IT. IT DOESN'T
- 17 CHANGE THE CONTENTS. THE PAYLOAD IS THE PAYLOAD.
- 18 AND WE ARE SIMPLY PACKAGING IT, WRAPPING THINGS AROUND
- 19 IT -- "ENCAPSULATING" IS THE TERM -- TO GET IT ACROSS THE
- 20 NETWORK.
- 21 | O. WOULD THAT TRUE BOTH WITH AND WITHOUT ENCRYPTION, OR DOES
- 22 THAT DIFFER WHEN YOU ARE ENCRYPTING?
- 23 A. ENCRYPTION IS JUST ANOTHER WAY OF WRAPPING SOMETHING
- 24 AROUND THE CONTENT.
- 25 O. AND DOES ENCRYPTION AND DECRYPTION CHANGE THE CONTENT

A. WHAT IT DOES IS IT MASKS IT, BUT THE CONTENT IS STILL

THERE FOR THE PERSON, UNCHANGED, FOR THE PERSON WHO HAS THE

DECRYPTION KEY.

MS. MEHTA: MR. BONINI, IF WE CAN PUT UP THE TAB IN THE JURY BOOK THAT HAS THE CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS, TAB ONE.

AND, IF WE COULD, LET'S HIGHLIGHT THE FIRST CLAIM CONSTRUCTION LIMITATION THERE.

(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)

BY MS. MEHTA

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. DR. WICKER, COULD YOU CONFIRM WHETHER OR NOT THIS IS A CLAIM CONSTRUCTION YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH?
- 13 A. YES, THAT IS.
 - Q. IS THIS A CONSTRUCTION THAT YOU APPLIED?
- 15 **A.** YES, IT IS.
- Q. WOULD RECEIVING A KEY FROM A REMOTE SERVER AND STORING IT
- 17 LOCALLY MEET THIS REQUIREMENT?
- 18 **A.** NO.
- 19 **Q.** WHY NOT?
- 20 A. BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT CREATING A PERMISSION LOCALLY. YOU'VE
- 21 RECEIVED IT FROM SOMEWHERE ELSE. YOU'VE RECEIVED IT FROM THE
- 22 SERVER.
- Q. NOW, THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT THE TOKEN REQUIREMENT
- 24 YESTERDAY. DO YOU RECALL THAT?
- 25 **A.** YES.

- Q. AND YOU RECALL THE TESTIMONY THAT THE TOKEN REQUIRES A YES/NO INDICATION?
- 3 **A.** YES.

5

6

7

8

- Q. NOW, IF YOU COULD LOOK AT --
 - MS. MEHTA: MR. BONINI, MAYBE -- I APOLOGIZE FOR THIS, BUT I THINK WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO SWITCH TO THE PATENT, THE '541 PATENT, WHICH IS EXHIBIT 1 AT CLAIM 1.
- BY MS. MEHTA
 - Q. DO YOU HAVE THE CLAIM IN FRONT OF YOU, DR. WICKER?
- 10 **A.** YES.
- 11 Q. LOOK AT THE FINAL LIMITATION OF THE CLAIM.
- 12 **A.** OKAY.
- 13 | Q. AND YOU RECALL THERE WAS SOME TESTIMONY YESTERDAY ABOUT
- 14 EXECUTING THAT INSTALLATION PROCESS BASED ON THE RECEIVED
- 15 TOKEN?
- 16 **A.** YES.
- 17 Q. NOW, THERE WAS ALSO SOME TESTIMONY ABOUT WHETHER THE
- 18 PRESENCE OF A KEY IS AN INDICATION OF "YES." DO YOU RECALL
- 19 THAT?
- 20 **A.** YES, I DO.
- 21 Q. WOULD THE PRESENCE OF A KEY, WHICH IS THE ACCUSED
- 22 PERMISSION, ABOUT ENOUGH TO SATISFY THE COURT'S CLAIM
- 23 CONSTRUCTION FOR TOKEN, IN YOUR VIEW?
- 24 **A.** NO.
- 25 **Q.** WHY NOT?

- A. THE PRESENCE OF A KEY IS SIMPLY THAT. IT IS THE

 PERMISSION. SO, WE'VE GOTTEN THE PERMISSION ALREADY FROM THE
- 3 SERVER. THERE IS NO YES/NO WHICH THEN WOULD LEAD TO A LOCAL
- 4 GENERATION OF A KEY. SO IT WOULDN'T SATISFY.
- 5 Q. THANK YOU, DR. WICKER.
- 6 CLAIM 1 IN THE FIRST LIMITATION DISCUSSES AT A CLIENT
- 7 EXECUTING AN ACCESS CHECKING PROCESS. DO YOU SEE THAT?
 - A. YES.

9

- O. IF YOU COULD JUST READ THAT LIMITATION TO YOURSELF.
- 10 **A.** OKAY.
- 11 Q. NOW, IT TALKS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S A PRE-EXISTING
- 12 PERMISSION, RIGHT?
- 13 **A.** THAT'S RIGHT.
- 14 Q. WHAT IS THAT PRE-EXISTING PERMISSION FOR? WHAT IS IT
- 15 TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH?
- 16 | A. THE POINT IS HERE WE'RE LOOKING TO SEE IF THE CLIENT HAS
- 17 ALREADY GENERATED THE KEY. IF IT'S ALREADY PRESENT, AND
- 18 | THEREFORE THERE IS NO NEED FOR THE SUBSEQUENT STEPS.
- 19 Q. IF THERE WERE A PRE-EXISTING PERMISSION PRESENT IN THE
- 20 CONTEXT OF THE CLAIM IN THE PATENT, WHAT WOULD THE USER GET?
- 21 | A. IF THERE WAS A PRE-EXISTING PERMISSION, THE USER WOULD GET
- 22 ACCESS.

- 23 Q. ACCESS TO WHAT?
 - A. THE DOCUMENT OR WHATEVER IT MAY BE.
- 25 Q. YOU RECALL YESTERDAY THERE WAS SOME TESTIMONY REGARDING

1 THE LIVECYCLE PRODUCT?

- A. YES.
- 3 \parallel Q. AND THERE WAS TESTIMONY REGARDING POLICIES OR BASKETS OF
- 4 ∥ RIGHTS THAT LET YOU DO THINGS LIKE PRINTING AND THINGS LIKE
- 5 THAT?

- 6 **A.** YES.
- 7 Q. NOW, YOU ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT IN THE CONTEXT OF LIVECYCLE
- 8 DR. DEVANBU POINTS TO THE CONTENT ENCRYPTION KEY WITHIN THE
- 9 VOUCHER AS THE PERMISSION, RIGHT?
- 10 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 11 | O. IS THE CONTENT -- I WILL START OVER. IS THE CONTENT
- 12 ENCRYPTION KEY IN VOUCHER THE THING THAT GRANTS ACCESS TO THE
- 13 CONTENT?
- 14 **A.** YES.
- 15 Q. NOW, ALL THE OTHER RIGHTS AND POLICIES THAT YOU WERE
- 16 TALKING ABOUT, ARE THOSE THE THINGS THAT GRANT RIGHTS OR -- I
- 17 AM SORRY. LET ME PHRASE THAT A LITTLE BIT BETTER.
- 18 | THE OTHER BASKET OF RIGHTS OR POLICIES FOR PRINTING AND
- 19 | ALL OF THAT, ARE THOSE THE THINGS THAT ALLOW YOU TO ACCESS THE
- 20 CONTENT?
- 21 **A.** NO. I THINK THE BEST WAY TO LOOK AT THEM IS TO SAY THIS
- 22 DEFINES WHAT ACCESS IS, BUT ACCESS IS GRANTED BY THE KEY. ALL
- 23 THE OTHER DETAILS DEFINE THE LIMITS OF THE ACCESS.
- 24 | O. I'M GOING TO TURN YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO THE FLASH
- 25 | PLATFORM. AND WITH RESPECT TO THE FLASH PLATFORM, YOU

- 1 UNDERSTAND THAT DR. DEVANBU POINTS TO A KEY WITHIN THE DRM
 2 METADATA AS THE PERMISSION, RIGHT?
 - A. THAT'S CORRECT.

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. AND WHERE IS THAT KEY GENERATED?
- A. AT THE SERVER.
- 6 O. DOES THE KEY EVER SEND YES/NO INDICATION?
 - A. I AM SORRY. DOES THE KEY?
- Q. WELL, ACTUALLY, LET ME PHRASE IT A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENTLY.

 IN THE CONTEXT OF FLASH, DOES WHATEVER IS SENT FROM THE

 SERVER TO THE CLIENT EVER INCLUDE A YES/NO INDICATION?
 - A. NO. WHAT'S PROVIDED IS THE KEY.
 - Q. AND THERE WAS A DISCUSSION YESTERDAY ABOUT FLASH STREAMING

 AND POLICIES THAT MIGHT ENABLE SOMEONE TO WATCH CONTENT FOR A

 PARTICULAR AMOUNT OF TIME. DO YOU RECALL THAT?
 - A. YES, I DO.
 - Q. DO YOU UNDERSTAND DR. DEVANBU'S INFRINGEMENT THEORY TO BE POINTING TO THE STORING OF THOSE POLICIES AS THE PERMISSION?
 - A. NO. HE'S POINTING TO THE KEY. AND THE POLICIES, AGAIN,

 DEFINE WHAT ACCESS IS. BUT HE IS SAYING THE KEY, AND I AGREE

 THE KEY PROVIDES ACCESS.
 - Q. AND, FINALLY -- AND I APOLOGIZE FOR GOING OUT OF ORDER A LITTLE BIT, BUT I'M GOING TO SWITCH TOPICS A BIT, AND BACK TO LIVECYCLE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE '670.
 - YOU RECALL THAT THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION YESTERDAY ABOUT
 EVENT LOGGING FOR LIVECYCLE?

A. YES.

1

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

- 2 IS THE EVENT LOGGING PROCESS THAT WAS DISCUSSED THE
- 3 ACCUSED PROCESS THAT DR. DEVANBU TESTIFIED ABOUT?
 - NO. Α.
 - HOW IS THAT DIFFERENT? 0.
- EVENT LOGGING IS SIMPLY KEEPING TRACK OF WHAT'S GOING ON 6 7 IN THE SYSTEM. DR. DEVANBU IS POINTING TO ACTUAL OPERATIONS
 - WITHIN THE SYSTEM NOT KEEPING TRACK OF WHAT'S HAPPENED.

MS. MEHTA: THANK YOU.

OKAY. THAT'S ALL I HAVE. THANK YOU.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- BY MR. DINOVO
- 13 JUST A COUPLE MORE QUESTIONS, DR. WICKER, AND I THINK WE 14 WILL BE DONE.
- 15 I JUST WANTED TO BE CLEAR. YOUR COUNSEL ASKED YOU A 16 QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER ENCRYPTION CHANGES THINGS, CHANGES 17 DATA.
- 18 A. YES.
- 19 ISN'T THE WHOLE POINT OF ENCRYPTION TO MAKE IT SO THAT THE
- 20 PERSON, IF A PERSON WERE TO INTERCEPT WHATEVER HAD BEEN
- 21 ENCRYPTED THAT THEY COULDN'T DO ANYTHING WITH IT?
- 22 ENCRYPTION OBSCURES DATA. IT KEEPS UNAUTHORIZED PEOPLE
- 23 FROM BEING ABLE TO READ OR USE THE DATA. BUT THE DATA IS
- 24 STILL THERE.
- 25 IN OTHER WORDS, IF SOMEONE HAS THE KEY, THEY CAN ACCESS

- 1 THE DATA. THE DATA DOESN'T GO AWAY.
- 2 **Q.** SO IT IS INTENDED TO BE REVERSIBLE?
 - A. EXACTLY. IT IS A TRANSFORMATION.
- 4 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND THEN, THAT REVERSE TRANSFORMATION IS WHAT
- 5 OCCURS AT THE CLIENT IN THESE CONTEXTS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.
 - A. THAT'S RIGHT. DECRYPTION DOES OCCUR AT THE CLIENT.
- 7 MR. DINOVO: MS. MASON, IF I CAN PLEASE GET 360,
- 8 PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 360 -- OR, ACTUALLY, I AM SORRY --
- 9 DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 360, PAGE 13.
- 10 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
- 11 MR. DINOVO: AND JUST THE FIRST PARAGRAPH.
- 12 BY MR. DINOVO

- 13 | Q. I JUST WANT TO WALK THROUGH THIS LANGUAGE WITH YOU,
- 14 DR. WICKER, JUST TO BE CLEAR.
- 15 **A.** OKAY.
- 16 | Q. SO THE EDC VOUCHER IS WHAT DR. DEVANBU IDENTIFIED AS THE
- 17 TOKEN, RIGHT?
- 18 **A.** YES.
- 19 Q. AND THIS ADOBE DOCUMENT SAYS THAT THAT OBJECT CAN BE USED
- 20 TO OBTAIN A SET OF PERMISSIONS?
- 21 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 22 Q. AND IT DOESN'T SAY THAT THE TOKEN -- OR EXCUSE ME -- THE
- 23 VOUCHER IS THE SET OF PERMISSIONS. IT SAYS IT CAN BE USED TO
- 24 OBTAIN THAT SET, RIGHT?
- 25 A. RIGHT. I WOULD SAY IT IS MORE ACCURATE TO SAY THE VOUCHER

- 1 CONTAINS THE PERMISSIONS, AMONG OTHER THINGS, BECAUSE IT ALSO
 2 INCLUDES A KEY.
- Q. WELL, IT CONTAINS THE PERMISSIONS IN AN ENCRYPTED FORMAT

 THAT AN INTERCEPTOR COULD NOT MAKE USE OF?
 - A. THAT'S RIGHT.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. THE TRANSFORMATION USES THAT VOUCHER AS AN INPUT. THE
 TRANSFORMATION OCCURS AT THE CLIENT, AND THEN THE CLIENT HAS
 THE PERMISSIONS?
 - A. NOT EXACTLY. THE CIPHERTEXT GOES THROUGH A DECRYPTION

 PROCESS TO GIVE US PLAIN TEXT. AND THE PLAIN TEXT THAT WE

 START WITH IS, IN THIS CASE, THE VOUCHER, WHICH INCLUDES THESE

 PERMISSIONS. SO ALL OF THIS IS PRESENT, BOTH IN THE PLAIN

 TEXT AND THE CIPHERTEXT, IF THE SYSTEM IS WORKING PROPERLY.
 - Q. SO YOU DON'T BELIEVE THIS TO BE ACCURATE THAT THE VOUCHER

 CAN BE USED TO OBTAIN THE SET OF PERMISSIONS? IS THAT

 INACCURATE?
 - A. NO, THE VOUCHER CONTAINS THE PERMISSIONS, AMONG OTHER THINGS. SO IF WE HAVE THE VOUCHER WE CAN OBTAIN THE PERMISSION.
 - Q. AND BY DECRYPTION AT THE CLIENT AT THIS TRANSFORMATION PROCESS.
- A. WELL, IF IT IS PREVIOUSLY ENCRYPTED, BECAUSE OF THE
 TRANSMISSION, THEN WHEN WE DECRYPT IT, AT THAT POINT WE WILL
 BE ABLE TO EXTRACT THE PERMISSIONS.
 - Q. THANK YOU.

1	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
2	THE WITNESS: SURE.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. ELLWANGER
5	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. HERBACH.
6	A. GOOD MORNING.
7	Q. WE HAVEN'T MET. MY NAME IS JAY ELLWANGER. I'M ONE OF THE
8	ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING DIGITAL REG IN THIS CASE. I HAVE A FEW
9	QUESTIONS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU THIS MORNING.
10	A. OKAY.
11	Q. MR. HERBACH, WHO DO YOU WORK FOR?
12	A. I WORK FOR ADOBE SYSTEMS.
13	Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED FOR ADOBE SYSTEMS?
14	A. I'VE WORKED FULL TIME SINCE THE SUMMER OF 2001.
15	Q. SO OVER 13 YEARS?
16	A. (NODS HEAD.)
17	YES. I'M SORRY.
18	THE COURT: JUST SAY "YES" ALOUD. AND ALSO IF YOU
19	WOULD MAKE SURE THE MICROPHONE IS BETWEEN YOU AND THE WAY YOUR
20	FACE IS POINTING SO YOUR VOICE IS GOING INTO IT.
21	THE WITNESS: OF COURSE. YES.
22	BY MR. ELLWANGER
23	Q. MR. HERBACH, YOU UNDERSTAND ADOBE IS THE DEFENDANT IN THIS
24	LAWSUIT?
25	A. I DO, YES.

AT TIMES IT WAS.

25

Α.

1 AND, IN FACT, YOU WERE THE PRODUCT MANAGER OF LIVECYCLE Q. RIGHTS MANAGEMENT FROM 2007 TO 2011 --2 3 Α. YES. -- IS THAT RIGHT? 4 Q. 5 AND, MR. HERBACH, YOU HAVE PATENTS YOURSELF? 6 Α. YES. 7 YOU PARTICIPATED IN THE PATENT APPLICATION PROCESS. Q. 8 Α. I HAVE. 9 AND THOSE PATENTS HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED TO YOUR EMPLOYER, 10 ADOBE? 11 A. YES. 12 MR. ELLWANGER: NOW, YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY, WE HAVE 13 FIVE PATENTS AND FIVE PORTIONS OF PROSECUTION HISTORY. WOULD 14 YOUR HONOR PREFER I ENTER THOSE INTO EVIDENCE OR SIMPLY USE 15 THE DEMONSTRATIVE TO WALK THROUGH THEM? THEY ARE ADOBE 16 PATENTS. 17 THE COURT: THE LATTER. MR. ELLWANGER: OKAY. MS. MASON, IF YOU CAN PLEASE 18 19 PUT UP THE SLIDES, BEGINNING WITH PLAINTIFF'S 6. 20 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) 21 BY MR. ELLWANGER 22 Q. MR. HERBACH, I WANT TO WALK THROUGH AS SERIES OF PATENTS 23 AND FILE HISTORIES FOR YOUR EMPLOYER ADOBE. YOU HAVE THEM IN 24 A BINDER IN FRONT OF YOU, OR YOU ARE WELCOME TO LOOK AT THE

25

SCREEN.

1	MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS A REDUX OF WHAT WE
2	HAD PREVIOUSLY. TO THE EXTENT IT IS HIS PATENTS WE HAVE
3	ABSOLUTELY NO OBJECTION TO HIM TESTIFYING ABOUT THOSE.
4	TO THE EXTENT IT IS JUST ADOBE PATENTS, THEY HAVE SO MANY
5	PATENTS AND SO MANY ENGINEERS, IT JUST DOESN'T SEEM RIGHT TO
6	HAVE HIM TESTIFYING ABOUT THINGS THAT ARE NOT HIS PATENT.
7	THAT IS WHAT IS UP ON THE SCREEN NOW.
8	MR. ELLWANGER: MAY I RESPOND, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: YOU CAN ASK. IF YOU AREN'T FAMILIAR WITH
10	THEM ENOUGH TO TESTIFY ABOUT THEM, THEN JUST SAY SO.
11	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
12	BY MR. ELLWANGER
13	Q. NOW, MR. HERBACH, DO YOU RECOGNIZE HERE THAT THIS IS A
14	PATENT WITH THE LAST THREE DIGITS ENDING IN '384?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. AND DO YOU ALSO SEE, SIR, THAT THE ASSIGNEE OF THIS PATENT
17	IS ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED?
18	A. YES, IT IS.
19	Q. OKAY. AND DO YOU ALSO SEE, SIR, THAT ONE OF THE PATENTS
20	LISTED ON THIS ADOBE PATENT IS THE PATTERSON PATENT?
21	MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T IT IS NOT A BIG
22	DEAL, BUT THIS IS THE SAME ISSUE THAT WE HAD BEFORE. HE
23	HASN'T LAID ANY FOUNDATION HE KNOWS ANYTHING ABOUT THIS
24	PATENT. WHAT HE IS GOING TO BE DOING IS SAYING:
25	"DO YOU SEE PATTERSON? DO YOU SEE PATTERSON?"

1	MR. ELLWANGER: MAY I RESPOND, YOUR HONOR? WITH
2	MR. PATTERSON YOU ASKED THAT WE PRESENT THIS THROUGH AN ADOBE
3	WITNESS. THIS IS THE CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE OF ADOBE WHO
4	GAVE TESTIMONY ABOUT LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT ON BEHALF OF
5	THE COMPANY.
6	THESE PATENTS HAVE TO DO WITH LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT.
7	HE WAS PREVIOUSLY DESIGNATED AS THEIR REPRESENTATIVE. AND SO
8	WE ARE SIMPLY FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTION.
9	THE COURT: YES. IF THE ONLY POINT IS TO SAY THERE
10	ARE A LOT OF PATENTS THAT CONTAIN THE NAME "PATTERSON," WE CAN
11	GET A STIPULATION AND SAY HOW MANY PAGES THERE ARE AND HOW
12	MANY TIMES THE NAME IS MENTIONED AND SO ON. WE DON'T NEED TO
13	DO THAT THROUGH TESTIMONY OF A WITNESS LOOKING AT A PIECE OF
14	PAPER THAT WE CAN LOOK AT AND SAY:
15	"OH, I SEE IT THERE. IT SAYS 'PATTERSON.'"
16	MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, WE ARE HAPPY TO COOPERATE.
17	THE COURT: IF THERE IS SOMETHING ELSE FROM THIS
18	WITNESS WHY DON'T UP MOVE ON TO THAT?
19	MR. ELLWANGER: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S PERMISSION TO
20	SPECIFICALLY TALK ABOUT MR. HERBACH'S PATENTS, AND THEN WE
21	WILL TALK ABOUT THE STIPULATION ON THE OTHERS?
22	THE COURT: IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING BEYOND
23	THE FACT THAT IT SAYS "PATTERSON" IN IT.
24	MR. ELLWANGER: OKAY. I THINK WE CAN WORK WITH
25	OPPOSING COUNSEL WITH THAT STIPULATION, IF THAT WOULD BE WHAT

```
1
       THE COURT WANTS.
 2
                THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE A MORE EFFICIENT WAY OF
 3
       POINTING IT OUT RATHER THAN HAVE A WITNESS GO THROUGH IT AND
 4
       SAY:
 5
           "YES, I SEE IT. I SEE IT."
                MR. ELLWANGER: WE WILL WORK ON THAT THIS WEEKEND.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: OKAY.
 8
      BY MR. ELLWANGER
 9
         MR. HERBACH, YOUR EXAMINATION JUST GOT A LOT SHORTER.
             I DO WANT TO ASK YOU, THOUGH, MR. PATTERSON -- EXCUSE
10
11
      ME -- MR. HERBACH, ABOUT EXHIBIT 52, WHICH IS IN EVIDENCE.
12
                MR. ELLWANGER: MS. MASON, CAN YOU PULL UP 52?
13
                THE WITNESS: IS 52 IN MY BINDER, AS WELL?
14
      BY MR. ELLWANGER
15
          IT IS. I BELIEVE IT IS IN THE BLACK BINDER.
16
       A. I DON'T BELIEVE I HAVE A BLACK BINDER HERE.
17
                MR. ELLWANGER: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR?
                THE COURT: YES.
18
19
                        (BINDER HANDED TO WITNESS.)
20
      BY MR. ELLWANGER
21
          LOOKING IN THAT BINDER, MR. HERBACH, YOU WILL SEE A TAB
      MARKED "PLAINTIFF'S 52." IF YOU CAN TAKE A LOOK AT THAT
22
23
      DOCUMENT, SIR.
24
       A. OKAY.
25
          YOU'VE SEEN EXHIBIT 52, HAVE YOU NOT?
```

- 1 A. YES, I HAVE.
- 2 | Q. IT'S THE ONLINE HELP PAGE FOR A PORTION OF THE RIGHTS
- 3 MANAGEMENT PRODUCT?
- 4 A. YES. IT'S THE ONLINE HELP.
- 5 O. OKAY. AND THAT DOCUMENT SHOWS THE CURRENT SUPPORTED FILE
- 7 A. YES, FOR THIS PARTICULAR VERSION OF THE PRODUCT. THIS WAS
- 8 THE LIST OF SUPPORTIVE FILE TYPES.
- 9 **Q.** AND THOSE SUPPORTIVE FILE TYPES INCLUDE PDF FILES?
- 10 **A.** YES.
- 11 Q. INCLUDE ADOBE FLASH FILES?
- 12 **A.** YES.
- 13 | Q. AT LEAST AS FAR BACK AS 2007 WHEN YOU WERE THE PRODUCT
- 14 MANAGER?
- 15 A. CORRECT.
- 16 | Q. AND AT LEAST AS OF THE DATE OF YOUR DEPOSITION IN MARCH OF
- 17 LAST YEAR, THEY ARE STILL SUPPORTED BY LIVECYCLE RIGHTS
- 18 MANAGEMENT?
- 19 A. MANY OF THESE FILE FORMATS ARE SUPPORTED. I CAN'T SPEAK
- 20 TO WHETHER PTC CONTINUES TO SUPPORT THEIR PRODUCT PRO ENGINEER
- 21 WILDFIRE 4.
- 22 | Q. SPECIFICALLY, PDF FILES AND FLASH FILES ARE STILL
- 23 SUPPORTED?
- 24 | A. ADOBE PDF FILES ARE SUPPORTED. I CAN'T SPEAK TO WHETHER
- 25 ADOBE FLASH FILES ARE STILL SUPPORTED.

- 1 LET'S TALK ABOUT ADOBE READER 11 FOR A MOMENT, Q.
- 2 MR. HERBACH. WHEN SOMEONE OPENS A PDF ON THEIR DESKTOP USING
- 3 READER 11, IT RECOGNIZES THAT THE DOCUMENT IS PROTECTED WITH
- 4 THE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT SERVER, CORRECT?
 - YES. Α.

- AND IT WILL CONTACT THAT SERVER TO LEARN MORE ABOUT HOW 6
- 7 THAT SERVER IS CONFIGURED?
- 8 YES. WHEN A USER TRIES TO OPEN A DOCUMENT IT IS GOING TO Α.
- 9 REALIZE THAT IT'S A LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT DOCUMENT AND
- 10 BEGIN THE COMMUNICATION PROCESS WITH THAT SERVER TO DETERMINE
- 11 THE BEST WAY TO COMMUNICATE WITH THAT SERVER.
- 12 O. OKAY. AND NEXT THE SERVER WILL SEND OUT INFORMATION TO
- 13 THE CLIENT BASED UPON HOW THE CUSTOMER HAS CONFIGURED THE
- SERVER? 14
- 15 THE CLIENT IS GOING -- THE SERVER IS GOING TO COMMUNICATE Α.
- 16 TO THE CLIENT HOW THE CLIENT SHOULD COMMUNICATE WITH THE
- 17 SERVER. AND AS A PART OF THAT IT'S GOING TO BE WHAT TYPE OF
- 18 USER NAME AND PASSWORD IS ACCEPTABLE TO THE SERVER.
- 19 AND THEN THAT INFORMATION WILL BE USED AT THE CLIENT TO
- 20 PRESENT TO THE -- TO THE CUSTOMER A PROMPT TO ENTER THEIR USER
- 21 NAME AND PASSWORD PRIOR TO THE DOCUMENT PROCEEDING TO OPEN
- 22 FURTHER.
- 23 OKAY. THE SYSTEM CAN ALSO BE CONFIGURED TO SEND
- 24 INFORMATION ABOUT THE USER; IS THAT RIGHT, MR. HERBACH?
- 25 CAN YOU REPEAT THAT? I AM SORRY. Α.

- Q. YES. THE SYSTEM CAN BE CONFIGURED TO SEND INFORMATION

 ABOUT THE USER.
 - A. WELL, THE CLIENT -- THE SYSTEM CAN BE CONFIGURED TO HAVE

 THE CLIENT INSTEAD OF ASKING THE END USER FOR USER NAME AND

 PASSWORD, CAN ACTUALLY USE A DIFFERENT TYPE OF A COMMUNICATION

 MECHANISM, SOMETHING WE CALL "KERBEROS," WHICH ALLOWS FOR

 WHAT -- K-E-R-B-E-R-O-S.

USER HAS LOGGED INTO THEIR MACHINE ALREADY, THEN IT WOULD BE
IN SOME CIRCUMSTANCES FOR SOME CUSTOMERS INCONVENIENT TO ASK
THE USER AGAIN AND AGAIN TO RE-ENTER THEIR USER NAME AND
PASSWORD. AND BECAUSE WE KNOW WHO THE USER IS BECAUSE OF THIS
KERBEROS MECHANISM, WE CAN ALLOW THE SYSTEM TO DETERMINE WHO
THE USER IS AUTOMATICALLY.

- Q. MR. HERBACH, I APPRECIATE YOU HAVE A LOT TO SAY ABOUT LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT, BUT IF YOU CAN JUST LISTEN TO THE QUESTIONS I'M ASKING, AND ANSWER THEM, THEN ADOBE'S LAWYER CAN ASK YOU FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS, OKAY?
- A. OKAY.

- Q. THE SYSTEM CAN BE CONFIGURED TO SEND INFORMATION ABOUT THE
 USER, CORRECT?
- A. I WANT TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION BUT I'M NOT REALLY SURE

 WHAT THAT MIGHT MEAN. IT SEEMS FAIRLY GENERIC.
 - Q. THERE IS A COPY OF YOUR DEPOSITION IN THE BINDER THAT HAS BEEN PLACED IN FRONT OF YOU. AND IF YOU CAN, PLEASE, SIR,

1	TURN TO PAGE 40 OF THE TRANSCRIPT, WHICH IS ACTUALLY PAGE 10
2	OF THE DEPOSITION IN THE BLACK BINDER.
3	TELL ME WHEN YOU'VE GOTTEN THERE, SIR.
4	A. SURE, ONE MOMENT.
5	MR. REINES: WHAT IS THE PAGE AND LINE?
6	THE COURT: WHAT HE IS JUST ASKING YOU IS TO BE A
7	LITTLE MORE SPECIFIC. AND THAT WAS A VERY GENERIC QUESTION.
8	IF YOU CAN BE MORE SPECIFIC, HE MIGHT BE ABLE TO ANSWER IT.
9	IF HE ANSWERED A GENERIC QUESTION AT THE DEPOSITION, WE
10	HAVE TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT THE CONTEXT.
11	MR. ELLWANGER: OKAY.
12	BY MR. ELLWANGER
13	Q. DOES A SERVER PASS ANY INFORMATION THAT SAYS:
14	"THIS IS WHO I AM"? IS THERE ANY INFORMATION PASS LIKE
15	THAT IN ANY CALL?
16	THE COURT: WHAT PRODUCT ARE WE TALKING ABOUT?
17	MR. ELLWANGER: ADOBE READER 11.
18	THE COURT: I AM SORRY?
19	MR. ELLWANGER: ACROBAT READER 11.
20	THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT THAT ONCE MORE?
21	BY MR. ELLWANGER
22	Q. SURE.
23	A. THANK YOU.
24	Q. CAN THE SYSTEM BE CONFIGURED TO SEND INFORMATION ABOUT THE
25	USER, SUCH AS: "THIS IS WHO I AM"?

- YES. AND THAT KERBEROS EXAMPLE, I THINK, IS AN EXAMPLE OF 1 Α. 2 THE CLIENT SENDING "THIS IS WHO I AM" ON BEHALF OF THE USER.
 - LET ME ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT LIVECYCLE,
- 4 MR. HERBACH. ADOBE'S LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT SOLUTION HAS
- 5 BEEN IN THE MARKET SINCE THE BEGINNING OF 2005?
- 6 YES. Α.

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- AND THE LIVECYCLE PRODUCT CAN TRACK DOCUMENTS BY CONFIGURING IT TO KEEP INFORMATION ABOUT WHICH DOCUMENTS ARE OPEN.
- 10 A. YES.
 - O. AND IN LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT TO PREVENT INFORMATION FROM SPREADING BEYOND YOUR REACH, YOU CAN ALSO MONITOR AND CONTROL HOW RECIPIENTS USE YOUR DOCUMENTS AFTER YOU DISTRIBUTE THEM.
 - A. AS A PART OF THE PRODUCT WE HAVE WHAT WE CALL "AUDIT CAPABILITIES, " WHICH ALLOW AN AUTHOR TO DETERMINE WHEN AND WHERE DOCUMENTS ARE OPENED.
 - MR. ELLWANGER: MS. MASON, IF YOU CAN PULL UP EXHIBIT 52, WHICH HAS BEEN ADMITTED?
- SPECIFICALLY, 52.001. 20
 - (PUBLISHED ON SCREEN.)
- 22 BY MR. ELLWANGER
- 23 DO YOU SEE AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS DOCUMENT, MR. HERBACH, THE VERY LAST PARAGRAPH, THAT IN LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT 24 25 YOU CAN MONITOR POLICY PROTECTED DOCUMENTS AND TRACK EVENTS,

- 1 SUCH AS WHEN AN UNAUTHORIZED OR AUTHORIZED USER ATTEMPTS TO
- 2 OPEN THE DOCUMENT. DO YOU SEE THAT LANGUAGE?
 - A. I SEE THE HIGHLIGHTED LANGUAGE, YES.
- 4 | Q. AND THAT IS ONE OF THE CAPABILITIES OF LIVECYCLE RIGHTS
- 5 MANAGEMENT ES2?

- A. YES, IT IS POSSIBLE TO CONFIGURE THE SYSTEM THAT WAY.
- 7 Q. IN FACT, ADOBE SAYS YOU CAN.
- 8 A. YES, BECAUSE IT IS POSSIBLE.
- 9 Q. NOW, ADOBE USES LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT AS A COMPANY,
- 10 DOESN'T IT?
- 11 **A.** YES.
- 12 Q. ACROBAT PRO?
- 13 A. ADOBE USES ACROBAT PRO AND ADOBE READER.
- 14 Q. AND ADOBE FLASH?
- 15 **A.** AT TIMES WE HAVE USED ADOBE FLASH.
- 16 Q. IN FACT, ADOBE EVEN OFFERS A PLAN WHERE ITS EMPLOYEES CAN
- 17 BUY ITS OWN PRODUCTS AT A DISCOUNT?
- 18 **A.** YES.
- 19 Q. YOU KNOW OF CUSTOMERS THAT TRACK DOCUMENTS USING
- 20 LIVECYCLE, RIGHT?
- 21 **A.** WELL, AS I MENTIONED, THE TRACKING CAPABILITIES
- 22 | HIGHLIGHTED HERE ARE POSSIBLE WITH THE PRODUCT. IT IS
- 23 DEPENDING UPON HOW YOU CONFIGURE THE PRODUCT WHETHER YOU
- 24 CHOOSE AS A CUSTOMER TO CHOOSE TO TRACK INDIVIDUAL DOCUMENTS.
- 25 Q. MR. HERBACH, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU THAT QUESTION ONE MORE

SO I'M AT THE LAST TAB AND I'M LOOKING AT --

25

Α.

- 1 Q. YOUR DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT, SIR?
- 2 **A.** YES.
- 3 | Q. YOU SEE HOW THERE IS FOUR PAGES ON EACH PAGE?
- 4 **A.** YES.
- 5 O. THEY HAVE NUMBERS?
- 6 **A.** YES.
- 7 Q. GO TO PAGE 48, LINES 2 THROUGH 12. AND PLEASE REVIEW THAT
- 8 TESTIMONY, AND TELL ME WHEN YOU HAVE READ IT.
- 9 **A.** SURE.
- 10 Q. IS YOUR MEMORY REFRESHED?
- 11 A. YES. THANK YOU.
- 12 Q. MR. HERBACH, AGAIN, YOU'VE PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED THAT YOU
- 13 KNOW OF ONLY ONE OR TWO CUSTOMERS WHO HAVE BEEN PUBLIC
- 14 REFERENCES WHO DO NOT USE TRACKING.
- 15 **A.** YES. I REFERRED TO TWO CUSTOMERS. ONE OR TWO CUSTOMERS
- 16 | THAT ARE PUBLIC REFERENCES WHO I BELIEVE DON'T USE TRACKING.
- 17 Q. OKAY. AND YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT ES?
- 18 **A.** YES.
- 19 Q. AND THAT'S THE LOGIN PORTION OF A POLICY-PROTECTED
- 20 DOCUMENT? OR LOGIN PORTION OF OPENING A POLICY-PROTECTED
- 21 DOCUMENT?
- 22 **A.** CAN YOU REPEAT THAT?
- 23 Q. SURE. DOES RIGHTS MANAGEMENT ES DEAL WITH THE LOGIN
- 24 PORTION OF OPENING POLICY-PROTECTED DOCUMENTS?
- 25 **A.** RIGHTS MANAGEMENT ES IS THE PRODUCT NAME THAT DESCRIBES

- 1 | THE SOLUTION THAT ALLOWS YOU TO PROTECT DOCUMENTS.
- 2 \parallel Q. OKAY. AND YOU THINK THAT YOU COULD COME UP WITH TEN
- 3 CUSTOMERS THAT USE THAT LOGIN PORTION OF OPENING
- 4 POLICY-PROTECTED DOCUMENTS?
- 5 A. WELL, AGAIN, I DON'T HAVE SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE ABOUT WHAT
- 6 CUSTOMERS DO WHEN THEY DEPLOY THE SOLUTION. THEY CAN USE
- 7 | THAT. THEY CAN ALSO USE THE AUDIT CAPABILITIES IN THE
- 8 PRODUCT. BUT THEY ARE NOT OBLIGATED TO USE EITHER OF THOSE
- 9 FEATURES IN THE SOLUTION.
- 10 Q. I UNDERSTAND THAT IS YOUR TESTIMONY NOW, BUT YOU'VE
- 11 PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED YOU KNOW OF TEN CUSTOMERS, PERSONALLY,
- 12 WHO USE THIS POLICY-PROTECTED DOCUMENT FEATURE.
- 13 **A.** OKAY.
- 14 Q. IS THAT TRUE?
- 15 | A. WHAT YOU DREW ME TO WAS MENTIONING ONE OR TWO. CAN YOU
- 16 GIVE ME SOME CONTEXT?
- 17 Q. PLEASE TURN TO PAGE 61, LINE 11 OF YOUR DEPOSITION,
- 18 MR. HERBACH.
- 19 **A.** OF COURSE.
- 20 Q. AND IF YOU COULD, PLEASE, SIR, READ FROM LINE 11 OF
- 21 | PAGE 61 TO LINE 9 OF PAGE 62, AND TELL ME WHEN YOU'VE HAD A
- 22 CHANCE TO REVIEW THAT TESTIMONY.
- 23 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
- 24 **A.** YEAH.
- 25 O. HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THAT TESTIMONY?

I THINK SO. A.

1

3

- 2 IS YOUR MEMORY REFRESHED?
 - IT IS. THANK YOU. Α.
- DO YOU THINK YOU COULD NAME TEN CUSTOMERS THAT USE THE 4 Q.
- 5 LOGIN PORTION OF OPENING POLICY-PROTECTED DOCUMENTS?
 - I PROBABLY COULD. YEAH. Α.
- 7 OKAY. NOW, YOU KNOW THAT NO SPECIAL SOFTWARE DEPLOYMENT Q.
- 8 FOR READER IS REQUIRED BECAUSE HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS OF COPIES
- 9 HAVE ALREADY BEEN DOWNLOADED, RIGHT?
- RIGHT. READER USERS CAN OPEN DOCUMENTS WITH NO 10
- 11 CONFIGURATION BECAUSE THE DOCUMENTS THEMSELVES CONTAIN THE
- 12 KNOWLEDGE ABOUT WHERE THE SERVER IS. AND BASED ON ONCE THEY
- 13 KNOW THE SERVER, THEY CAN COMMUNICATE WITH THE SERVER TO OPEN
- 14 THE DOCUMENTS.
- 15 BECAUSE THEY HAVE BUILT-IN RIGHTS MANAGEMENT, RIGHT?
- 16 THEY HAVE THE VIEWING CAPABILITIES BUILT IN, YES. Α.
- 17 YOU KNOW THAT AT LEAST THE FILE FORMATS ACROBAT SEVEN OR
- 18 LATER AND READER SEVEN OR LATER ARE RELATED -- THEIR SUPPORT
- 19 IS RELATED TO THE LIVECYCLE SUITE, CORRECT?
- 20 A. YES. THEY ARE RELATED TO THE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT
- 21 SOLUTION.
- 22 AND SINCE VERSION SEVEN OF READER AND ACROBAT CAME OUT,
- 23 THEY HAVE INCLUDED RIGHTS MANAGEMENT CAPABILITIES.
- 24 SINCE VERSION SEVEN OF -- SINCE READER VERSION SEVEN CAME
- 25 OUT, IT INCLUDED READING CAPABILITIES WITHIN IT FOR RIGHTS

HERBACH - DIRECT / ELLWANGER

MANAGEMENT.

1

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE ACROBAT SOLUTION ALSO CONTAINED READING CAPABILITIES
BUILT-IN AS PART OF THE SOLUTION.

- Q. WHICH INCLUDED RIGHTS MANAGEMENT?
- A. OPENING EXISTING RIGHTS MANAGEMENT DOCUMENTS THAT WERE

 PROTECTED WITH EXISTING SERVERS THAT A CUSTOMER PURCHASED.
- Q. OKAY. AND ADOBE SPECIFICALLY INSTRUCTS ITS USERS HOW TO

 ACCESS LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONALITY FROM ACROBAT

 PRO, RIGHT?
- 10 A. CAN YOU REPEAT THAT ONCE MORE?
 - Q. SURE. ADOBE SPECIFICALLY INSTRUCTS ITS USERS HOW TO

 ACCESS LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONALITY FROM ACROBAT

 PRO.
 - A. AS A PART OF ACROBAT PRO YOU MUST FIRST CONFIGURE ACROBAT
 TO TALK TO A SPECIFIC LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT SERVER. BY
 DEFAULT, IT IS NOT ON. AND SO TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE WE INCLUDE
 IN THE HELP AND WITHIN THE PRODUCT ITSELF INSTRUCTIONS ON: IF
 YOU KNOW THE NAME OF A SERVER AND IF YOU HAVE AN ACCOUNT THERE
 WHERE TO TYPE THAT INFORMATION IN TO CONFIGURE IT.
 - Q. OKAY. MR. HERBACH, IN YOUR BINDER YOU HAVE A TAB MARKED
 "182." COULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT 182 FOR A MOMENT?
- 22 **A.** YEAH.
- Q. DO YOU RECOGNIZE EXHIBIT 182 AS COMING FROM ADOBE'S OWN
 HELP PAGE ON THE WEB?
- 25 **A.** YES.

1	Q. IT'S ENTITLED "SECURING DOCUMENTS WITH ADOBE LIVECYCLE
2	RIGHTS MANAGEMENT ES"?
3	A. YES.
4	MR. ELLWANGER: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS POINT PLAINTIFFS
5	OFFER EXHIBIT 182.
6	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO 182?
7	MR. REINES: NO.
8	THE COURT: RECEIVED.
9	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 182 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
10	BY MR. ELLWANGER
11	Q. SO, MR. HERBACH, YOU SEE HERE IN THE VERY FIRST PARAGRAPH
12	OF THIS DOCUMENT THAT ADOBE
13	MR. ELLWANGER: THE LAST SENTENCE, MS. MASON, IF YOU
14	CAN HIGHLIGHT THAT LAST SENTENCE.
15	BY MR. ELLWANGER
16	Q. YOU SEE HERE THAT ADOBE SAYS THAT USERS CONNECT TO ADOBE
17	LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT ES TO WORK WITH POLICIES, CORRECT?
18	A. YES.
19	MR. ELLWANGER: AND, MS. MASON, IF YOU CAN ACTUALLY
20	HIGHLIGHT THE SENTENCE BEFORE THAT.
21	BY MR. ELLWANGER
22	Q. ADOBE INSTRUCTS USERS OF ACROBAT TEN PRO THAT POLICIES
23	PROVIDED BY ADOBE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT ES ARE STORED ON
24	THE SERVER AND CAN BE REFRESHED FROM THE SERVER. DO YOU SEE
25	THAT?

- I DO SEE THAT. A.
- AND YOU AGREE THAT THAT'S TRUE? 2
- 3 Α. YES.

- 4 OKAY. AND, IN FACT, YOU WOULD AGREE, SIR, THAT HERE ON Q. 5 THIS PAGE ADOBE'S SPECIFICALLY INSTRUCTING THEIR CUSTOMERS HOW
- TO USE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT WITH ACROBAT PRO. 6
- 7 THIS DOCUMENT, THE ENTIRE DOCUMENT HERE, AS YOU SAY, AND I 8 AGREE IT IS THE HELP WHICH EXPLAINS HOW IT IS POSSIBLE TO
- 9 CONNECT AND CONFIGURE THE SYSTEM.
- 10 O. AND BY CONNECTING AND CONFIGURING THE SYSTEM ADOBE IS
- 11 SPECIFICALLY INSTRUCTING PEOPLE TO GO TO THIS HELP PAGE HOW TO
- 12 SECURE DOCUMENTS WITH THE ADOBE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT
- 13 FOR ACROBAT PRO?
- 14 I DON'T KNOW IF I SHOULD PARSE YOUR WORDS CAREFULLY, BUT
- 15 "INSTRUCTING" FEELS LIKE AN ASK TO CUSTOMERS, AND HELP IS A
- 16 SET OF INFORMATION WE PROVIDE TO CUSTOMERS THAT THEY CAN USE
- 17 WHEN THEY NEED HELP.
- 18 OKAY. THIS IS ADOBE'S WEBSITE, RIGHT? Q.
- 19 Α. ABSOLUTELY.
- 20 WRITTEN BY ADOBE EMPLOYEES? Q.
- 21 Α. YES.
- AND A HELP FILE IS FOR THE BENEFIT OF CONSUMERS? 22 Q.
- 23 YES. Α.
- 24 AND IF A CONSUMER HAS A QUESTION ABOUT HOW TO CONFIGURE
- 25 ADOBE LIVECYCLE -- OR HOW TO CONFIGURE ADOBE ACROBAT PRO USING

- A. THEY CAN, YES.
- 3 \parallel Q. IF THEY GO TO THIS WEB PAGE, ADOBE GIVES THEM INSTRUCTIONS
- 4 ON HOW TO DO THAT?
 - A. YES.

5

8

- 6 O. ADOBE INTENDS FOR CUSTOMERS TO RELY ON THIS INFORMATION,
- 7 RIGHT?
 - A. ADOBE INTENDS FOR THIS INFORMATION TO BE ACCURATE, YES.
 - Q. OR ELSE THEY WOULDN'T PUT IT ON THEIR OWN WEBSITE, RIGHT,
- 10 MR. HERBACH?
- 11 A. WE PROVIDE HELP DOCUMENTATION FOR MANY OF OUR FEATURES TO
- 12 MAKE SURE THAT CUSTOMERS CAN UNDERSTAND HOW TO USE THE PRODUCT
- 13 WITHOUT CALLING US FOR HELP.
- 14 Q. ABSOLUTELY. AND SO YOU WOULDN'T PUT INCORRECT INFORMATION
- 15 ON YOUR HELP PAGE.
- 16 | A. LIKE ALL SOFTWARE, THERE MAY OCCASIONALLY BE TYPOGRAPHICAL
- OR OTHER ERRORS, POTENTIALLY. BUT THE INTENT IS TO MAKE IT
- 18 CLEAR AND CORRECT TO THE CUSTOMERS.
- 19 | Q. TO INSTRUCT THEM HOW TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT WHICH THEY HAVE
- 20 A QUESTION.
- 21 | A. TO HELP THEM UNDERSTAND HOW TO ACCOMPLISH WHAT THEY WANT
- 22 TO DO, YES.
- 23 **Q.** YES?
- 24 | A. TO HELP THEM. WE PROVIDE HELP TO HELP OUR USERS, YES.
- 25 D. BUT NOT INSTRUCTION?

- A. I GUESS I THINK OF "INSTRUCTION" IN THE CONTEXT OF A CLASS

 OR A COURSE OR A PROFESSOR AT A COLLEGE, NOT A HELP GUIDE IN
- 3 SOFTWARE.
- 4 Q. DOES ADOBE PROVIDE ANY CLASSES TO ITS CUSTOMERS ON HOW TO
- 5 USE ADOBE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT?
- 6 A. I DON'T KNOW.
- 7 Q. YOU ARE THE CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR ADOBE ON THE 8 LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT, RIGHT?
- 9 **A.** I AM.
- 10 Q. IN FACT, YOU ARE THE HEAD OF THAT PRODUCT.
- 11 **A.** YES.
- 12 Q. MR. HERBACH, YOU KNOW THAT IN ADOBE ACROBAT STANDARD AND
- 13 ADOBE ACROBAT PRO THE CLIENT APPLICATION USES THE DOCUMENT KEY
- 14 TO ENCRYPT THE DOCUMENT, DISCARDS THE DOCUMENT KEY, AND EMBEDS
- 15 | THE DOCUMENT LICENSE AND POLICY. YOU DEGREE WITH THAT, RIGHT?
- 16 A. I GOT A LITTLE LOST IN THE MIDDLE. I AM SORRY. CAN YOU
- 17 REPEAT THAT ONCE MORE?
- 18 **Q.** SURE.
- 19 MR. ELLWANGER: IN FACT, CAN WE PULL UP EXHIBIT 55
- 20 THAT IS IN EVIDENCE?
- 21 BY MR. ELLWANGER
- Q. IT'S IN YOUR BINDER MR. HERBACH. SPECIFICALLY, I'M GOING
 TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 56 OF EXHIBIT 55.
- MR. ELLWANGER: AND, MS. MASON, IF YOU CAN PUT
- 25 PAGE 56 ON THE SCREEN.

- 1 ACTUALLY, LET'S BLOW UP THE LAST PARAGRAPH, MS. MASON.
 - BY MR. ELLWANGER
- Q. JUST TO RESET THINGS, MR. HERBACH, YOU UNDERSTAND YOU ARE
 LOOKING AT ADOBE LIVECYCLE ES VERSION 8.0?
- 6 **A.** OKAY.

3

7

Q. RIGHT? IT'S A GUIDE FOR THAT PRODUCT?

PARAGRAPH THREE AT THE VERY BOTTOM.

- 8 **A.** YES.
- 9 Q. OKAY. AND YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME, SIR, THAT IN THE GUIDE
- 10 THAT ADOBE PUBLISHES THEY STATE THE CLIENT APPLICATION USES
- 11 THE DOCUMENT KEY TO ENCRYPT THE DOCUMENT, DISCARDS THE
- 12 DOCUMENT KEY AND EMBEDS THE DOCUMENT LICENSE AND POLICY.
- 13 CORRECT?
- 14 A. WHEN THE DOCUMENT IS PROTECTED FOR OFFLINE USE, ALL OF
- 15 THAT INFORMATION CAN BE INCLUDED WITHIN THE DOCUMENT. AND THE
- 16 DOCUMENT KEY IS, INDEED, DISCARDED.
- Q. SO THE ANSWER TO MY QUESTION IS: "YES, THE DOCUMENT SAYS
- 18 THAT"?
- 19 A. THE DOCUMENT SAYS WHAT YOU'VE HIGHLIGHTED.
- 20 Q. OKAY. ON THE NEXT PAGE, PAGE 57, TOWARDS THE BOTTOM, DO
- 21 YOU SEE WHERE THERE'S THE NUMBERED PARAGRAPH 2, BEGINNING WITH
- 22 THE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT SERVICE?
- 23 **A.** YES.
- 24 | Q. AND YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME, MR. HERBACH, THAT THE RIGHTS
- 25 MANAGEMENT SERVICE AUTHENTICATES THE USER, CHECKS THE POLICY

- 1 FOR AUTHORIZATION AND CREATES A VOUCHER, CORRECT?
- 2 **A.** YES.

- 3 \parallel Q. AND THAT THE VOUCHER CONTAINING THE DOCUMENT'S KEY AND
- 4 PERMISSIONS IS SENT BACK TO THE CLIENT APPLICATION.
 - A. YES, WHEN THE USER IS ENTITLED TO OPEN THE DOCUMENT.
- 6 Q. OKAY. NOW, WERE IN THE COURTROOM WHEN DR. DEVANBU
- - A. NO.
- 9 Q. OKAY. BUT YOU WOULD AGREE, THOUGH, THAT IT CAN BE
- 10 CONFIGURED IN ADOBE FOR OFFLINE USE?
- 11 A. THE PRODUCT CAN BE CONFIGURED FOR OFFLINE USE. THIS
- 12 PARTICULAR PAGE IS TALKING ABOUT ONLINE USE.
- 13 Q. ACTUALLY, LET'S TAKE THAT DOWN. JUST GENERALLY, SIR, IT
- 14 CAN BE CONFIGURED FOR OFFLINE USE?
- 15 A. YES. THE PRODUCT ALLOWS DOCUMENTS TO BE TAKEN OFFLINE IF
- 16 | THERE IS NOT A NETWORK CONNECTION AVAILABLE FOR A USER TO
- 17 COMMUNICATE WITH THE SERVER.
- 18 Q. LIKE IN AN AIRPLANE?
- 19 **A.** YES.
- 20 Q. IF A DOCUMENT IS PROTECTED WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT
- 21 | TECHNOLOGY AND IT IS ENABLED FOR OFFLINE USE, IT CONTAINS AN
- 22 OFFLINE KEY, DOESN'T IT?
- 23 **A.** YES.
- 24 | Q. MR. HERBACH, IF YOU COULD, IN YOUR BINDER THERE IS A
- 25 DOCUMENT MARKED "PLAINTIFF'S 154."

1	CAN YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THAT, SIR?
2	(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
3	(DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)
4	A. OKAY. I HAVE IT.
5	Q. DO YOU SEE IT?
6	A. I DO.
7	Q. MR. HERBACH, YOU RECOGNIZE THIS AS THE ADOBE LIVECYCLE
8	POLICY SERVER EXTENSION SOFTWARE LICENSE AGREEMENT, CORRECT?
9	MR. REINES: OBJECTION, LACK OF FOUNDATION, YOUR
10	HONOR.
11	THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE WILL LAY THE FOUNDATION,
12	IF HE CAN.
13	BY MR. ELLWANGER
14	Q. MR. HERBACH, AGAIN, YOU WERE THE PRODUCT
15	THE COURT: YOU ASKED HIM THE QUESTION. LET HIM
16	ANSWER IT.
17	THE WITNESS: YES. THIS DOCUMENT IS DESCRIBED AS A
18	SOFTWARE LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR THE LIVECYCLE POLICY SERVER
19	EXTENSION SOFTWARE.
20	BY MR. ELLWANGER
21	Q. AND THAT IS THE DIVISION YOU ARE THE PRODUCT MANAGER FOR?
22	A. IT'S ONE OF THE COMPONENTS IN THE LIVECYCLE SOLUTION THAT
23	I WAS THE PRODUCT MANAGER FOR.
24	MR. ELLWANGER: YOUR HONOR, PLAINTIFFS OFFER 154.
25	THE COURT: I WASN'T TOTALLY CLEAR. ARE YOU JUST

- 1 READING WHAT IT SAYS, OR CAN YOU IDENTIFY THIS AS BEING, IN 2 TRUTH, WHAT IT SAYS IT IS? 3 THE WITNESS: I TRUST THIS IS, IN FACT, THE 4 AGREEMENT. I HAVEN'T CAREFULLY REVIEWED THE LICENSE 5 AGREEMENTS RECENTLY. MR. ELLWANGER: PERHAPS I CAN DRAW SOME MORE 6 7 FOUNDATION FOR HIM. 8 THE COURT: OKAY. 9 BY MR. ELLWANGER 10 PLEASE LOOK AT THE FINAL PAGE OF EXHIBIT 154. 11 Α. YES. 12 Q. DO YOU SEE THAT FILE EXTENSION THERE, SIR? 13 "LIVECYCLE_POLICYSERVER_EXT"? 14 Α. YES. 15 IN YOUR EXPERIENCE, SIR, IS THAT A COMMON FILE EXTENSION 16 FOR DOCUMENTS OF THIS TYPE WITHIN ADOBE? 17 YES. Α. DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO DISAGREE THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND 18 19 CORRECT COPY OF THE SOFTWARE LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR ADOBE 20 LIVECYCLE POLICY SERVER? 21 I TRUST THIS IS THE DOCUMENT. I WILL NOTE THAT IN THE 22 FINAL LINE IT SUGGESTS THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS CREATED IN 23 OCTOBER 2006.
- 25 A. I WAS NOT THE PRODUCT MANAGER AT THAT TIME. I WAS AN

O. OKAY.

MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, IT CAME FROM OUR FILES.

ΙF

AND I BELIEVE THAT IS WHAT THIS LEGAL AGREEMENT IS INTENDED TO

1	PROTECT.
2	Q. IN FACT, THAT LEGAL AGREEMENT SAYS THAT A CUSTOMER MAY NOT
3	MODIFY ADOBE LIVECYCLE POLICY SERVER; IS THAT CORRECT? THAT
4	IS WHAT IT SAYS?
5	A. THAT'S WHAT THE WORDS SAY:
6	"YOU MAY NOT MODIFY, ADAPT OR TRANSLATE THE SOFTWARE."
7	MR. ELLWANGER: AND IF YOU COULD, THE PARAGRAPH ABOVE
8	THAT, MS. MASON, PARAGRAPH THREE.
9	BY MR. ELLWANGER
10	Q. THAT DEALS WITH INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, CORRECT,
11	MR. HERBACH?
12	MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, I MEAN, WE ARE GETTING FAR
13	AFIELD HERE.
14	THE COURT: WE ARE.
15	MR. ELLWANGER: LAST QUESTION, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
17	BY MR. ELLWANGER
18	Q. MR. HERBACH, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS ARE IMPORTANT TO
19	ADOBE SOFTWARE, ARE THEY NOT?
20	A. YES.
21	MR. ELLWANGER: PASS THE WITNESS.
22	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
23	BY MR. REINES
24	Q. MR. HERBACH, YOU TESTIFIED ON DIRECT EXAM THAT YOU STARTED

WITH ADOBE I THINK IT WAS 11 YEARS AGO AS A FULL-TIME

MR. REINES: WHY DON'T WE STEP BACK AT LEAST TO 1 2 ANSWER THAT QUESTION. 3 BY MR. REINES 4 Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE WHAT XEROX PARC IS AND, THEREFORE, WHAT 5 THE MODEL WAS FOR THE ADOBE RESEARCH GROUP THAT YOU STARTED IN WHEN YOU LEFT COLLEGE? 6 7 SO THE MODEL WAS SIMILAR TO BUILD TECHNOLOGIES THAT WOULD 8 BE POTENTIALLY INTERESTING AND CRITICAL TO ADOBE'S FUTURE. 9 XEROX, BEFORE I WAS BORN, STARTED A LAB IN -- NEAR PALO ALTO 10 CALLED THE PALO ALTO RESEARCH CENTER, P-A-R-C. 11 IN THIS LAB MANY NOW COMMONPLACE TECHNOLOGIES LIKE THE 12 MOUSE, THE MOUSE THAT YOU USE ON THE COMPUTER, THE GRAPHICAL 13 USER INTERFACE THAT WE ARE USED TO IN USING WINDOWS OR MAC, OS. AND, IN FACT, THE LASER PRINTER TECHNOLOGIES ALSO CAME 14 15 FROM WITHIN ALL THAT WORK. I BELIEVE ETHERNET, THE NETWORKING 16 TECHNOLOGY THAT IS USED BETWEEN COMPUTERS AND THE INTERNET WAS 17 DEVELOPED THERE. NOW, SOME OF THESE TECHNOLOGIES WERE CERTAINLY RELATED TO 18 19 WHAT XEROX WAS DOING AT THE TIME. SOME OF THE TECHNOLOGIES 20 WERE SPECULATIVE. AND THAT SAME MODEL APPLIED TO WHAT WE WERE 21 TRYING TO BUILD WITHIN THE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY GROUP, ATG, 22 WITHIN ADOBE. 23 JUST TO MIND MY P'S AND Q'S, WHY DON'T YOU DESCRIBE WHERE 24 YOU WENT TO COLLEGE AND WHAT DEGREE YOU GOT, FOR THE RECORD?

SURE. I HAVE A BACHELOR'S IN COMPUTER SCIENCE FROM

25

Α.

1 PRINCETON.

- Q. ALL RIGHT. WHEN YOU STARTED AT THE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY

 GROUP THAT WAS IN WHAT YEAR?
 - A. IT WAS IN THE SUMMER OF 2001.
- Q. AND JUST IN TERMS OF FILLING OUT YOUR EDUCATIONAL

 BACKGROUND, AT SOME POINT IN TIME DID YOU GET AN ADDITIONAL
 - A. I DID. AS I SAID, THE MAJORITY OF MY PEERS WERE PH.D.'S,

 AND MY MANAGERS ENCOURAGED ME TO CONTINUE MY EDUCATION. IN

 FACT, ADOBE PAID FOR ME PART-TIME TO GO TO STANFORD TO GET A

 MASTER'S IN COMPUTER SCIENCE WHILE I WAS WORKING FULL TIME

 WITHIN THE RESEARCH LAB.
 - Q. YOU RECEIVED THAT MASTER'S?
- **A.** I DID, YES.

DEGREE?

- Q. LET'S GET RIGHT TO THE LIVECYCLE PRODUCT, WHICH HAS BEEN

 ACCUSED OF INFRINGEMENT HERE. WHAT WAS YOUR INVOLVEMENT WITH

 LIVECYCLE AT THE EARLIEST POINT?
 - A. AT ITS EARLIEST POINT, ONE OF THE TECHNOLOGIES THAT I AND A FEW COLLEAGUES WERE RESEARCHING WERE HOW TO -- HOW TO SHARE PROTECTED DOCUMENTS. AND WE BEGAN TO BUILD A PROTOTYPE IN 2002, WHICH WAS FOCUSED ON HOW -- HOW LARGE ORGANIZATIONS, COMPANIES, GOVERNMENTS COULD CONTROL DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION BY POLICY. MAKING SURE THAT THE RIGHT PEOPLE COULD CONCEPTUALLY OPEN THE RIGHT DOCUMENTS AT THE RIGHT POINT IN TIME.

_	
1	AND IT'S THAT TECHNOLOGY THAT WE BUILT OUT AS A PROTOTYPE
2	THAT BECAME THE BASIS FOR WHAT WAS LATER PRODUCTIZED AND KNOWN
3	AS "LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT," AND/OR "LIVECYCLE POLICY
4	SERVER" AT SOME DIFFERENT POINTS IN TIME.
5	Q. WHO WERE THE TWO COLLEAGUES? OR I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS
6	TWO, THREE. WHATEVER THE NUMBER.
7	A. THE PRIMARY COLLEAGUES AT THAT POINT WERE BILL SHAPIRO AND
8	JIM DONAHUE.
9	Q. AT SOME POINT DID YOU CAN YOU DESCRIBE HOW THAT SHIFTED
10	FROM BEING AN R AND D PROJECT IN A THINK TANK TO AN ACTUAL
11	PRODUCTIZATION?
12	A. YEAH. SO BETWEEN 2002 AND 2003, WE WENT THROUGH SEVERAL
13	DESIGNS OF HOW THE PRODUCT WOULD WORK AND BUILT OUT BY LATE
14	SUMMER, 2003, A COMPLETE WORKING PROTOTYPE THAT ALLOWED
15	DOCUMENTS TO BE PROTECTED BY POLICIES AND SHARED AMONGST
16	AMONGST INDIVIDUALS.
17	AND BILL AND JIM AND I SPENT TIME WITH OUR MANAGERS AND
18	WITH THE MANAGEMENT OF ADOBE AND CONVINCED THEM THAT THIS WAS
19	A BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY WORTH INVESTING IN.
20	AND SO IN THE FALL OF 2003, WE MOVED OUT OF THE RESEARCH
21	LAB, OUT OF ATG, INTO A PRODUCT GROUP, AND STARTED TO HIRE A
22	TEAM TO TAKE THE WORKING PROTOTYPE AND ACTUALLY TURN IT INTO
23	SOMETHING THAT WE COULD SELL AS A PRODUCT.

THE NAME OF THE PRODUCT?

24

25

AND WHEN YOU MOVED INTO THE COMMERCIALIZATION, WHAT WAS

_	
1	A. WELL, AT THAT POINT IN TIME WE REALLY DIDN'T HAVE A NAME.
2	THERE IS A CODE NAME FOR THE PROJECT. BUT ONLY LATER ONCE
3	THE ONCE WE HIRED MORE PEOPLE AND STARTED TO GET THE
4	MARKETING TEAM INVOLVED, DID IT, IN EARLY TO MID 2004, BECOME
5	KNOWN OFFICIALLY AS "LIVECYCLE POLICY SERVER."
6	Q. DURING YOUR INVOLVEMENT WITH LIVECYCLE, DID YOU OBTAIN
7	PATENTS ON THAT PRODUCT?
8	A. MANY. SO WE FILED OUR FIRST SET OF PATENTS ON HALLOWEEN
9	IN 2003, JUST AS WE WERE TRANSITIONING OVER TO THE
10	COMMERCIALIZATION TEAM.
11	AND SINCE THEN, HAVE OVER TEN PATENTS THAT HAVE ISSUED AND
12	PROBABLY ANOTHER FIVE TO TEN THAT ARE STILL PENDING
13	APPLICATION.
14	Q. ARE PATENTS IMPORTANT TO YOU?
15	A. THEY ARE NICE, BUT ULTIMATELY THEY ARE A BYPRODUCT AND
16	REFLECTION OF THE WORK THAT WE ARE DOING IN TERMS OF
17	DEVELOPING INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES THAT WE CAN BRING TO MARKET
18	TO HELP OUR CUSTOMERS.
19	Q. RIGHT NOW THE JURY HAS BEEN SITTING HERE WITH A TIME LINE
20	PREPARED BY DIGITAL REG'S LITIGATION TEAM WHICH HAS REFERENCE
21	TO SOME OF YOUR APPLICATIONS. SO, WE'RE GOING TO MOVE INTO
22	THE PATENT PROCESS HERE A BIT FOR THAT REASON.
23	MR. ELLWANGER: YOUR HONOR, JUST FOR POINT OF
24	CLARIFICATION, IS THIS GOING TO BE ANY DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE

ATTEMPTED TO DO ON DIRECT? AND, IF SO, PERHAPS IT CAN BE PART

OF THE STIPULATION. 1 2 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. 3 MR. ELLWANGER: WELL, WE ARE JUST GETTING INTO THE SAME PATENTS THAT I ATTEMPTED TO TALK TO MR. HERBACH ABOUT ON 4 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION AND THE FILE HISTORIES AND TIME LINES. SO I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION WE WERE GOING TO CREATE A 6 7 STIPULATION BETWEEN THE PARTIES ON THIS TESTIMONY. 8 THE COURT: WELL, I THOUGHT WHAT YOU WERE DOING WAS 9 POINTING OUT THAT THE NAME "PATTERSON" WAS IN A LOT OF THESE PATENTS. AND I THOUGHT THAT THAT WAS AN INEFFICIENT WAY OF 10 11 POINTING THAT OUT. 12 IF THERE WAS SOMETHING MORE THAT YOU WERE PLANNING TO DO 13 WITH THAT AND HE DOES IT, THEN YOU CAN DO IT, TOO. MR. ELLWANGER: FAIR ENOUGH. 14 15 BY MR. REINES 16 O. I AM GOING TO BE ASKING ABOUT YOUR PATENTS, NOT OTHER 17 PEOPLE'S, OKAY? NOW, THERE HAS BEEN ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU -- "YOU" BEING 18 19 ADOBE -- STOLE SOMETHING FROM DIGITAL REG. AND YOUR 20 APPLICATIONS ARE UP THERE, SO, AGAIN, THAT IS WHY I'M GOING TO 21 GET INTO THE PATENT APPLICATION PROCESS OF YOURS. 22 DID YOU FILE YOUR OWN PATENT APPLICATIONS? 23 NO. WE TOOK THE IDEAS THAT WE FELT WERE PATENTABLE AND 24 TALKED TO OUR ATTORNEYS. AND ONCE THEY AGREED THAT THEY WERE 25 POTENTIALLY INNOVATIVE WE WORKED WITH OUTSIDE COUNSEL TO DRAFT

THE LONG APPLICATIONS. AND WE SPENT TIME TO MAKE SURE THAT 1 2 THE ENGLISH DESCRIPTION OF THE TECHNOLOGY WAS, IN FACT, 3 ACCURATE. BUT THEN, THEY TOOK CARE OF THE FILING. 4 Q. AFTER THE APPLICATION WAS FILED, DID YOU STAY INVOLVED 5 WITH THE PATENTS? NO. THE ATTORNEYS AT THAT POINT HANDLED THE APPLICATION 6 7 FOR THE MANY YEARS IT TOOK FOR THEM TO ISSUE. 8 Q. AND TO THE EXTENT THERE'S ACTIVITIES, REJECTIONS AND THOSE 9 KIND OF THINGS, IN THE PATENT OFFICE, IS THAT SOMETHING YOU GET INVOLVED IN IN ANY SUBSTANTIVE WAY? 10 11 Α. NO. 12 Q. I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOU TO EXHIBIT 12, PLEASE. THIS IS 13 IN -- I THINK THE -- EITHER -- I THINK BOTH BINDERS HAVE THIS ONE BECAUSE OF THE SIGNIFICANCE. 14 15 A. OKAY. 16 O. AND WOULD YOU JUST CONFIRM, IF YOU HAVE IT IN FRONT OF 17 YOU, THAT THIS EXHIBIT 12 IS ONE OF YOUR PATENTS THAT HAS 18 ISSUED? 19 A. YEAH. 20 Q. THANK YOU. 21 MR. REINES: MAY WE -- OH, SORRY. 22 WE WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THIS PATENT INTO EVIDENCE AS AN 23 IMPORTANT PIECE IN THE PICTURE.

THE COURT: I WILL TAKE THAT UNDER SUBMISSION. YOU

OUT THE REFERENCES TO PATTERSON ON IT. IT WILL BE OUICKER. 1 2 MR. REINES: I'M HAPPY TO DO THAT. 3 FIRST OF ALL, CAN WE HIGHLIGHT FOR EVERYBODY THE FILING DATE? AND EXPAND IT SO IT IS MORE LEGIBLE TO THE EYES? 4 5 I WAS USING "HIGHLIGHT" IN A GENERIC WAY THAT WAS 6 IMPRECISE. 7 BY MR. REINES 8 O. DO YOU SEE THERE THE ORIGINAL APPLICATION DATE FOR YOUR 9 '102 PATENT? 10 THIS WAS -- THIS PARTICULAR PATENT WAS FILED IN 2010, BUT 11 I THINK IT SAYS ABOUT AN INCH BELOW THAT THIS WAS BASED UPON 12 DIVISION OF AN APPLICATION THAT WAS ORIGINALLY FILED IN AUGUST 13 OF 2004. 14 Q. OKAY. AND YOU RECALL, GENERALLY, THAT YOU FILED PATENT 15 APPLICATIONS IN AUGUST, 2004? 16 A. YES. 17 Q. AND WERE THOSE THE FIRST WAVE OF PATENTS THAT YOU FILED ON 18 LIVECYCLE? 19 A. NO, I THINK THE FIRST WAIVE OF PATENTS ON LIVECYCLE WAS 20 FILED ON HALLOWEEN IN 2003. 21 LET'S LOOK AT THE REFERENCE TO "PATTERSON" ON THE FACE OF 22 THAT APPLICATION. I SHOULD SAY "PATENT." 23 MR. REINES: CAN WE PULL THAT UP, PLEASE? 24 IT'S ACTUALLY -- I SHOULD SAY THAT IT'S ON THE SECOND.

THERE IS A LOT OF REFERENCES. THERE IS ONE.

1 BY MR. REINES

- 2 OKAY. DO YOU SEE THAT THERE IS A REFERENCE TO
- 3 "PATTERSON"?
- 4 I DO. IT IS HIGHLIGHTED. THERE IS A REFERENCE TO A Α.
- 5 PATENT ENDING IN '670 BY PATTERSON.
- WHY DON'T WE GO TO THE SECOND PAGE SO WE HAVE A SENSE OF 6
- 7 HOW MANY PATENTS WERE INVOLVED IN THIS? AND DO YOU HAVE A
- 8 GENERAL SENSE OF HOW MANY PATENTS ARE ON THIS APPLICATION?
- 9 Α. YEAH --

- APPLICATIONS OR --0.
- 11 I DON'T HAVE THE FULL APPLICATION IN FRONT OF ME. MAYBE A Α.
- 12 HUNDRED LINES HERE?
- 13 AND DID YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE WAS ANY
- OBLIGATION ON YOU AS AN ENGINEER AT ADOBE TO LOOK AT EVERY ONE 14
- 15 OF THESE PATENT APPLICATIONS OR PATENTS THAT HAVE BEEN CITED?
- 16 Α. NO.
- 17 DID YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE IS ANY
- SUGGESTION THAT EVERY ONE OF THESE PATENTS WAS A POTENTIAL 18
- 19 INFRINGEMENT RISK FOR ANY ADOBE PRODUCTS WITH WHICH YOU WERE
- 20 WORKING THAT MAY BE RELATED TO THIS PATENT?
- 21 NO. Α.
- 22 HAVE YOU EVER HEARD -- LET ME ASK IT THIS WAY: IN THE
- 23 COURSE OF YOUR DUTIES AT ADOBE, ARE YOU AWARE OF ANYBODY AT
- 24 ADOBE TREATING EVERY SINGLE CITATION OF PRIOR ART AS BEING
- 25 SOMETHING THAT IS A SUGGESTION OF INFRINGEMENT?

- 1 **A.** NO.
- 2 \parallel Q. AND TO THE EXTENT THERE IS ANY KIND OF ISSUE WITH ANY OF
- 3 | THESE PATENTS OR PATENTS APPLICATIONS THAT WERE CITED AS PRIOR
- 4 ART, WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SORTING THAT OUT?
- 5 **A.** THE OUTSIDE COUNSEL OR THE PATENT ATTORNEYS THAT ARE
- 6 EMPLOYED BY ADOBE.
- 7 | Q. AND NOW THE -- IT'S MANIFEST, BUT THE '102 PATENT, DID
- 8 | THAT ISSUE OVER THE PATTERSON PATENT THAT WAS CITED HERE?
- 9 A. YES, IT DID.
- 10 Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 10, PLEASE.
- 11 **THE COURT:** WHY DON'T WE TAKE OUR BREAK? IT IS
- 12 | 10:10. WE WILL BREAK UNTIL 10:25.
- 13 (RECESS TAKEN AT 10:10 A.M.; RESUMED AT 10:25 A.M.)
- 14 PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.)
- 15 **THE CLERK:** YOU MAY GO AHEAD AND BE SEATED.
- 16 REMAIN SEATED. COME TO ORDER. COURT IS BACK IN SESSION.
- 17 **THE COURT:** GO AHEAD.
- 18 BY MR. REINES
- 19 Q. I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO EXHIBIT 10 FOR
- 20 IDENTIFICATION.
- 21 **A.** OKAY.
- 22 (DISPLAYED FOR JURY).
- 23 Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE WHAT THIS IS?
- 24 | A. YES. THIS IS A PATENT ENDING IN '642. IT IS ONE OF MY
- 25 PATENTS. AND I BELIEVE IT IS THE ONE REFERRED TO EARLIER THAT

```
1
      WAS FILED IN AUGUST OF 2004.
 2
       Q. SO THE TECHNOLOGY DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION, YOU'RE
 3
       SAYING THAT'S FROM WHAT DATE?
         THIS IS THE LIVECYCLE TECHNOLOGY THAT WAS FILED ON
 4
 5
       AUGUST 31, 2004.
       Q. AND WE SEE THIS IS THE '323 APPLICATION. WHY DON'T WE
 6
 7
      MOVE IT INTO EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.
 8
           YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD LIKE TO MOVE EXHIBIT 10 INTO
 9
      EVIDENCE, PLEASE.
10
               MR. ELLWANGER: NO OBJECTION.
11
                THE COURT: RECEIVED.
12
                THE CLERK: IS THIS --
13
                THE COURT: THIS IS A PATENT?
                MR. REINES: THIS IS A PATENT THAT'S THE BASIS FOR
14
15
       THE ALLEGED THEFT.
16
                THE COURT: OKAY. I'M NOT SURE WE WILL NEED THE
17
       WHOLE PATENT, BUT WE WILL ADMIT SOMETHING.
18
                MR. REINES: OKAY.
19
                THE CLERK: SO ARE YOU TAKING IT UNDER SUBMISSION --
20
                THE COURT: YES.
21
                THE CLERK: -- LIKE YOU DID THE OTHERS?
22
                THE COURT: YES.
23
               MR. REINES: IF WE CAN PULL IT UP AND SHOW THE JURY
24
       EVERYTHING, INCLUDING THE CITATION TO PATTERSON, PLEASE.
```

(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SO WE SEE THAT THIS WAS A REFERENCE CITED TO PATTERSON. AND CAN WE, JUST FOR FULSOMENESS, GO TO PAGE 2, MR. BONINI, SO WE CAN SEE AGAIN THE NUMBER OF PATENT -- PATENTS AND PATENT APPLICATIONS CITED HERE? BY MR. REINES WHAT ARE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF REFERENCES THAT WERE CITED IN THE COURSE OF THIS PROSECUTION? PERHAPS 50 OR SO. Α. NOW, TURNING BACK TO THE TIME LINE, DIGITAL REG FEATURES A REJECTION IN 2008 ON THIS APPLICATION, AS WHEN PAT PATTERSON WAS CITED FIRST? AND LET ME ASK YOU, PRIOR TO AUGUST 2008, HAD YOU EVER HEARD OF PATTERSON OR THE PATENT THAT'S BEING CITED ON THE COVER OF THIS SHEET? Α. NO, I HAD NOT. AND WE ALSO SEE LATER ON -- I BELIEVE IT'S IN THE 2010 TIME PERIOD -- YOUR OTHER PATENT WHICH WE JUST LOOKED AT, EXHIBIT 12, ALSO HAS A CITATION. DO YOU RECALL BEING INFORMED THAT THERE WAS A PATTERSON AMONG THE 50 OR A HUNDRED REFERENCES THAT WERE BEING CITED IN THE COURSE OF YOUR PROSECUTION WHEN YOU OBTAINED THE PATENT? NO. Α. OKAY. NOW, WHY DON'T WE LOOK AT THE FIGURES? AND WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO -- YOU CAN, OF COURSE, LOOK THROUGH YOUR PERSONAL COPY. BUT WHY DON'T WE LEAF THROUGH THE FIGURES JUST TO FAMILIARIZE YOURSELF AND REFRESH ON THE CONTENT OF THIS?

MR. REINES: AND JUST SCROLL THROUGH THEM, 1 2 MR. BONINI, IF YOU WOULD, KINDLY, FROM FIGURE ONE ALL THE WAY 3 THROUGH TO 26. WE CAN STOP THERE, FOR EXAMPLE. 4 5 BY MR. REINES AND CAN YOU DESCRIBE WHAT THAT FIGURE IS, FOR THE RECORD, 6 7 IN TERMS -- THAT IS FIGURE 8; IS THAT CORRECT? 8 A. SO FIGURE 8 IS A PICTURE DESCRIBING HOW LIVECYCLE 9 MANAGEMENT WORKS, ONE OF THE PATENTS WE HAVE APPLIED FOR AND 10 RECEIVED. 11 O. AND IN TERMS OF YOUR DESIGN FOR THE LIVECYCLE POLICY 12 SERVER, THAT WASN'T YOURS ALONE, RIGHT? THERE WAS OTHERS 13 INVOLVED IN THAT, CORRECT? 14 A. YES. 15 AND YOUR CO-INVENTORS, MR. DONAHUE AND MR. SHAPIRO, THOSE 16 ARE THE TWO INDIVIDUALS THAT CONCEIVED THESE IDEAS WITH YOU 17 BACK IN THE TECHNOLOGY GROUP AT THE THINK TANK; IS THAT 18 CORRECT? 19 A. YES. MR. DONAHUE AND MR. SHAPIRO WERE MY COLLEAGUES BACK 20 AT ATG, THE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY GROUP. THEY WERE MY 21 COLLEAGUES WHO HELPED DEVISE THE TECHNOLOGY PROTOTYPE THAT HAS 22 SINCE BECOME LIVECYCLE POLICY SERVER. 23 Q. OKAY. AND I KNOW YOU ARE DOING YOUR BEST, AS WE ARE ALL TRYING TO DO, BUT IF WE CAN JUST BRING THE PACE DOWN FOR THE 24

COURT REPORTER WHO HAS REALLY BEEN WORKING HARD.

- Α. ABSOLUTELY.
- 2 OKAY. IN TERMS OF THE DESIGN FOR YOUR LIVECYCLE POLICY
- 3 SERVER, THE DRM COMPONENT OF IT, FROM THESE PATENTS AND THE
- OTHER WORK YOU DID, DID YOU GET ANY OF THOSE IDEAS FROM ANYONE 4
- 5 OUTSIDE OF ADOBE?
- 6 NO. Α.

- 7 DID YOU GET THOSE IDEAS FROM ANYONE OTHER THAN THE THREE
- 8 IN YOUR WORKING GROUP AND MAYBE ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES THAT
- 9 MIGHT HAVE SUPPORTED YOU?
- NO. IT WAS OURS. 10 Α.
- 11 DID YOU EVER TALK TO ANYONE AT ADOBE VENTURES TO TRY TO
- 12 OBTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT OTHERS MIGHT HAVE BEEN DOING SO
- 13 YOU COULD USE THOSE?
- 14 Α. NO.
- 15 ARE YOU AWARE OF ANYONE AT ADOBE EVER ATTEMPTING TO GATHER
- 16 INFORMATION FROM SOURCES SUCH AS ADOBE VENTURES TO TRY AND
- 17 INCORPORATE IDEAS INTO THEIR PRODUCTS OR DESIGNS?
- 18 Α. NO.
- 19 AND YOU WERE FAMILIAR WITH ADOBE VENTURES, THOUGH, IN THIS
- 20 2004 TIME PERIOD WHEN YOU FILED ALL THESE PATENTS ON YOUR
- 21 LIVECYCLE?
- 22 IN THE COURSE OF NORMAL EMPLOYEE AND BUSINESS, YES.
- 23 AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN -- YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU HAD A BIG Q.
- 24 WAVE OF PATENT APPLICATIONS THAT WERE SUBMITTED ON HALLOWEEN
- 25 OF 2003. AND THEN, THE FOLLOW ON APPLICATIONS THAT WERE

- CITED. WHY WAS THERE A 2003 SET OF PATENTS, AND THEN A 2004 1 2 SET OF PATENTS THAT WERE FILED?
 - THE 2003 SET OF PATENTS WERE THE CORE TECHNOLOGY REFLECTING WHAT WE HAD INITIALLY BUILT WITHIN THE PROTOTYPE. AND THAT, THAT CORE TECHNOLOGY WHICH HANDLES THE BASIC MECHANISMS FOR ONLINE ACCESS, OFFLINE ACCESS AND OTHER KEY ASPECTS OF THE PRODUCT HAVE REMAINED CONSISTENT IN HOW THE

PRODUCT WORKS UP THROUGH TODAY.

AS WE STARTED TO PRODUCTIZE, THIS, THOUGH, WE ADDED SOME ADDITIONAL FEATURES AND CONCEPTS AND THINGS THAT WERE NOT THERE WITHIN THE PROTOTYPE. AND SO THERE WERE SUCCESSIVE WAVES OF PATENT APPLICATIONS FOR THE THINGS THAT WE AMENDED.

- AND LET'S TALK ABOUT ADOBE VENTURES A LITTLE BIT. BECAUSE OF THE ALLEGATIONS MADE IN THIS CASE I NEED TO COVER THIS.
- IN 2004, WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING BASED ON THE WORK THAT YOU DID OF ADOBE VENTURES?
- IT WAS A SEPARATE ORGANIZATION WITH THE ADOBE BRAND THAT WORKED WITH ADOBE'S MONEY TO INVEST IN TECHNOLOGY AND STARTUPS THAT HAD THE POTENTIAL TO MAKE MONEY FOR ADOBE.
- AND YOU'RE NOT AWARE OF ANY POINT OF ANY ENGINEERS THAT GOT PRODUCT IDEAS FROM ADOBE VENTURES? DO YOU KNOW ONE WAY OR ANOTHER ON THAT POINT?
- I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY, AND I'M NOT SURE WHY ONE WOULD EVEN CONSIDER THAT.
 - WHY DO YOU SAY THAT?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	A. I AND MY COLLEAGUES ARE PRETTY CONFIDENT IN TERMS OF OUR
2	ABILITY TO BUILD AND INNOVATE ON OUR OWN.
3	Q. AND IN TERMS OF ADOBE VENTURES, ARE YOU AWARE IN TERMS OF
4	WHETHER, YOU KNOW, THAT'S BEEN A SUCCESSFUL ENTERPRISE?
5	MR. ELLWANGER: OBJECTION. BEYOND THE SCOPE. THIS
6	WITNESS HAS ALREADY TESTIFIED TO HIS LIMITED KNOWLEDGE OF
7	ADOBE VENTURES.
8	MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, IF IT IS BEYOND THE SCOPE,
9	HE IS ALSO ON OUR WITNESS LIST. IF IT IS LACK OF FOUNDATION I
10	THINK I LAID THE FOUNDATION ALREADY.
11	THE COURT: WELL, MAYBE
12	MR. REINES: DO YOU WANT ME TO LAY MORE FOUNDATION?
13	THE COURT: FOUNDATION ABOUT HOW WELL
14	MR. ELLWANGER: I ACTUALLY MEANT LACK OF FOUNDATION.
15	MR. REINES: I WILL ADDRESS THE FOUNDATION.
16	THE COURT: OKAY.
17	MR. REINES: I WILL WITHDRAW THE QUESTION, AND
18	ADDRESS THE FOUNDATION.
19	THE COURT: OKAY.
20	BY MR. REINES
21	Q. OVER THE YEARS HAVE YOU HAD BUSINESS REASONS TO BE
22	GENERALLY FAMILIAR WITH ADOBE VENTURES?
23	A. YES, IT'S YES. LIKE MANY OTHER ASPECTS OF THE COMPANY
24	I NEED TO BE FAMILIAR OF HOW WE DO BUSINESS.

Q. AND WITH RESPECT TO ADOBE VENTURES, ARE YOU AWARE OF,

- BASED ON THAT EXPERIENCE, OF SOME OF THE SUCCESSES THEY HAVE
 HAD?
 - A. YES. I KNOW THEY INVESTED IN SHUTTERFLY, THE PHOTO
 SHARING SITE, AS WELL AS NETSCAPE, WHO BUILT THE BROWSER.
 - Q. NOW, YOU SAID BEFORE THAT YOU DIDN'T THINK IT MADE ANY SENSE FOR ADOBE ENGINEERS TO GET IDEAS FROM ADOBE VENTURES.

 CAN YOU EXPAND ON THAT A LITTLE BIT?
 - A. YEAH. I THINK AS INDIVIDUAL ENGINEERS WE HAVE THE ABILITY

 TO CREATE IDEAS ON OUR OWN. AND, CULTURALLY, WE'RE ENCOURAGED

 TO CREATE OUR OWN IDEAS. SO I DON'T -- I DON'T WHY

 INDIVIDUALS WOULD HAVE INTEREST IN TALKING TO ADOBE VENTURES

 FOR THAT PURPOSE. AND I DON'T KNOW WHY ADOBE VENTURES WOULD

 EVER WANT TO DO THAT, EITHER.
- 14 Q. WHY DO YOU SAY THAT?

- A. WELL, THEY -- AS A SEPARATE COMPANY, THEY HAD THE

 BUSINESS OBJECTIVE OF INVESTING IN COMPANIES. AND ENSURING

 THAT THEY HAD THE ABILITY TO DO THAT SUCCESSFULLY ALSO RELIES

 ON TRUST.
- Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S TALK SPECIFICALLY ABOUT DIGITAL REG
 WHICH HAS MADE THESE ALLEGATIONS ABOUT YOUR DEVELOPMENT AND
 THE RELATIONSHIP TO THEIR PATENT APPLICATION ADOBE VENTURES.
 HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF DIGITAL REG OF TEXAS?
- A. NOT BEFORE THIS.
- Q. ONE OF YOUR SPECIALTIES IS DRM; IS THAT CORRECT?
 - A. YES.

- 1 AND HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF DIGITAL CONTAINERS, ONE OF THE Q. 2 FAMILY OF COMPANIES OF MR. FARLEY?
- 3 Α. NO.
- HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF PATRICK PATTERSON AT ALL OTHER THAN 4 Q. 5 SEEING HIM ON THESE COUPLE OF DOCUMENTS?
 - NO. Α.

12

17

19

25

- 7 HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF THE '541 PATENT OUTSIDE OF HAVING Q. 8 TO TAKE TIME OUT OF YOUR DAY, FOR WHICH WE ARE APPRECIATIVE?
- 9 Α. NO.
- HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF THE '670 PATENT WHICH WE HAVE ALL 10 11 BEEN HEARING ABOUT THIS WEEK?
 - NOT BEFORE THIS. Α.
- 13 HAVE YOU EVER READ EITHER OF THOSE DIGITAL REG PATENTS? Q.
- 14 Α. NO.
- 15 AND BEFORE I MOVE OUT OF THIS CHAPTER, AND WE MOVE ON, LET Q. 16 ME ASK YOU, I MEAN, WHAT -- WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO THE

SUGGESTION THAT YOU AND COLLEAGUES AT ADOBE TOOK INFORMATION

- 18 THROUGH ADOBE VENTURES AND USED IT FOR YOUR LIVECYCLE PRODUCT?
 - I'M TOTALLY SURPRISED AND SPEECHLESS.
- 20 I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT THE ACTUAL PRODUCT. AND 21 PROBABLY A TOPIC THAT'S MORE COMFORTABLE FOR YOU AND MORE 22 FRIENDLY.
- 23 WITH RESPECT TO LIVECYCLE, CAN YOU DESCRIBE WHAT LIVECYCLE 24 WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT IS IN TERMS OF A PLUG-IN?
 - SURE. SO, THE LIVECYCLE SUITE OF PRODUCTS AND THE RIGHTS Α.

- MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY WITHIN THAT HAS A PLUG-IN THAT'S A PART 1 2 OF ACROBAT AND A PART OF READER THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, ALLOWS 3 USERS TO OPEN DOCUMENTS IN THE EXISTING COPY OF THE FREE READER. AND THE SOFTWARE WILL THEN KNOW WHAT TO DO EVEN 4 5 THOUGH THE EXISTING BASE SOFTWARE THAT HANDLES RENDERING AND IMAGES WITH A READER DOESN'T REALLY KNOW ANYTHING AT ALL 6 7 WITHOUT THIS PLUG-IN OF WHAT RIGHTS MANAGEMENT IS. 8 IS THERE A PLUG-IN FOR ADOBE LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS Q. 9 MANAGEMENT IN THE ACROBAT PRO PRODUCT? 10 YES. Α. 11 IS THAT PLUG-IN ENABLED WHEN SOMEONE PURCHASES ACROBAT 0. 12 PROFESSIONAL?
 - Α. NO. IT'S -- IT'S DORMANT UNTIL A USER CONFIGURES IT IN SOME FORM WITH AN EXISTING SERVER AND WITH AN ACCOUNT ON THAT SERVER.
 - O. ARE THERE OTHER ASPECTS OF ACROBAT PRO THAT ARE DORMANT OR NONFUNCTIONING WHEN PURCHASED ABSENT STEPS SUCH AS A
 - YEAH, THERE ARE SEVERAL. WOULD YOU LIKE AN EXAMPLE? A.

REGISTRATION OR A PAYMENT OR SOME OTHER PROCESS?

IF YOU HAVE ONE. Q.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- YES. SO, IN MY CURRENT BUSINESS BUILDING SOLUTIONS FOR CONSUMERS WITH READER AND ACROBAT, ONE OF THE SERVICES THAT I BUILD IS CALLED "SEND NOW." IT'S A WAY FOR USERS TO SHARE DOCUMENTS WITH OTHERS.
- 25 AND IT'S A SUBSCRIPTION SERVICE THAT A USER HAS TO HAVE

HERBACH - CROSS / REINES

AND HAS TO TURN ON IN READER AND IN ACROBAT PRO.

- Q. NOW, CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE PROCESS IF SOMEONE BUYS ACROBAT
 PROFESSIONAL AND THEY ARE ONE OF THE PEOPLE THAT HAS THE
 DESIRE TO USE IT WITH LIVECYCLE, WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO IN ORDER
 TO GET FROM HAVING THAT SOFTWARE TO ACTUALLY HAVING ANY CHANCE
- 6 AT ALL OF USING IT?

A. FIRST THEY HAVE TO HAVE THE SERVER SOMEWHERE. SO THEIR
ORGANIZATION HAS TO BE RUNNING A COPY OF LIVECYCLE RIGHTS
MANAGEMENT. AND THEY HAVE TO HAVE AN ACCOUNT USER NAME AND A
PASSWORD ON THAT SERVER.

ONCE THEY HAVE THAT INFORMATION, THEY NEED TO GO INTO ACROBAT AND CONFIGURE IT TO POINT THE CLIENT AT THAT SERVER RUNNING OUT THERE SOMEWHERE AND TO LET THAT SERVER KNOW WHO THEY ARE, SUCH THAT THE SERVER IS WILLING TO COMMUNICATE WITH THEM AND ALLOW THEM TO PROTECT NEW DOCUMENTS WITH THE SYSTEM.

- Q. ALL RIGHT. NOW, HOW MANY COPIES OF LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS
 MANAGEMENT HAS ADOBE SOLD OVER, I GUESS, SINCE 2004?
- A. A FEW HUNDRED.
- Q. WHY ARE THERE SO FEW COPIES OF THIS SOFTWARE OVER A DECADE PLUS SOLD BY ADOBE, WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY A VERY SUCCESSFUL COMPANY?
- A. THE DOCUMENT PROTECTION FEATURES WITHIN LIVECYCLE RIGHTS

 MANAGEMENT ARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY FOR SECURITY AND VERY FEW

 ORGANIZATIONS HAVE THAT NEED FOR SUCH ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY FOR

 PROTECTING DOCUMENTS.

- CAN YOU GIVE AN EXAMPLE OF THE TYPE OF INSTITUTION WHICH 1 Q. 2 MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN THIS SPECIALIZED TECHNOLOGY?
 - THE U.S. MILITARY, FOR EXAMPLE.
- NOW, YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THAT LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS 4 **Q**. 5 MANAGEMENT, THAT'S -- IS THAT ALL OF LIVECYCLE, OR ARE THERE 6 OTHER ASPECTS TO IT?
 - THERE ARE MANY OTHER ASPECTS BEYOND RIGHTS MANAGEMENT. THERE ARE 12 TO 14 OR SO DIFFERENT -- DIFFERENT MODULES THAT ALL WORK WITH DOCUMENTS IN SOME FORM.
 - BUT RIGHTS MANAGEMENT IS THE ONE THAT IS FOCUSED ON DOCUMENT PROTECTION ITSELF.
 - Q. SO OF THE 12 OR 14 DIFFERENT MODULES, ONE OF THOSE IS LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT; IS THAT CORRECT?
 - Α. YES.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

- OKAY. NOW, CONTRASTING TO THAT SMALL DATABASE WITH Q. LIVECYCLE, LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT ADOBE ACROBAT PRO NOW.
- 17 A. OKAY.
 - HOW MANY COPIES ARE THERE? AND YOU CAN JUST GIVE A GENERALIZED ESTIMATE OF ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL.
- 20 TENS OF MILLIONS. Α.
- 21 AND BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE, HOW MANY PEOPLE USING 22 ACROBAT PRO AT ADOBE WITH THEIR DOCUMENTS, YOU KNOW, LIKE ALL 23 OF US MIGHT USE IT WHEN WE RECEIVE A PDF OR WORKING WITH 24 ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL IN THAT CONTEXT, HOW MANY OF THEM WOULD 25 EVER TOUCH LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT, THE DRM?

- 1 A. THE NUMBER OF -- CAN YOU REPEAT THAT?
- 2 Q. SURE. WHAT ARE THE NUMBER OF USERS OF ADOBE PROFESSIONAL
- 3 | THAT WOULD BE INTERACTING AT ALL WITH LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS
- 4 MANAGEMENT?

- 5 A. THE NUMBER OF USERS WHO HAVE ADOBE ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL
- 6 WHO ARE INTERACTING IN SOME FORM WITH ADOBE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS
- 7 MANAGEMENT IS VERY SMALL.
 - O. AND WHY DO YOU SAY THAT?
- 9 **A.** WELL, FOR A COUPLE OF REASONS. THE NUMBER OF LIVECYCLE
- 10 | RIGHTS MANAGEMENT CUSTOMERS IS SMALL IN THE FEW HUNDREDS. THE
- 11 NUMBER OF EXISTING LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT CUSTOMERS WHO
- 12 USE IT WITH ADOBE ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL ITSELF IS VERY SMALL.
- 13 SO THAT IS A VERY SMALL NUMBER OF A SMALL NUMBER.
- 14 BUT IF YOU THEN TAKE THAT VERY SMALL NUMBER OF A SMALL
- 15 NUMBER AND COMPARE THAT TO THE TENS OF MILLIONS OF COPIES OF
- 16 ADOBE ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL THAT WE HAVE SOLD, IT'S -- IT'S
- 17 SUPER TINY.
- 18 Q. AND IN ORDER TO USE THE DIGITAL RIGHT MANAGEMENT METHODS
- 19 OF LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT, WOULD YOU -- AND LET'S
- 20 SAY YOU WERE AN ADOBE ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL USER. IN ORDER TO
- 21 USE THE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT DRM SOLUTION AS A METHOD,
- 22 YOU WOULD HAVE TO BE ONE OF THAT SUPER TINY GROUP?
- 23 A. RIGHT. YOU WOULD HAVE TO BE IN THAT SUPER TINY GROUP AND
- 24 | THEN GONE THROUGH THE STEPS TO CONFIGURE A PRODUCT TO TURN IT
- 25 ON. GONE THROUGH THE STEPS TO CONFIGURE YOUR ACROBAT CLIENT

TO TURN ON THE FEATURE TO TALK TO THE LIVECYCLE SERVER. 1 2 AND WHY WOULD YOUR AVERAGE ADOBE PROFESSIONAL USER NOT BE ABLE TO USE THE DRM FOR LIVECYCLE? THAT MIGHT BE, YOU KNOW, 3 THIS DORMANT CODE THAT MIGHT BE IN THE PRODUCT. WHY IS THAT? 4 5 WHAT WOULD PREVENT THEM FROM DOING IT? 6 THE -- TO BE BLUNT, AS STRAIGHTFORWARD AS THE HELP GUIDE 7 MIGHT BE TO DESCRIBE HOW TO TURN THE FEATURE ON, IT'S 8 SOMETHING YOU HAVE TO BE REALLY CAREFUL WHAT YOU TYPE IN. AND 9 IF YOU TYPE IN THE WRONG THING, IT JUST WON'T WORK VERY WELL. 10 IT WON'T WORK, AND THE ERROR MESSAGE YOU GET WILL PROBABLY NOT 11 BE VERY HELPFUL IN HELPING YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT TO DO. 12 AND IN ORDER FOR AN AVERAGE ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL USER TO Q. 13 USE THE LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT, WOULD THEY NEED TO BE AWARE OF A LIVECYCLE SERVER AND HAVE ACCESS TO IT? 14 15 ABSOLUTELY. Α. 16 WHY IS THAT? 0. 17 WELL, BECAUSE, THE CLIENT HAS -- THE CLIENT DOESN'T --18 CLIENT LIKE ACROBAT AND READER IN THE CONTEXT OF -- I AM 19 SORRY. 20 ACROBAT, THE SOFTWARE THAT YOU CAN USE TO PROTECT NEW 21 DOCUMENTS, DOESN'T HAVE WHAT I MIGHT TECHNICALLY CALL "OTHER 22 DISCOVERY." 23 IT HAS NO IDEA WHERE TO LOOK TO FIND A SERVER THAT MIGHT 24 BE NEARBY. SO YOU HAVE TO MANUALLY GO AHEAD AND CONFIGURE IT 25 AND THEN ENTER YOUR ACCOUNT IN SOME FORM.

1	Q. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT DIGITAL REG IS ACCUSING OF
2	INFRINGEMENT EVERY COPY OF ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL DURING THE
3	RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER IT IS EVER USED
4	WITH LIVECYCLE OR HAS THE ABILITY TO BE USED WITH IT?
5	A. YEAH.
6	Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE PRODUCT OPERATION, WHICH IS PROBABLY
7	EVEN MORE COMFORTABLE FOR YOU.
8	A. OKAY.
9	Q. HOW MANY YEARS DID YOU WORK ON LIVECYCLE IN TERMS OF
10	EITHER DEVELOPING THE PRODUCT OR SUPPORTING IT?
11	A. I STARTED IN 2002, 2003, IN THAT PROTOTYPE STAGE, AND
12	PRODUCTIZED IT AT THAT POINT AND WAS THE PRODUCT MANAGER LATER
13	THROUGH 2011. SO, ABOUT NINE YEARS OR SO.
14	Q. CAN YOU GIVE AN EXAMPLE OF A TYPICAL LIVECYCLE USER AND
15	MAYBE A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT HOW THEY WOULD USE IT?
16	A. A LIVECYCLE USER, IN GENERAL, MIGHT BE AN INSURANCE
17	COMPANY. AND SO THEY MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN AUTOMATING
18	PROCESSES AROUND INSURANCE APPLICATIONS AND ISSUING OF
19	INSURANCE POLICIES.
20	SO, FOR EXAMPLE, AN AGENT OUT IN THE FIELD WORKING WITH A
21	POTENTIAL CUSTOMER MIGHT COLLECT INFORMATION ON THEIR NAME,
22	THE MODEL OF THE CAR THAT THEY HAVE, OTHER INFORMATION THAT
23	WOULD GO INTO AN INSURANCE APPLICATION FOR A CAR.
24	ONCE THAT INFORMATION WAS COLLECTED, IT WOULD BE BROUGHT
25	BACK TO THE SERVER. AND THE DOCUMENT WOULD BE PROCESSED, AND

IT MIGHT THEN HAVE TO BE SENT TO MULTIPLE PEOPLE TO VIEW. 1 2 FOR EXAMPLE, THE UNDERWRITING DEPARTMENT MIGHT HAVE TO 3 REVIEW THAT CONTENT AND FIGURE OUT IF THIS IS AN APPROPRIATE CUSTOMER FOR THE INSURANCE COMPANY. 4 5 AND ONCE THEY ACTUALLY APPROVE THE DOCUMENT -- WELL, 6 APPROVE THE CUSTOMER FOR A NEW INSURANCE POLICY, THEN 7 LIVECYCLE COULD TAKE ALL OF THAT DATA ABOUT THE USER'S NAME, 8 THE NAME OF THE CAR, ALL THAT SORT OF STUFF, PACKAGE IT UP AND 9 AUTOMATICALLY CREATE A DOCUMENT. AND THEN, SEND THAT, THAT DOCUMENT -- IN THIS CONTEXT IT 10 11 WOULD BE THE INSURANCE POLICY -- BACK OUT TO THE CUSTOMER. 12 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE SOURCE CODE OF THE LIVECYCLE 13 WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT? I DON'T MEAN TO INSULT YOU, BUT IT IS PART OF THE COURT PROCESS. 14 15 OF COURSE. YEAH, I WROTE MUCH OF IT IN PROTOTYPE FORM, 16 AND PRODUCT FORM IN 2003 AND BEYOND. 17 NOW, DID YOU TAKE TIME OUT OF YOUR WORK TO TALK TO 18 DR. WICKER SO THAT -- TO DISCUSS THE WORKINGS OF LIVECYCLE AS 19 IT RELATED TO THIS CASE? 20 I DID. Α. 21 CAN YOU DISCUSS -- WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS: I WOULD 22 LIKE YOU TO DESCRIBE HOW LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT 23 WORKS. THERE IS TWO CASES THAT THE PARTIES HAVE BEEN TALKING 24 ABOUT ONLINE, AND OFFLINE. AND MAYBE FIRST YOU CAN GIVE US A

CONCISE TUTORIAL ON WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IS BETWEEN ONLINE AND

OFFLINE, JUST AT A GENERAL LEVEL.

A. SURE. SO FOR ONLINE ACCESS THE CONCEPT HERE IS WE WANT TO PROTECT DOCUMENTS WITH A POLICY THAT WOULD BE CENTRALLY CONTROLLED.

AND SO IF A DOCUMENT IS PROTECTED WITH ONLINE ACCESS, THE CONCEPT IS THAT WHENEVER A USER OPENS THE DOCUMENT, NO MATTER WHERE THEY ARE, THE CLIENT THAT THEY ARE USING, THAT SOFTWARE WILL PHONE HOME TO THE SERVER TO TELL THE SERVER WHO THIS IS, WHAT IS THE IDENTIFIER FOR THE DOCUMENT THAT THEY ARE ACTUALLY TRYING TO OPEN.

AND THE SERVER AT THAT POINT WOULD DETERMINE, OH, YES.

THIS IS JONATHAN TRYING TO OPEN UP THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT.

AND HE IS ENTITLED TO OPEN IT. AND SO THE SERVER WILL SEND

DOWN THE KEY TO DECRYPT THE -- TO ALLOW THE CLIENT TO DECRYPT

THE DOCUMENT FOR THAT USER.

- O. OKAY. AND SO THAT'S THE OFFLINE OR ONLINE?
- A. YEAH. SO THAT IS THE ONLINE ACCESS WHEN YOU'RE ON THE NETWORK AND YOU ARE ABLE TO COMMUNICATE IN REAL TIME WITH THE SERVER, AND THEREFORE GET RIGHT AS OF RIGHT NOW, WHETHER I'M AN EMPLOYEE AND ENTITLED, OR WHATEVER CIRCUMSTANCES MIGHT DICTATE, WHETHER I'M ENTITLED TO OPEN THAT DOCUMENT RIGHT NOW LIVE.
- Q. WHEN I WORK WITH DOCUMENTS SOMETIMES I THINK I'M ONLINE

 AND I'M OFFLINE. SO THAT I'M CONFUSED HERE NOW IS CONSISTENT

 WITH THAT PRACTICE.

CAN YOU DESCRIBE NOW WHEN YOU ARE OFFLINE, SO YOU ARE NOT 1 2 ON THE INTERNET, HOW LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT WORKS IN THAT 3 CONTEXT? A. SURE. AND JUST BY WAY OF EXAMPLE, WHEN WE DESIGNED THIS 4 5 PRODUCT BACK IN THE PROTOTYPE FORM WE KNEW THERE WOULD BE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE IMPORTANT BUSINESS DOCUMENTS WOULD NEED TO 6 7 BE READ WHEN YOU DIDN'T HAVE A NETWORK CONNECTION. 8 IF YOU WERE TRAVELING ON AN AIRPLANE AND YOU HAD TO READ A 9 VERY SENSITIVE DOCUMENT THAT WAS CONTROLLED BY POLICY, WE 10 REALIZED WE HAD TO CREATE A MECHANISM THAT WE CALLED "OFFLINE 11 PROCESS, " WHEREBY IF YOU ARE NOT ABLE, DUE TO CIRCUMSTANCES, 12 TO COMMUNICATE WITH THE SERVER, AND AFTER TRYING TO 13 COMMUNICATE WITH THE SERVER AND DETERMINING THAT YOU CAN'T, 14 THEN IT CAN ENTER WHAT WE CALL THE "OFFLINE MODE", WHERE THERE 15 IS ENOUGH KEY AND STUFF EMBEDDED WITHIN THE DOCUMENT THAT THE 16 SOFTWARE CAN MAKE A DETERMINATION THAT, OKAY, I CAN'T CONTACT 17 THE SERVER, BUT I HAVE ENOUGH STUFF HERE TO SAY, YEAH, I CAN LET JONATHAN OPEN THIS DOCUMENT RIGHT NOW BECAUSE HE'S 18 19 ENTITLED. 20 Q. THANK YOU. 21 I WOULD LIKE TO NOW CALL UP SOME -- SPECIFICALLY, THE 22 LIVECYCLE ES SERVICES PRODUCT DOCUMENTATION, WHICH IS MARKED 23 AS EXHIBIT 497. 24 (DISPLAYED TO JURY.) 25

THEIR MACHINE, AND THAT THEY -- AND WHEN THEY ARE ONLINE HOW

DETERMINE IF THERE IS AN EXISTING PERMISSION FOR THE DOCUMENT?

THERE IS NOT. THE CLIENT WON'T ALWAYS TALK TO THE SERVER

24

25

Α.

THE -- IT DOES NOT. THE VOUCHER CONTAINS THE DOCUMENT KEY 14 Α. 15 AND THE THINGS THAT WE CALL PERMISSIONS.

16

17

18

19

- DOES THE -- AND IN TERMS OF THE PERMISSIONS IN THE VOUCHER Q. THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO, WHERE ARE THOSE GENERATED?
- SO, THE PERMISSIONS ARE DERIVED FROM THE CENTRAL POLICY AT THE SERVER. AND THAT IS DONE COMPLETELY SEPARATELY FROM THE DOCUMENT KEY.
- 21 AND IN TERMS OF THESE ACTIVITIES AT THE SERVER OF THE 22 PERMISSIONS, DO THOSE PERMISSIONS GRANT ACCESS TO THE 23 DOCUMENT?
- 24 NO. THE PERMISSIONS IN THE VOUCHER ARE FURTHER 25 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CLIENT. ONCE THE KEY IS USED TO OPEN UP

DOCUMENT HAS BEEN OPENED AFTER IT HAS BEEN DECRYPTED WITH THAT

1 KEY.

- 2 Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT THAT THIRD STEP IN YOUR FIGURE. ONCE
- 3 ■ THE -- WHEN THE VOUCHER IS RECEIVED AT THE CLIENT, WHAT
- 4 HAPPENS?
- 5 **A.** YEAH. I THINK I JUST ALLUDED TO THAT. SO HERE AT STEP
- 6 THREE, AFTER THE VOUCHER HAS BEEN RECEIVED, IT'S GOING TO
- 7 DECRYPT THE DOCUMENT WITH THAT KEY. AND THAT'S THE ONLY THING
- 8 THAT IS AVAILABLE TO DECRYPT THE DOCUMENT.
 - WITHOUT THAT PHYSICALLY, IT'S AN ENCRYPTED DOCUMENT THAT
- 10 THE CLIENT HAS NO IDEA WHAT TO DO WITH.
- 11 Q. WHEN THE DOCUMENT IS CLOSED WHAT HAPPENS TO THE KEY?
- 12 A. WHEN THE DOCUMENT IS CLOSED, THE KEY IS DISCARDED. THE
- 13 CLIENT HAS NO NEED FOR IT.
- 14 IT GOES BACK TO OUR PHILOSOPHY WE PREFER ONLINE ACCESS.
- 15 WE PREFER GOING BACK TO THE SERVER TO GET IN REAL TIME WHAT
- 16 | THIS USER CAN DO. AND THE WAY WE CAN CONTROL THAT IS BECAUSE
- 17 WE DON'T HAVE THE DOCUMENT KEY ITSELF FLOATING OUT THERE IN
- 18 THE WORLD. IT IS REALLY JUST CENTRALLY AT THE SERVER.
- 19 | Q. JUST TO BE CLEAR, AFTER THE DOCUMENT'S CLOSED IS THE KEY
- 20 | THAT WAS USED TO OPEN THAT DOCUMENT STORED LOCALLY?
- 21 A. NO, IT IS NOT.
- 22 | Q. IS THE DOCUMENT EVER LOCKED TO A PARTICULAR MACHINE?
- 23 **A.** IT'S NOT. THE SYSTEM DOESN'T HAVE ANY NOTION OF MACHINES.
- 24 | IT'S WHAT I WOULD CALL "IDENTITY BASED." IT GOES TO THE --
- 25 THE GOAL OF OPENING DOCUMENTS BASED UPON WHO YOU ARE, THE

CONNECTION"?

1 WHY DOES THE NETWORK -- I ASKED YOU IF YOU CAN SEE THAT.

- Q. WHY DOES THE NETWORK CONNECTION NEED TO BE CLOSED?
- A. IT NEEDS TO BE CLOSED BECAUSE THE CLIENT IS ALWAYS GOING
 TO FIRST CONTACT THE SERVER. AND ONLY UPON THAT FAILING WILL
 IT ACTUALLY GO AND LOOK AT THE OFFLINE ACCESS STUFF THAT IS
 EMBEDDED WITHIN THE DOCUMENT.

AGAIN, IT PREFERS ONLINE ACCESS, AND SO YOU HAVE TO EXPLICITLY CLOSE THE CONNECTION IN SOME FORM TO FORCE IT INTO USING OFFLINE.

- Q. WILL THE CLIENT COMPUTER ALWAYS CHECK THE SERVER FIRST IN THAT SITUATION?
- A. YES.

WILL BE USED.

USER'S IDENTITY.

CLIENT?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

I CAN.

A.

- O. WHY DO THAT WHEN THE DOCUMENT MAY HAVE AN EMBEDDED KEY?
 - A. THE INFORMATION THAT WAS EMBEDDED MAY BE DATED. AND IN SOME FORM THERE'S THE POSSIBILITY THAT THE POLICY HAS CHANGED ON THE SERVER. AND THE DESIGN OF THE SYSTEM MATCHES THE -OUR PREFERENCE TO GIVE THE BEST POSSIBLE GUARANTEE TO THE -TO THE -- THE PURCHASER OF THE SOLUTION THAT THE LATEST POLICY
- Q. AND IN THE OFFLINE MODE IS THE DOCUMENT EVER LOCKED TO A
- A. IN THE OFFLINE MODE IT IS NEVER LOCKED TO MACHINES, IF
 THAT IS WHAT YOU MEAN BY "CLIENTS." IT'S ATTACHED TO THE

NOW, WE'VE ADDRESSED THE YES/NO PERMISSION WHERE THE KEY 1 Q. 2 HAS BEEN GENERATED, AND THE LOCKING, AND HOW THAT HAPPENS. I 3 WOULD LIKE TO MOVE TO THE OPERATION RELEVANT TO -- AT LEAST WE KNOW ABOUT -- THE '670 PATENT HERE. 4 5 IS ACCESS DENIED TO THE DOCUMENT UNTIL THERE IS A SUCCESSFUL TRANSMISSION OF INFORMATION TO A SERVER IN 6 7 LIVECYCLE WITH RIGHTS MANAGEMENT? 8 NO. TRANSMISSION IS NOT RELEVANT. Α. 9 CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHY YOU SAY TRANSMISSION IS NOT RELEVANT? SURE. SO IF I AM OPENING A DOCUMENT ONLINE I SUBMIT MY 10 Α. 11 REQUEST TO THE SERVER WITH THE DOCUMENT I.D. AND MY 12 CREDENTIALS. AND THE FACT THAT I SUBMITTED THAT REQUEST IS REALLY JUST THE FIRST OF THOSE THREE STEPS TO ACTUALLY GETTING 13 THE VOUCHER BACK AND USING THE VOUCHER. UNTIL I GET THE 14 15 VOUCHER WHICH CONTAINS THE ONE AND ONLY KEY TO OPEN THE 16 DOCUMENT ONLINE, I CAN'T DO ANYTHING WITH IT. 17 WELL, LET'S TEST THAT. Q. 18 Α. OKAY. 19 IS THERE ANY INSTANCE WHERE INFORMATION IS TRANSMITTED 20 SUCCESSFULLY TO THE SERVER, BUT ACCESS IS, NEVERTHELESS, 21 DENIED? SURE. IF I TRY TO OPEN A DOCUMENT THAT I'M NOT ENTITLED 22 23 TO OPEN, I'M STILL GOING TO GO THROUGH THE BASIC STEPS OF 24 DOUBLE-CLICKING ON THE DOCUMENT. AS A USER, THE CLIENT WILL

THEN COLLECT MY CREDENTIALS, TAKE THE DOCUMENT I.D., SEND IT

```
TO THE SERVER. AND THE SERVER MAY THEN SAY THAT I AM NOT
 1
 2
       ENTITLED TO OPEN THIS DOCUMENT AND WILL NOT SEND THE KEY.
 3
          LET'S TAKE ANOTHER TEST. WILL A USER EVER BE GRANTED
       ACCESS TO THE DOCUMENT WITHOUT ANY TRANSMISSION AT ALL?
 4
 5
          YES. SO, IF A USER -- WELL, LET ME REPHRASE THAT. IF
       Α.
       I'M OFFLINE, AND I TRY TO OPEN THE DOCUMENT AND I HAPPEN NOT
 6
 7
       TO BE CONNECTED TO THE NETWORK -- I'M ON AN AIRPLANE -- MY
 8
       CLIENT WILL TRY TO REACH OUT TO THE SERVER. THAT WILL FAIL,
 9
       AND THAT TRANSMISSION WILL FAIL. BUT THEN, IF I'M ENTITLED TO
10
       OPEN IT OFFLINE WHEN I'M ON THE AIRPLANE, THE STUFF WILL KICK
11
       IN FOR OFFLINE ACCESS, AND I WILL BE ABLE TO OPEN THE DOCUMENT
12
       EVEN THOUGH THERE WAS NO SUCCESSFUL TRANSMISSION TO THE
13
       SERVER.
       Q. NOW, IN TERMS OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE OPERATION OF THE
14
15
       PRODUCTS THAT YOU'VE JUST GIVE RELEVANT TO THE TWO PATENTS IN
16
       THIS CASE, IS THAT HOW YOUR PRODUCT WORKED SINCE YOUR ORIGINAL
17
       TIME IN 2003 WHEN YOU SUBMITTED YOUR PATENT APPLICATIONS?
18
         ABSOLUTELY.
       Α.
19
          AND THAT WASN'T -- THOSE WEREN'T IDEAS THAT YOU TOOK FROM
20
       ANYBODY ELSE?
21
          CORRECT.
       Α.
22
          I THINK ONE MORE DOCUMENT.
       Q.
23
                MR. REINES: IF WE CAN CALL UP EXHIBIT 360, PLEASE.
24
      BY MR. REINES
25
           CAN YOU DESCRIBE WHAT THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR IDENTIFICATION?
```

SURE. THIS DOCUMENT IS ENTITLED: "DEVELOPING CLIENT 1 Α. 2 APPLICATIONS." IT'S A KIT USED TO ADD ADDITIONAL TYPES OF 3 FILE FORMATS BEYOND PDF AND, FOR EXAMPLE, TO THE LIVECYCLE 4 PRODUCT. 5 O. AND DOES THIS DOCUMENT DESCRIBE THE FUNCTIONALITY THAT YOU'VE BEEN PRESENTING TO THE JURY HERE TODAY AS TO HOW YOUR 6 7 PRODUCTS WORK? 8 A. YES. IT FOLLOWS THE BASIC FUNCTIONALITY WITHIN THE 9 SOLUTION. MR. REINES: AND IF WE CAN GO TO THE COPYRIGHT DATE 10 11 OF THIS ON PAGE 2, PLEASE, SO WE CAN DATE THE DOCUMENT. 12 BY MR. REINES WHAT IS THE DATE THAT YOU SEE THERE? 13 Q. 14 Α. 2005. 15 NOW, THE ALLEGED ADOBE VENTURES MEETING WHERE DIGITAL REG 16 PROVIDED INFORMATION HAPPENED IN -- YOU KNOW, ACCORDING TO THE 17 CONTENTIONS OF DIGITAL REG -- IN THE SUMMER OF 2004. IS IT 18 POSSIBLE, JUST IN TERMS OF THE TIME LINE, FOR YOU TO HAVE 19 TAKEN IDEAS FROM THAT MEETING AND INCORPORATED THAT INTO THE 20 OPERATION OF THE PRODUCT AS DESCRIBED IN THIS DOCUMENTATION OR 21 YOUR PATENTS? 22 A. NO. 23 YOU EARLIER REFERENCED PLUG-INS, AND YOU MENTIONED THAT 24 LIVECYCLE HAD A PLUG-IN FEATURE, CORRECT? AND AS PART OF YOUR

LIVECYCLE WORK, ARE YOU AWARE OF OTHER DRM PLUG-INS THAT WILL

WORK WITH PRODUCTS SUCH AS ACROBAT PRO? 1 2 YES, I'M AWARE THAT WE HAD -- HAVE A PROGRAM IN PLACE THAT 3 WILL ALLOW OTHER PARTIES TO BUILD DRM PLUG-INS AND INCORPORATE THOSE THIRD-PARTY DRM PLUG-INS INTO OUR FREE ADOBE READER. 4 5 AND IN TERMS OF THE ACTUAL DRM TECHNOLOGY IN THIS PROGRAM THAT YOU'RE DESCRIBING, IS THAT TECHNOLOGY THAT IS PROVIDED BY 6 7 THE THIRD PARTIES' DRM SOLUTION, OR IS THAT AN ADOBE SOLUTION? 8 A. YES. AND PERHAPS THE NAME MAY BE A LITTLE BIT CONFUSING. 9 THIS IS INTENDED AS A MECHANISM BY WHICH WE, ADOBE, CAN ALLOW 10 OTHER PEOPLE TO USE ALL OF THE STUFF WITHIN READER TO OPEN 11 DOCUMENTS AND RENDER DOCUMENTS AND SHOW PICTURES AND TEXT AND 12 ALL OF THAT SORT OF STUFF. 13 BUT TO TAKE THAT AND MARRY THAT WITH SOMEONE ELSE'S NOTION OF DRM, AND SO SOMEONE ELSE'S DRM PLUG-IN, THEIR TECHNOLOGY IS 14 15 THEN INCORPORATED INTO THE BIGGER ADOBE READER. 16 THE REASON I'M ASKING YOU THIS IS DIGITAL REG'S DAMAGES 17 EXPERT IS RELYING ON THIS PLUG-IN TO SUPPORT ITS DAMAGES 18 THEORY. AND I WANT TO ASK YOU: IS ADOBE PAYING FOR DIGITAL 19 DRM TECHNOLOGY IN THIS SITUATION WHERE THE THIRD PARTY IS 20 PROVIDING -- IS ESSENTIALLY LICENSING ALL OF THE RIGHTS TO 21 WORK WITH ADOBE ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL? RIGHT. IT'S ACTUALLY THE OTHER WAY AROUND. WHAT WE ARE 22 23

24

25

DOING IS GIVING OTHER PEOPLE, OTHER COMPANIES THE OPPORTUNITY

TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF ALL THE CAPABILITIES WITHIN READER FOR

THEIR DRM TECHNOLOGY. AND SO FOR THAT WE CHARGE A FEE FOR

1 THAT PRIVILEGE.

- Q. HAS ANYONE EVER TAKEN UP ADOBE ON THIS OFFER TO OPEN UP,

 TO HAVE ALL THIS ACCESS TO READER AND TO USE THEIR OWN
- 4 THIRD-PARTY DRM?

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. NOT AT LEAST SINCE 2007.

WIDESPREAD FREE ADOBE READER.

- Q. DOES ADOBE WANT PEOPLE TO TAKE THEIR OWN READER WITH THEIR

 OWN DRM SOLUTION AND INSTEAD SUBSTITUTE THIS THIRD-PARTY DRM

 THROUGH THIS PROGRAM? IS THIS SOMETHING THAT YOU WANT TO DO?
 - A. WELL, IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT WE EXPLICITLY WANT TO ENCOURAGE. WE HAVE OUR OWN DRM SOLUTION AND LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT. BUT WE DON'T WANT TO PRECLUDE ANYBODY ELSE IF THEY HAVE DRM TECHNOLOGY THAT THEY WANT TO USE WITH OUR
 - Q. AND AS SOMEONE WHO HAS WORKED WITH DRM ALL THESE YEARS,
 WHAT IS THE EXPLANATION FOR WHY IN SO MANY YEARS NOBODY HAS
 EVER ENTERED INTO THIS ARRANGEMENT WITH ADOBE WHERE THEY GET
 ASSESS TO THE ENTIRE READER, AND THEN USE THEIR OWN DRM
 MODULE?
 - A. PERSONALLY, I THINK IT'S A LITTLE BIT EXPENSIVE. BUT A NUMBER OF COMPANIES HAVE STARTED, BUT HAVE NOT REALLY BEEN HAPPY WITH THE FINANCIAL TERMS, AND THEY FOUND OTHER OPPORTUNITIES INSTEAD.
 - Q. WHAT IS THE LIVECYCLE PDF GENERATOR?
- 24 A. PDF GENERATOR IS ONE OF THE OTHER DOZEN OR 14 OR SO
 25 COMPONENTS WITHIN THE LIVECYCLE SUITE.

AND WHEN THEY DECRYPT THEY OBTAIN THE PERMISSIONS?

- 1 WHEN THEY DECRYPT THE VOUCHER THEY GET THE EXISTING A. 2 VOUCHER WHICH HAS THE DOCUMENT KEY, AS WELL AS PERMISSIONS.
- 3 SO YES? Q.
 - Α. SURE.

16

17

20

SOLD.

MANAGEMENT?

- 5 YOU TESTIFIED JUST A MOMENT AGO WITH ADOBE'S COUNSEL THAT
- THE NUMBER OF USERS WHO WOULD INTERACT WITH LIVECYCLE RIGHTS 6
- 7 MANAGEMENT IS VERY SMALL. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
- 8 A. YES, THE NUMBER OF ACROBAT PRO USERS WHO INTERACT WITH THE 9 LIVECYCLE SERVER IS VERY SMALL.
- 10 O. OKAY. BUT LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT IS EMBEDDED IN 11 EVERY COPY OF ADOBE ACROBAT PRO.
- 12 YES. THERE IS A LIVECYCLE PLUG-IN EMBEDDED WITHIN COPIES Α. 13 OF ACROBAT PRO, YES.
- 14 AND I MIGHT NOT HAVE GOTTEN THE NUMBER RIGHT. DID YOU SAY 15

HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF COPIES OF THIS HAVE BEEN SOLD?

- I THINK THAT TENS OF MILLIONS OF ACROBAT PRO HAVE BEEN
- Q. TENS OF MILLIONS. BUT THAT YOU COULD IDENTIFY MAYBE A 18 19 HUNDRED CUSTOMERS, YOU SAID, THAT WOULD USE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS
- 21 I THINK I SAID A FEW HUNDRED COPIES OF THE SERVER SOLUTION 22 HAD BEEN SOLD.
- 23 MR. ELLWANGER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH THE 24 WITNESS?
- 25 THE COURT: YES.

1 (DOCUMENT HANDED TO WITNESS.) 2 BY MR. ELLWANGER 3 I AM HANDING YOU A COPY OF WHAT'S BEEN MARKED PLAINTIFF'S 4 EXHIBIT 178. IT WASN'T IN YOUR BINDER, WHICH IS WHY I WANTED 5 TO PROVIDE YOU THAT COPY. 6 Α. SURE. 7 Q. COULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT PLAINTIFF'S 178 AND TELL ME WHEN 8 YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK THAT DOCUMENT OVER? 9 A. OKAY. 10 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) 11 OKAY. I THINK I'VE SEEN ENOUGH. Α. 12 Q. MR. HERBACH, THAT IS THE DOCUMENT PUBLISHED BY ADOBE, 13 RIGHT? 14 Α. THAT'S WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE, YES. 15 ENTITLED: "ACROBAT FOR LIFE SCIENCES"? Q. 16 YES, PRESUMABLY FROM 2010. Α. 17 AND THE REASON YOU SAY "PRESUMABLY FROM 2010," IS BECAUSE AT THE VERY BOTTOM OF THE PAGE THERE IS A HTTP WEB ADDRESS 18 19 THAT SAYS: "BLOGS.ADOBE.COM," RIGHT? 20 Α. YES. 21 AND THAT HAS A 2010 DATE IN THE FILE EXTENSION. Q. 22 Α. YES. 23 MR. ELLWANGER: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME PLAINTIFFS 24 OFFER EXHIBIT 178.

MR. REINES: NO OBJECTION.

1	THE COURT: RECEIVED.
2	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 178 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)
3	MR. ELLWANGER: IF WE COULD PLACE EXHIBIT 178 ON THE
4	SCREEN, MS. MASON?
5	THE CLERK: I WILL. I WILL.
6	MR. ELLWANGER: I APOLOGIZE.
7	(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
8	BY MR. ELLWANGER
9	Q. NOW, AT THE VERY TOP OF THE DOCUMENT, MR. HERBACH, IT SAYS
10	"ACROBAT FOR LIFE SCIENCES."
11	MR. ELLWANGER: THE VERY TOP THERE, MS. MASON, UP BY
12	THE LOGO.
13	BY MR. ELLWANGER
14	Q. MR. HERBACH, YOU SEE THAT THIS DOCUMENT SAYS THAT:
15	"ACROBAT FOR LIFE SCIENCES IS A RESOURCE THAT COVERS
16	THE USE OF ACROBAT FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN THE
17	PHARMACEUTICAL, BIOPHARMA AND MEDICAL DEVICE
18	MARKETS."
19	RIGHT?
20	A. YES.
21	Q. THAT IS A BIG MARKET, ISN'T IT?
22	A. I WOULD IMAGINE IT IS, YES.
23	Q. OKAY. AND IN THE VERY NEXT PART OF THE DOCUMENT,
24	MR. HERBACH, WHERE IT IS ENTITLED, "REMOVING SECURITY FROM
25	PDF'S INDIVIDUALLY AND IN A BATCH," YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THAT THIS ADOBE DOCUMENT SAYS IN THE VERY FIRST LINE, "ACROBAT FILES MAY BE SECURED USING PASSWORDS, DIGITAL CERTIFICATES OR USING RIGHTS MANAGEMENT PROVIDED BY ADOBE'S LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT SERVER, " CORRECT? THAT IS WHAT IT SAYS, YES. Α. O. SO YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME THAT THIS DOCUMENT PUBLISHED FOR FOLKS IN THE PHARMACEUTICAL BIOPHARMA AND MEDICAL DEVICE MARKETS IS INSTRUCTING ITS USERS THAT, HEY, IF YOU WANT TO SECURE ADOBE PDF'S, YOU CAN USE DIGITAL REG'S -- EXCUSE ME --RIGHTS MANAGEMENT PROVIDED BY ADOBE'S LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT SERVER. "INSTRUCT" FEELS LIKE A VERY STRONG WORD COMPARED TO WHERE Α. IT SAYS "THEY MAY." Q. OKAY. WELL, LET'S LOOK AT THE SECOND PAGE OF THIS DOCUMENT. A. OKAY. MR. ELLWANGER: AND IF YOU COULD PULL UP THAT, MS. MASON. I WOULD LIKE TO TURN TO PAGE 178.002, MS. MASON. (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) THANK YOU. AND IF YOU COULD BLOW UP THE TOP HALF OF THE PAGE THERE. BY MR. ELLWANGER Q. NOW, MR. HERBACH, YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME THAT THIS IS A SCREEN SHOT OF ADOBE ACROBAT PRO EXTENDED. SEE AT THE TOP THERE?

- 1 **A.** YES.
- 2 | Q. AND, AGAIN, THIS IS A SCREEN SHOT THAT'S PLACED IN THIS
- 3 ADOBE DOCUMENT, RIGHT?
- 4 **A.** YES.
- 5 Q. OKAY. NOW, AT THE VERY TOP OF THAT PICTURE THERE, YOU SEE
- 6 WHAT'S COMMONLY CALLED A "TOOLBAR," DON'T YOU?
- 7 **A.** I DO.
- 8 Q. AND A TOOLBAR CONTAINS FEATURES, READING LEFT TO RIGHT,
- 9 LIKE "FILE, EDIT, VIEW, DOCUMENT," RIGHT?
- 10 A. (WITNESS NODS HEAD.)
- 11 Q. AND THERE IS ANOTHER TOOLBAR BELOW THAT THAT SAYS "CREATE,
- 12 COMBINE, COLLABORATE, SECURE, RIGHT?
- 13 **A.** YES.
- 14 Q. AND UNDERNEATH THE SECURE TOOLBAR YOU SEE ONE OF THE TABS
- 15 IS "ADOBE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT," CORRECT?
- 16 A. SHORTLY BELOW D, YES.
- 17 Q. THAT ADOBE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS MANAGEMENT IS INCLUDED ON THE
- 18 TOOLBAR OF ADOBE ACROBAT PRO, RIGHT?
- 19 | A. A MENU ITEM THAT ALLOWS YOU TO CONFIGURE THE CLIENT, YES.
 - Q. I AM SORRY. DID YOU SAY IT WAS ON THE TOOLBAR?
- 21 **A.** I SEE THE WORDS THAT SAY, "ADOBE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS
- 22 MANAGEMENT" IN A MENU DROPDOWN UNDER A BUTTON THAT SAYS
- 23 "SECURE."

- 24 | O. AND YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME THAT ONLY THE MOST COMMONLY
- 25 USED TOOLS APPEAR ON THE TOOLBAR IN ADOBE ACROBAT PRO.

1	A. NO, I WOULDN'T, ACTUALLY.
2	MR. ELLWANGER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH?
3	THE COURT: YES.
4	(DOCUMENT HANDED TO WITNESS.)
5	BY MR. ELLWANGER
6	Q. MR. HERBACH, I HAVE HANDED YOU A COPY OF WHAT HAS BEEN
7	MARKED AS PLAINTIFF'S 179. I APOLOGIZE YOU DON'T HAVE A COPY
8	IN YOUR BINDER, BUT THAT IS A COPY OF THE EXHIBIT THERE.
9	A. OKAY.
10	Q. IF YOU COULD, PLEASE, SIR, TAKE A MOMENT AND TAKE A LOOK
11	AT EXHIBIT 179 AND TELL ME WHEN YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW
12	THAT DOCUMENT.
13	A. ALL RIGHT.
14	THE COURT: WELL, LET'S GO TO THE PART THAT YOU'RE
15	INTERESTED IN. I DON'T WANT TO WAIT WHILE HE LOOKS AT FIVE
16	PAGES
17	MR. ELLWANGER: VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: OF SOMETHING HE HAS SEEN BEFORE.
19	MR. ELLWANGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
20	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
21	BY MR. ELLWANGER
22	Q. MR. HERBACH
23	THE COURT: IF YOU NEED TO LATER TO LOOK AT THE
24	CONTEXT, BUT I DON'T WANT TO SIT HERE AND HAVE YOU LOOK AT IT
25	ALL NOT KNOWING WHAT IT IS YOU ARE LOOKING FOR.

1	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
2	BY MR. ELLWANGER
3	Q. MR. HERBACH, YOU SEE THIS IS A DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "WHAT'S
4	NEW IN ADOBE ACROBAT X PRO"?
5	A. YES.
6	Q. AND YOU SEE AT THE BOTTOM, ONCE AGAIN, THAT FAMILIAR WEB
7	ADDRESS HTTPHELP.ADOBE.COM?
8	A. YES.
9	Q. THAT WOULD MAKE YOU BELIEVE THAT THIS IS A DOCUMENT
10	PUBLISHED BY ADOBE.
11	A. YES.
12	MR. ELLWANGER: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME PLAINTIFFS
13	OFFER 179.
14	THE COURT: WELL, I'M NOT SURE WE WILL NEED THE WHOLE
15	THING. YOU CAN SHOW IT TO HIM, CERTAINLY.
16	MR. ELLWANGER: MAY I PLACE IT ON THE SCREEN, YOUR
17	HONOR?
18	THE COURT: YES.
19	(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
20	MR. ELLWANGER: IF YOU COULD, MS. MASON, BLOW UP
21	"WHAT'S NEW, PAREN, ACROBAT X STREAMLINED USER INTERFACE."
22	NO, NO. BELOW THAT.
23	THANK YOU.
24	BY MR. ELLWANGER
25	Q. MR. HERBACH, YOU SEE THAT THIS IS A DOCUMENT THAT STATES:

400 1.12 01	010.1	•••	- 000				0,00,1	. agozz.	O
		HERR	ACH	_	REDIRE	CT /	F.T.T.WA	NGER	

	IIENDACII NEDINECI / EHIWANGEN
1	"WHAT'S NEW IN ACROBAT X"?
2	A. YES, I DO.
3	Q. AND THAT INCLUDES A STREAMLINED USER INTERFACE?
4	A. I SEE THAT, YES.
5	Q. AND THEN, ADOBE SAYS THAT THIS STREAMLINED, SIMPLIFIED
6	USER INTERFACE DISPLAYS THE MOST COMMONLY USED TOOLS, RIGHT?
7	A. YES.
8	MR. ELLWANGER: NOW, MS. MASON, IF YOU CAN PULL UP
9	PAGE 3 OF EXHIBIT 179.
10	AND IF YOU COULD BLOW UP THE SECTION THAT SAYS, "ADD TOOLS
11	TO TOOL PANE."
12	BY MR. ELLWANGER
13	Q. THAT SECOND SENTENCE THERE, MR. HERBACH, YOU WOULD AGREE
14	WITH ME THAT ADOBE SAYS, "BY DEFAULT, ONLY THE MOST COMMONLY
15	USED TOOLS APPEAR."
16	DO YOU SEE THAT?
17	A. I SEE IT SAYS THAT, YES.
18	MR. ELLWANGER: AND FLIPPING BACK TO THE SECOND PAGE
19	OF THIS EXHIBIT, 179.002, MS. MASON. IF YOU COULD EXPAND THAT
20	VERY TOP MENU BAR SECTION?
21	PERFECT.
22	BY MR. ELLWANGER
23	Q. AND YOU SEE THAT THIS ADOBE ACROBAT X MENU BAR ON THAT
24	LEFT SIDE HAS ONE OF THE TASK MENU ITEMS SHOWN AS SECURE,

RIGHT? WITH THE LITTLE LOCK MECHANISM BY IT?

YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME THAT ON THIS DOCUMENT DESCRIBING

- 1 WHAT'S NEW IN ACROBAT X, THIS DOCUMENT TALKS ABOUT THE ACROBAT
- 2 X MENU BAR, RIGHT?
- 3 **A.** YES.
- 4 | Q. AND ON THE ACROBAT X MENU BAR IS A LINK THAT YOU CAN CLICK
- 5 FOR PROTECTION, RIGHT?
- 6 **A.** YES.
- 7 Q. OKAY. AND THAT BY DEFAULT, ONLY THE MOST COMMONLY USED
- 8 TOOLS APPEAR IN THE TOOLBAR.
- 9 A. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS, YES.
- 10 Q. YOU HAD A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT ADOBE VENTURES. YOU RECALL
- 11 | THAT?
- 12 **A.** I DO.
- 13 Q. AND YOU SAID THAT IT WOULD BE UNCOMMON FOR AN ENGINEER TO
- 14 SPEAK WITH AN ADOBE VENTURES PERSONNEL MEMBER TO TALK ABOUT
- 15 COMPANIES THAT THEY WERE INVESTING IN, RIGHT?
- 16 A. I DON'T THINK I USED THE WORD "UNCOMMON." I SAID -- I
- 17 SAID -- I THINK I SAID SOMETHING MORE DEFINITIVE.
- 18 MY RECOLLECTION -- MY RECOLLECTION FROM AN HOUR AGO WAS
- 19 | THAT I SAID I HAVE NOT, AND I DON'T THINK OTHERS WOULD EITHER.
- 20 Q. I WILL GO WITH YOUR RECOLLECTION.
- 21 **A.** OKAY.
- 22 Q. BUT DO YOU ALSO RECALL THAT ADOBE ACQUIRED A COMPANY
- 23 CALLED "MACROMEDIA"?
- 24 **A.** YEAH.
- 25 Q. AND WITH THAT OTHER COMPANY THAT THEY ACQUIRED, THEY

- 1 DEVELOPED A PRODUCT CALLED "FLASH"?
- 2 A. MACROMEDIA DEVELOPED FLASH.
- 3 **Q.** AND ADOBE ACQUIRED IT?
 - A. YES.

- 5 O. AND ADOBE SELLS IT?
- A. SURE.
- 7 Q. AND NOW THE WORLD KNOWS IT AS "ADOBE FLASH"?
- 8 **A.** YES.
- 9 Q. YOU ALSO TESTIFIED TO THE JURY ABOUT YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN
- 10 THE PATENT PROCESS THROUGH YOUR OWN PATENTS, RIGHT?
- 11 **A.** YES.
- 12 O. I BELIEVE YOUR TESTIMONY WAS THAT ONCE YOU FILED THE
- 13 APPLICATION THAT YOU WERE SORT OF THE HANDS-OFF FROM THERE,
- 14 RIGHT?
- 15 A. IN TERMS OF THE ATTORNEYS WORKING WITH THE PATENT OFFICE,
- 16 YES.
- 17 Q. YES. AND LET'S TALK ABOUT THOSE ATTORNEYS. YOU MENTIONED
- 18 | THAT YOU WORKED WITH OUTSIDE COUNSEL, RIGHT?
- 19 **A.** YES.
- 20 Q. BUT YOU ALSO MENTIONED THAT YOU WOULD WORK WITH WHAT YOU
- 21 | SAID WERE IN-HOUSE PATENT LAWYERS, CORRECT?
- 22 **A.** YES. BEFORE ADOBE CHOSE TO INVEST IN PAYING OUTSIDE
- 23 COUNSEL, AN INTERNAL ATTORNEY WOULD REVIEW THE CONCEPTS TO
- 24 MAKE SURE THEY WERE WORTHY OF APPLYING FOR PATENTS.
- 25 Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY THIS "INTERNAL ATTORNEY," THAT IS AN

- 1 ATTORNEY WHO IS AN EMPLOYEE OF ADOBE, RIGHT?
- 2 **A.** YES.
- 3 Q. JUST LIKE YOU?
- 4 **A.** YES.
- 5 Q. MR. HERBACH, HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED ON BEHALF OF ADOBE
- 6 BEFORE?
- 7 A. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME I'VE TESTIFIED. I'VE GIVEN A
- 8 DEPOSITION BEFORE.
- 9 Q. HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU BEEN DEPOSED ON BEHALF OF ADOBE?
- 10 A. I THINK JUST ONCE.
- 11 Q. BUT YOU ARE OBVIOUSLY AN EMPLOYEE OF ADOBE, CORRECT?
- 12 **A.** YES.
- 13 Q. YOU OWN STOCK IN ADOBE?
- 14 **A.** I DO.
- 15 Q. ADOBE PAYS YOU BONUSES?
- 16 **A.** YES.
- 17 Q. THOSE BONUSES ARE BASED ON YOUR PERFORMANCE?
- 18 A. BONUSES ARE BASED UPON THE MIX OF MY PERFORMANCE AND
- 19 COMPANY PERFORMANCE.
- 20 Q. AND AFTER YOU STEP OFF THAT STAND, MR. HERBACH, YOU ARE
- 21 GOING TO GO BACK TO WORK FOR ADOBE?
- 22 **A.** I MAY TAKE THE REST OF THE DAY OFF, BUT (LAUGHTER) -- BUT
- 23 AFTER A LONG WEEKEND, YES.
- MR. ELLWANGER: THANK YOU.
- 25 **THE WITNESS:** SURE.

MR. ELLWANGER: PASS THE WITNESS. 1 2 MR. REINES: JUST A FEW QUESTIONS. THANK YOU. 3 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** BY MR. REINES 4 5 LET'S GO BACK, GENERALLY, TO THE DROPDOWN BARS. AND LET 6 ME ASK YOU, WHEN YOU GO THROUGH THE DIFFERENT DROPDOWN BARS TO 7 GET TO LIVECYCLE, IF IT'S GRAYED OUT, DO YOU KNOW WHAT THAT 8 MEANS BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE? DO YOU HAVE ENOUGH KNOWLEDGE 9 ABOUT HOW THINGS WORK TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IT MEANS WHEN IT IS 10 GRAYED OUT? 11 I THINK SO. Α. 12 CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT FOR EVERYBODY? Q. 13 Α. SURE. IF SOMETHING IS GRAYED OUT, IT MEANS THAT THE JARGON WE MIGHT USE IS "IT'S DISABLED." IT IS NOT SOMETHING 14 15 THAT THE USER CAN CLICK ON OR DO SOMETHING WITH FOR ONE OF 16 POTENTIALLY MANY REASONS. 17 DID THE SHOWING OF THE DOCUMENT TO YOU ABOUT THIS ACROBAT FOR LIFE SCIENCES CHANGE IN ANY WAY YOUR TESTIMONY FROM BEFORE 18 19 THAT THE AMOUNT OF ADOBE ACROBAT PROFESSIONAL USERS THAT WOULD 20 EVER TOUCH LIVECYCLE WAS SUPER TINY? DOES IT EFFECT THAT AT 21 ALL? A. NOT AT ALL. THE SCREEN SHOT IN THE LIFE SCIENCES DOCUMENT 22 23 WAS A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT VERSION OF A PRODUCT FROM WHAT WAS 24 TALKED ABOUT IN THE SUBSEQUENT DOCUMENT. AND IN THAT SCREEN 25 SHOT WHERE IT HAPPENED TO SAY "ADOBE LIVECYCLE RIGHTS

1	MANAGEMENT" I'M LOOKING AT HALFWAY DOWN THE SCREEN THERE
2	IS A LITTLE TRIANGLE I WOULD CALL IT A "CARET" WHICH IF
3	YOU HOOVER YOUR MOUSE OVER IT POPS UP ANOTHER DIALOGUE. OH,
4	THAT IS NOT RIGHT. IT POPS UP IN THAT VERSION OF POPUP I
5	WOULD CALL "SUBMENU" WHERE THERE WOULD BE SEVERAL OPTIONS
6	WHICH ARE GRAYED OUT AND DISABLED BY DEFAULT, AS I DISCUSSED
7	EARLIER.
8	Q. NOW, THERE IS A SUGGESTION AT LEAST I TOOK IT AS A
9	SUGGESTION REGARDING THE INTERNAL PATENT ATTORNEY THAT
10	MIGHT CLEAR YOUR INVENTION TO MAKE SURE IT WAS PATENTABLE
11	BEFORE IT GOES OUT TO THE LAW FIRM TO HANDLE YOUR PROSECUTION
12	PROCESS.
13	DID YOU EVER GET ANY OF YOUR IDEAS FOR LIVECYCLE WITH
14	RIGHTS MANAGEMENT FROM THE PATENT ATTORNEYS THAT YOU WORKED
15	WITH?
16	A. NO.
17	MR. REINES: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
18	MR. ELLWANGER: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU ARE EXCUSED. YOU MAY
20	STEP DOWN.
21	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
22	THE COURT: YOU MAY CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
23	MR. PRICE: WE CALL RUSSELL PARR, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE CLERK: ANY BINDERS THAT DON'T PERTAIN TO THIS
25	WITNESS, IF YOU CAN PICK THEM UP.

1	YOU WANT TO RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND WHILE THEY'RE WORKING
2	OUT THE BINDER ISSUE?
3	(RUSSELL PARR, CALLED AS A WITNESS FOR THE PLAINTIFF,
4	HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:)
5	THE WITNESS: I DO.
6	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
7	IF YOU CAN PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME
8	FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE.
9	THE WITNESS: RUSSELL PARR, P-A-R-R. RUSSELL IS
10	SPELLED R-U-S-S-E-L-L.
11	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
12	THE COURT: YOU MAY HAVE A SEAT.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. PRICE:
15	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. PARR.
16	WOULD YOU PLEASE INTRODUCE YOURSELF TO THE JURY?
17	A. MY NAME IS RUSSELL L. PARR.
18	Q. HOW OLD OF A MAN ARE YOU, SIR?
19	A. FIFTY-NINE.
20	Q. WHAT WERE YOU ASKED TO DO FOR THIS CASE?
21	A. I WAS ASKED TO PROVIDE AN OPINION ABOUT THE DAMAGES
22	ECONOMICALLY THAT ADOBE
23	THE COURT: YOU ARE GOING TO NEED TO SPEAK RIGHT INTO
24	THE MICROPHONE THERE.

- 1 THE DAMAGES THAT ADOBE SHOULD PAY TO DIGITAL REG FOR PATENT 2 INFRINGEMENT.
 - BY MR. PRICE:
- 4 ARE YOU BEING COMPENSATED FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS CASE? Q.
- 5 YES. Α.

- AT WHAT RATE? 6 Ο.
 - 575 AN HOUR. Α.
- 8 IS YOUR COMPENSATION TIED TO THE OUTCOME OF THE CASE? 0.
- 9 Α. NO.
- 10 LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR BACKGROUND.
- 11 HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN INVOLVED IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
- 12 VALUATION?
- 13 Α. OVER 25 YEARS.
- 14 WHAT TYPES OF ENTITIES OR CLIENTS HAVE YOU BEEN HIRED BY? Q.
- 15 UNIVERSITIES, CORPORATIONS, INDIVIDUALS. Α.
- 16 ANY PEOPLE YOU CAN NAME BY NAME, SIR? 0.
- 17 WELL, INCORPORATIONS I'VE WORKED FOR -- DONE WORK FOR Α.
- 18 AT&T, IBM, EDWARD LIFESCIENCES, INGERSOLL, MOTTS.
- 19 UNIVERSITIES, I'VE DONE WORK FOR THEM; RUTGERS UNIVERSITY,
- 20 PRINCETON, CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY.
- 21 AND THEN I'VE ALSO DONE WORK FOR INDIVIDUALS. I DID WORK
- 22 FOR THE ESTATE OF DR. SEUSS.
- 23 INTERESTING. COULD YOU TELL US ABOUT YOUR WORK WITH Q.
- 24 DR. SEUSS?
- 25 AS PART OF ESTATE TAXES, YOU HAVE TO VALUE ALL ASSETS THAT Α.

ARE PART OF THE ESTATE, AND HIS COPYRIGHTS WERE PART OF THE 1 2 ESTATE.

SO, I HAD TO COME UP WITH A VALUE FOR ALL THE BOOKS. BUT IN ADDITION, THE COPYRIGHTS HAD VALUE FOR APPLICATION TO TOYS, CLOTHING, BEDDING, PLUSH TOYS, THEME PARKS, THEATER PRODUCTIONS, MOVIES, AND NONE OF THOSE HAD BEEN DONE BEFORE BECAUSE HE DIDN'T CARE FOR LICENSING.

SO WE HAD TO, FROM THE GROUND UP, CREATE MODELS AND ANALYSIS AND FORECASTS TO DETERMINE WHAT ROYALTIES THE ESTATE WAS GOING TO GET FOR THE REMAINING LIFE OF THE COPYRIGHTS ON A ROYALTY BASE THAT WAS PROJECTED FROM THE GROUND UP.

WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND? Q.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. I HAVE A BACHELORS DEGREE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND A MASTERS -- MBA IN FINANCE.
 - HAVE YOU BEEN AWARDED ANY PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS?
 - YES. I'M A CHARTERED FINANCIAL ANALYST AND AN ACCREDITED Α. SENIOR APPRAISER, AND I'M A CERTIFIED LICENSING PROFESSIONAL.
- HAVE YOU PUBLISHED ANY BOOKS RELATED TO ROYALTY RATES FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LICENSES?
- A. FOR ROYALTY RATES I PUBLISHED THREE BOOKS MYSELF. AND THEY ARE TITLED "ROYALTY RATES FOR TECHNOLOGY", "ROYALTY RATES FOR PHARMACEUTICALS AND BIOTECHNOLOGY" AND "ROYALTY RATES FOR TRADEMARKS AND COPYRIGHTS".
 - 0. ANY OTHER BOOKS YOU'VE AUTHORED OR CO-AUTHORED, SIR?
 - YES. THERE'S A NUMBER THAT HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED BY JOHN Α.

THE WITNESS: THE INFORMATION I NEED IS TO UNDERSTAND

- WHAT THE END RESULT OF THE INVENTION IS; WHAT DOES IT DO. 1
- 2 AS FAR AS THE CODE AND THE TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF IT, THAT
- 3 IS NOT PART OF MY EXPERTISE SO I RELY ON OTHERS, DR. DEVANBU
- 4 FOR ONE, TO PROVIDE INFORMATION TO ME ABOUT WHAT'S INFRINGING
- 5 AND WHEN IT STARTED INFRINGING.
- 6 BY MS. GLAUSER:
- 7 DO YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE TERM "DIGITAL RIGHTS
- MANAGEMENT"? 8
- 9 Α. YES, I DO.
 - WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THAT? 0.
- 11 I UNDERSTAND IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CONTROL, REGULATION AND Α.
- 12 PROTECTION OF CONTENT.
- 13 DO YOU UNDERSTAND -- DO YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT
- PIRACY MEANS? 14
- 15 YES. Α.

- 16 TELL --Q.
- 17 PIRACY HAS TO DO WITH THE DISTRIBUTION OF UNAUTHORIZED
- COPIES OF CONTENT OR SOFTWARE PROGRAMS THAT ARE MAKING ITS WAY 18
- OUT IN THE WORLD, BUT FOR WHICH PRODUCERS THAT HAVE WRITTEN 19
- 20 THE PROGRAMS AND SELL THEM, LIKE ADOBE, DON'T GET PAID.
- 21 HAVE YOU DONE ANY RESEARCH REGARDING THE FINANCIAL IMPACT
- 22 OF PIRACY IN THE UNITED STATES?
- 23 YES. Α.
- 24 0. PLEASE GIVE US SOME BACKGROUND ON THAT RESEARCH.
- 25 WELL, THERE ARE INDEPENDENT ENTITIES THAT DO RESEARCH IN Α.

THEY FOCUS, LIKE I SAID, ON VERY, VERY KEY AND NICHE
ASPECTS AND DO IT FOR CONTRACT OR FOR ORGANIZATIONS, AND
PRODUCE REPORTS ABOUT THIS KIND OF SUBJECT.

- Q. AND YOU HAVE A BINDER IN FRONT OF YOU THERE.
- A. YES.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

Q. IF YOU'LL LOOK AT EXHIBIT 89 AND 108.

10 AND TELL THE JURY IF THESE ARE DOCUMENTS YOU RELIED ON IN
11 FORMING YOUR OPINION.

(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)

- A. I HAVE 108. I'M HAVING TROUBLE FINDING 89.
- 14 Q. 89 IS HIDDEN IN THERE. IT'S A SMALLER TAB.
- A. OKAY, 89. YES. THIS IS -- THE BOTTOM SAYS IT'S A BSA REPORT.
- Q. OKAY. IS THIS THE TYPE OF INFORMATION YOU GENERALLY RELY
 ON IN CONDUCTING YOUR ANALYSIS?
- 19 **A.** YES.
- 20 Q. YOU RELIED ON IT IN THIS CASE?
- 21 **A.** YES.
- 22 **Q.** DO YOU CONSIDER THIS TO BE RELIABLE INFORMATION?
- 23 **A.** YES.
- MR. PRICE: YOUR HONOR, WE'D OFFER THIS TO PUBLISH TO

 THE JURY IF THAT'S YOUR PREFERENCE, OR WE CAN OFFER IT INTO

1	EVIDENCE.
2	MR. REINES: NO OBJECTION TO PUBLISHING THIS.
3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
4	MR. PRICE: MS. MASON TURN TO EXHIBIT 89, 5.
5	(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
6	BY MR. PRICE:
7	Q. DO YOU SEE TABLE 1 THERE, SIR?
8	A. YES, I DO.
9	MR. PRICE: IF WE CAN GET THE TOP OF THE TABLE.
10	BY MR. PRICE:
11	Q. WHAT DID YOU LOOK AT, IF ANYTHING, WITH RESPECT TO
12	TABLE 1?
13	A. THIS SHOWS PIRACY RATES FOR DIFFERENT COUNTRIES.
14	AND ON THE RIGHT COLUMN, YOU WILL SEE THAT THE PIRACY RATE
15	FOR THE UNITED STATES BETWEEN 2003 AND 2006 WAS 22 TO
16	21 PERCENT.
17	MR. PRICE: I CAN'T READ THAT FROM HERE. CAN YOU GET
18	IN ON THE UNITED STATES IN THE UPPER RIGHT?
19	BY MR. PRICE:
20	Q. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO, SIR?
21	A. YES.
22	Q. OKAY.
23	MR. PRICE: IF WE CAN GO TO EXHIBIT 89, PAGE 8. IT'S
24	ACTUALLY PAGE 7 OF THE DOCUMENT BUT IT'S 008 OF THE EXHIBIT.
25	(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)

IF WE CAN GET THE TOP PART OF IT. 1 2 BY MR. PRICE: 3 DID YOU RELY ON TABLE 2, SIR? CONSIDER IT IN ANY WAY? 4 YES, TABLE 2. Α. 5 WHAT WAS YOUR TAKEAWAY FROM THAT? Q. IT SHOWS THAT IN THE UNITED STATES IN 2006, THE LOSSES TO 6 7 PIRACY WERE \$7.3 BILLION. 8 O. OKAY. AND ANY -- ANY ANALYSIS WITH RESPECT TO THAT 9 NUMBER, SIR? 10 I DIDN'T DO ANY ANALYSIS. I JUST -- IT JUST INDICATES 11 PIRACY IS AN ENORMOUS PROBLEM. AND THIS IS JUST FOR ONE YEAR. Q. LET'S TURN TO EXHIBIT 108. 12 13 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) DID YOU RELY ON 108 IN FORMING YOUR OPINION? 14 15 YES. IT'S ANOTHER STUDY BY BSA. AND IT LOOKS LIKE IDC 16 WAS INVOLVED WITH THIS, TOO. I THINK IT'S A SIMILAR STUDY, 17 IT'S JUST FOR 2009, SO IT WOULD BE AN UPDATE. 18 MR. PRICE: WE WOULD OFFER THIS FOR PUBLICATION TO THE JURY, YOUR HONOR. 19 20 MR. REINES: AT THIS POINT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE 21 TIME WE ARE SPENDING ON THIS SUBJECT GIVEN THE RULINGS AND THE 22 HISTORY. 23 I THINK PIRACY IS NOT PART OF ANY OF THE CORE OF HIS 24 OPINIONS. THIS IS BACKGROUND. WE HAVE SEEN ONE REPORT. HOW

MANY OF THESE REPORTS ARE WE GOING TO GO THROUGH? IT'S NOT

WHAT HIS OPINION IS ABOUT. 1 2 THE COURT: YOU MAY USE IT WITH THE JURY AND WE WILL 3 TAKE UNDER SUBMISSION WHETHER IT'S ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE. MR. PRICE: IF YOU TURN TO PAGE 9, TABLE 1, AND GO TO 4 5 THE UNITED STATES AT THE TOP. 6 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) 7 BY MR. PRICE: 8 Q. WHAT DID YOU OBSERVE THERE, SIR, IF ANYTHING, WITH RESPECT 9 TO YOUR ANALYSIS? 10 BY 2009, THE PIRACY RATE IN THE UNITED STATES WAS STILL Α. 11 20 PERCENT. 12 Q. OKAY. LET'S MOVE ON. TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR OPINION. PLEASE GIVE THE 13 JURY AN OVERVIEW OF THE METHODOLOGY YOU USED IN FORMING YOUR 14 15 OPINION. 16 A. ALL RIGHT. 17 THERE'S A CONSTRUCT THAT WAS CREATED OR ESTABLISHED, I 18 THINK IN 1967, WHERE YOU LOOK AT A HYPOTHETICAL NEGOTIATION. 19 AND WHAT YOU ARE DOING IS CREATING A SITUATION HYPOTHETICALLY 20 WHERE IF THE TWO PARTIES WERE TO HAVE NEGOTIATED FOR A LICENSE 21 AT THE TIME OF THE INFRINGEMENT, AT THE MOMENT BEFORE IT 22 BEGAN, WHAT WOULD THEY HAVE COME UP WITH INSTEAD OF 23 INFRINGEMENT. 24 AND THE GUIDANCE FOR THAT NEGOTIATION IS PROVIDED BY 25 WHAT'S CALLED THE GEORGIA-PACIFIC FACTORS. THERE ARE 15

THAT CAME FROM OTHERS. LIKE DR. DEVANBU DID AN ANALYSIS

25

Α.

A. YES.

O. WHAT IS IT IN CONTEXT OF ONE OF THESE ANALYSES?

A. WELL, THERE ARE BASICALLY TWO TYPES OF LICENSE AGREEMENTS

OR COMPENSATION FOR LICENSE AGREEMENTS. ONE IS A RUNNING

ROYALTY AND ONE IS A LUMP SUM.

IN A RUNNING ROYALTY, YOU PAY AS YOU GO. EACH YEAR YOU

SEE HOW MANY -- YOU AGREE TO WHAT SALES WOULD BE THAT WOULD BE

USING THE PATENTED TECHNOLOGY THAT'S BEING LICENSED, AND YOU

AGREE ON A ROYALTY RATE. AND THEN AT THE END OF THE YEAR OR

QUARTERLY OR SEMIANNUALLY, YOU PAY. THE LICENSEE PAYS THE

LICENSOR. THAT'S A RUNNING ROYALTY AGREEMENT.

THE ALTERNATIVE IS TO SIT DOWN TOGETHER, FORECAST ALL SALES THAT COULD POSSIBLY HAPPEN INTO THE FUTURE, AND THEN FIGURE OUT WHAT KIND OF LUMP SUM WOULD BE EQUIVALENT TO PAYING AS YOU GO.

SO, IF YOU ARE DOING A SIGNIFICANT DEAL, YOU STILL HAVE TO CONSIDER THE ROYALTY BASE, WHICH IS SALES, IT WOULD BE BASED ON THE TECHNOLOGY, AND YOU STILL HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT KIND OF ROYALTY RATE IN A SENSE YOU ARE GIVING UP FOR THE LUMP SUM.

SO REALLY ONE IS PAYING EVERYTHING UP FRONT AND THE OTHER IS PAYING AS YOU GO.

Q. AND FOR THIS CASE, WHICH LUMP SUM AND RUNNING ROYALTY DID

1 YOU CHOOSE?

- A. I USED THE RUNNING ROYALTY TO CALCULATE TO APPLY TO THE

 KNOWN REVENUES TO COME UP WITH A LUMP SUM TO CURE THE
- 4 DAMAGES -- I MEAN TO CURE THE INFRINGEMENT.
- Q. OKAY. YOU SAID YOU CAME UP WITH A ROYALTY -- A RUNNING ROYALTY INITIALLY?
 - A. OH, YES.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. WHY DID YOU CHOOSE A RUNNING ROYALTY INITIALLY?
 - A. WELL, YOU HAVE -- ONCE YOU HAVE THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS,

 REVENUE BASE, YOU HAVE TO FIGURE OUT HOW MUCH OF THAT SHOULD

 BE PAID TO THE LICENSOR. AND IN ORDER TO FIGURE OUT WHAT

 PORTION OF THE TOTAL ROYALTY BASE, YOU NEED A ROYALTY RATE.
 - SO THE FIRST THING I HAD TO DO WAS FIGURE OUT WHAT'S A FAIR ROYALTY RATE.
 - Q. OKAY. AND WERE THERE ANY UNDERLYING FACTS THAT SUPPORTED YOUR VIEW THAT A RUNNING ROYALTY WAS APPROPRIATE?
 - A. THE PARTIES THEMSELVES. I KNOW THAT DIGITAL REG AT LEAST WOULD HAVE PREFERRED TO DO A RUNNING ROYALTY.

ONE OF THE REASONS COMPANIES DO PREFER THAT IS BECAUSE YOU PAY AS YOU GO. AND INSTEAD OF TRYING TO GUESS OR ESTIMATE WHAT ALL FUTURE USE WILL BE, YOU ELIMINATE THE RISK OF OVERPAYING OR UNDERPAYING BECAUSE OF A FALSE OR A FAULTY FORECAST. SO, THE PARTIES CAN ELIMINATE THE RISK OF THAT BY PAYING AS YOU GO. SO I KNOW THAT DIGITAL REG WANTED TO DO A RUNNING ROYALTY RATE IF THEY COULD.

I COULDN'T BECAUSE I WASN'T ABLE TO CONVERT -- WASN'T ABLE

25

Α.

- 1 TO COMPARE THE AMOUNT OF THE SETTLEMENT TO THE USAGE, AND 2 THERE WAS NO WAY TO RELATE THE AMOUNTS THAT WERE PAID TO THE 3 USAGE IN THIS CASE.
 - O. AND WHICH TWO AGREEMENTS DID YOU FIND USEFUL FOR YOUR ANALYSIS?
 - THERE WAS A SETTLEMENT WITH A COMPANY CALLED MACROVISION. AND INSIDE THE -- IN THE LICENSE, THE PARTIES AGREED THAT THE AMOUNT BEING PAID REPRESENTED 1 PERCENT OF THE ACCUSED REVENUE.

THE OTHER AGREEMENT THAT I COULD CONVERT TO A ROYALTY RATE WAS WITH INTUIT WHERE THE AMOUNT PAID COULD BE RELATED TO THE TOTAL REVENUE OF ACCUSED USE, AND THAT CONVERSION INDICATED A ROYALTY OF 2.5 PERCENT.

Q. OKAY.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PRICE: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THESE HAVE ALREADY BEEN PUBLISHED TO THE JURY. WE WOULD OFFER THEM INTO EVIDENCE, THESE TWO IN PARTICULAR BEING RELIED ON.

THE COURT: WE WILL RECEIVE THE PARTS THAT WE NEED.

MR. PRICE: OKAY.

BY MR. PRICE:

LET'S START -- IF YOU WILL LOOK AT EXHIBIT 98 OF YOUR BOOK.

LET'S TURN TO SECTION 6.7, WHICH IS ON PAGE 5.

DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, USDC (510) 451-2930

Α. YES, I SEE IT.

MR. PRICE: CAN THAT BE PUBLISHED ON THE SCREEN SO

1 THE JURY CAN SEE IT? 2 THE CLERK: WHAT EXHIBIT NUMBER IS THIS? 3 MR. PRICE: 98. THE CLERK: I DON'T SHOW THAT 98 HAS BEEN RECEIVED IN 4 5 EVIDENCE. MR. DINOVO: I THINK IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY 6 7 PUBLISHED. 8 MR. REINES: THIS IS THE LICENSE AGREEMENTS WHERE 9 BOTH PARTIES AGREE THAT THEY CAN BE PUBLISHED, ALTHOUGH NOT 10 YET INTO EVIDENCE. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO YOU MAY PUBLISH THEM. AND THEY ARE UNDER SUBMISSION AS TO WHICH PARTS WILL BE ADMITTED. 12 13 MR. PRICE: OKAY. 14 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) 15 BY MR. PRICE: 16 O. LOOK AT 6.7. HERE IT IS. 17 TELL THE JURY WHAT YOU ARE SEEING HERE. THIS IS A PORTION OF THE LICENSE AGREEMENT. AND IN 18 19 PARAGRAPH 6.7 THE PARTIES ARE AGREEING THAT THE ROYALTY AMOUNT 20 THAT HAS BEEN PAID TO CURE PAST INFRINGEMENT REPRESENTED 21 1 PERCENT OF THE ACCUSED REVENUES THAT INFRINGED DIGITAL REG'S 22 PATENTS. 23 OKAY. IS THIS PAST REVENUES ONLY OR DOES IT ACCOUNT FOR 24 FUTURES AS WELL?

I THINK THIS DEAL LETS THEM HAVE RIGHTS INTO THE FUTURE.

- Q. AND DOES THAT AFFECT YOUR ANALYSIS OF THIS AGREEMENT IN ANY WAY?
 - A. WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE FUTURE HOLDS, WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO CONTINUE USING IT. IF THEY DO, THAT WOULD DILUTE THIS ONE PERCENT BECAUSE YOU'RE ONLY GETTING -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT FUTURE USE MACROVISION IS PLANNING. IF THEY CONTINUE TO USE -- SUPPOSE THEY DOUBLE WHAT THEY -- WHAT THIS 1 PERCENT HAS PAID FOR, THEN THE EFFECTIVE RATE WOULD GO DOWN TO HALF A PERCENT.
- **Q.** OKAY.

- 11 A. IF THEY DON'T DO ANYTHING FUTURE EVER AGAIN WITH IT, THEN

 12 THE EFFECTIVE RATE IS 1 PERCENT.
 - Q. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THIS TO BE THE RESULT OF A SETTLEMENT OF CONTESTED LITIGATION?
 - A. YES. THAT'S RIGHT.
 - Q. CAN YOU JUXTAPOSE THAT TO THE HYPOTHETICAL NEGOTIATION SCENARIO? WHAT IS DIFFERENT ABOUT THAT?
 - A. THERE'S A KEY DIFFERENCE. IN THESE -- IN ALL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS, THERE'S NO CERTAINTY THAT THE PATENTS ARE VALID AND INFRINGED.

AND IN THE HYPOTHETICAL NEGOTIATION THAT WE'RE HERE, I'M
PROVIDING AN OPINION BASED ON CERTAINTY. YOU DON'T EVEN
BOTHER WITH MY OPINION UNTIL YOU COME TO THE DETERMINATION
THAT YOU BELIEVE THE PATENTS ARE VALID AND YOU BELIEVE WHAT
ADOBE HAS DONE IS INFRINGED. SO I AM COMING UP WITH A ROYALTY

YES. FOR ALL OF THE AGREEMENTS I TALKED TO THE PERSON AT

25

Α.

1	DIGITAL REG WHO NEGOTIATED THESE DEALS. AND HE TOLD ME THAT
2	IN THIS CASE, HE WAS AWARE THAT \$14 MILLION WORTH OF REVENUE
3	WAS BEING ACCUSED.
4	SO NOW I KNOW THAT THE \$350,000 WAS THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT
5	BASED ON \$14 MILLION OF ACCUSED REVENUE. THAT TRANSLATES INTO
6	A 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY.
7	MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD MOVE TO STRIKE THAT
8	TESTIMONY AND RELIANCE ON THIS LICENSE.
9	AS EVERYONE HERE WILL RECALL, WHEN MR. FARLEY ATTEMPTED TO
10	STATE THAT HE HAD KNOWLEDGE ON THAT SUBJECT, IT WAS DEEMED
11	INADMISSIBLE AND LACKING FOUNDATION.
12	UNDER RULE 703, THIS IS NOT EVEN SUPPOSED TO BE PUBLISHED
13	TO THE JURY DUE TO THE PREJUDICIAL NATURE OF IT.
14	THE COURT: WELL, HE CAN LAY A FOUNDATION THAT THIS
15	WAS THE SORT OF INFORMATION THAT EXPERTS TYPICALLY RELY UPON,
16	AND THEN IT'S ADMISSIBLE.
17	MR. REINES: WELL, NO. UNDER RULE 703, YOUR HONOR,
18	IT'S A BALANCING TEST BETWEEN PREJUDICIAL AND PROBATIVE VALUE.
19	THE COURT: WELL, WE'VE GONE THIS HAS BEEN
20	LITIGATED TO SOME EXTENT. AND THIS IS WHAT WE DECIDED WOULD
21	BE ALLOWED, AS I REMEMBER IT.
22	MR. REINES: THIS IS
23	THE COURT: I WILL ALLOW IT SUBJECT TO A MOTION TO
24	STRIKE.
25	MR. REINES: THIS IS COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT OF THAT.

WHEN WE CAME TO THIS ONE -- BECAUSE I WENT THROUGH THEM

```
1
       ONE BY ONE ON THE PHONE, I ASKED HIM, DO YOU KNOW OR HAVE AN
 2
       IDEA WHAT THE ACCUSED REVENUE WAS. AND HE TOLD ME 14 MILLION.
 3
       I WOULD HAVE NO OTHER WAY TO FIND THIS OUT.
 4
               MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, I JUST RENEW THE OBJECTION
 5
       UNDER 703 AND WOULD BE HAPPY --
                THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A PAGE AND LINE OF THE
 6
 7
       TESTIMONY?
 8
                MR. REINES: YES, I'M SURE I DO.
 9
               MR. PRICE: CAN I MAKE A COMMENT, YOUR HONOR, OR
      RESPOND?
10
11
                THE COURT: YES.
12
               MR. PRICE: WHAT MR. FARLEY REMEMBERED TWO DAYS AGO
13
       VERSUS WHAT HE MIGHT HAVE REMEMBERED A YEAR AGO ARE TWO
14
       DIFFERENT THINGS. AND MR. PARR WAS ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT
15
       TELEPHONE CONVERSATION, SO HE CAN TESTIFY AS TO WHAT HE HEARD.
16
                THE COURT: OKAY.
17
               MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, IT'S PAGE 331, AND THE COURT
18
       SAID --
19
                THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. I'LL READ IT.
20
               MR. REINES: SORRY.
21
                        (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
                THE COURT: WE WILL JUST HAVE TO MOVE ON. I'LL HAVE
22
       TO READ OVER THIS. I DON'T REMEMBER IT.
23
24
          GO AHEAD.
25
               MR. PRICE: OKAY. AND --
```

THE COURT: WE WILL STRIKE IT IF WE HAVE TO. 1 2 MR. PRICE: MAY I PROCEED ON THIS LINE, YOUR HONOR? 3 THE COURT: I GUESS SO. I DON'T KNOW WHAT MORE THERE 4 IS TO SAY. 5 BY MR. PRICE: 6 WERE YOU ABLE TO CALCULATE A RATE BASED ON THOSE TWO 7 PIECES OF INFORMATION? 8 A. YES. YOU HAVE THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT OF \$350,000, AND YOU 9 ASSOCIATE THAT WITH THE ACCUSED REVENUE, WHICH MIKE FARLEY TOLD ME WAS 14 MILLION, AND THAT INDICATES ROYALTY OF 10 11 2.5 PERCENT. 12 Q. OKAY. LET'S MOVE ON TO EXHIBIT 100, PAGE 6. AND THIS IS 13 PART B. 14 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) 15 DO YOU SEE PART B THERE? IT RELATES TO RPX? 16 YES. Α. 17 WITHOUT REVEALING ANYTHING ABOUT THE ACTUAL AMOUNTS, TELL 18 ME THE IMPACT OF THAT STATEMENT IN THE AGREEMENT WITH RESPECT 19 TO YOUR ANALYSIS OF THE AGREEMENT. 20 WELL, THIS INDICATES THAT INTUIT ALREADY HAD SOME RIGHTS Α. 21 AND PAID SOMETHING FOR SOME RIGHTS ASSOCIATED WITH DRM. 22 THE AMOUNT PAID TO DIGITAL RIGHTS WAS AN INCREMENTAL AMOUNT. 23 IT'S NOT THE TOTAL AMOUNT THAT INTUIT PAID TO GET DIGITAL 24 RIGHTS MANAGEMENT FREEDOM TO OPERATE. THEY PAID RPX 25 SOMETHING, AND IN ADDITION PAID 2.5 PERCENT TO INTUIT.

SO, IF YOU WANT THE -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT PORTION OF --THEY PAID TO RPX, BUT THEY DID PAY SOMETHING. AND THOSE TOTALED TOGETHER WOULD BE EQUIVALENT TO WHAT WE WOULD USE HERE. BUT ALL I HAD IS THE 2.5 PERCENT COMPONENT. Q. OKAY.

NOW WHY DIDN'T YOU JUST AVERAGE ALL THE SETTLEMENTS TOGETHER AND COME UP WITH A NUMBER?

A. ALL RIGHT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BECAUSE BY TALKING TO MIKE FARLEY, MANY OF THE SUPPLEMENTS WERE FOUND TO BE, AFTER LITIGATION WAS INITIATED, YOU DO DISCOVERY. AND DISCOVERY WAS STARTING TO INDICATE THAT THE AMOUNT OF USE THAT THESE OTHER ENTITIES MADE WAS BECOMING TO BE SMALL. AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT THOSE AMOUNTS WERE, SO I COULDN'T CONVERT THEM INTO A ROYALTY RATE.

BUT IF YOU ARE SUGGESTING YOU SHOULD JUST TAKE THESE SMALL AMOUNTS, WITH NO REGARD TO THE HUGE AMOUNT OF USE THAT ADOBE HAS MADE, IT'S -- IT'S RIDICULOUS. IT'S LIKE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE AVERAGE AGE OF A 6-FOOT HEALTHY MALE IN THE UNITED STATES AND AVERAGING, FINDING OUT -- TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HIS WEIGHT BY AVERAGING THE WEIGHT OF A FEW FOUR YEAR OLDS. THEY ARE NOT THE SAME MAGNITUDE.

Q. OKAY.

DO YOU HAVE ANY MORE ANALYSIS WITH RESPECT TO FACTOR 1, OR SHOULD WE MOVE ON TO FACTOR 2?

- NO, WE CAN MOVE ON. 1 A.
- 2 OKAY. LET'S GO TO FACTOR 2.
- 3 JUST BRIEFLY DESCRIBE TO THE JURY WHAT IT IS AND YOUR 4 ANALYSIS OF IS.
 - IT SAYS THE NEXT PIECE OF INFORMATION THAT WOULD BE GOOD Α. FOR THE PARTIES TO KNOW IN THE NEGOTIATION IS WHAT HAS ADOBE PAID FOR SIMILAR TECHNOLOGY.
 - SO THERE THE LICENSEE, AND WE NOW KNOW IT -- OR GOTTEN AN IDEA OF WHAT DIGITAL REG'S RECEIVED FOR THEIR TYPE OF TECHNOLOGY, NOW YOU TAKE A LOOK AT IS THERE EVIDENCE OF WHAT ADOBE PAID.
 - Q. IF YOU'D TURN TO EXHIBIT 95. IDENTIFY THAT DOCUMENT, PLEASE, SIR, WHEN YOU GET THERE.
 - YES. Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- THIS IS -- INSTEAD OF WHAT ADOBE PAID, THIS IS WHAT ADOBE CHARGES FOR DIGITAL RIGHTS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY PLUG-INS TO THEIR ADOBE READER.
- 18 I AM SORRY.
- 19 IT INDICATES THAT IF YOU WANT TO HAVE THE RIGHTS TO PLUG Α. 20 IN DRM TECHNOLOGY INTO THEIR PRODUCT, YOU HAVE TO PAY THEM 21 \$50,000 A YEAR PLUS 5.5 PERCENT.
- 22 Q. OKAY.
- 23 AS THE ROYALTY. Α.
- 24 WOULD YOU LOOK IN THE BOTTOM RIGHT-HAND CORNER OF
- 25 EXHIBIT 95, PLEASE?

_	PARR - DIRECT / PRICE
1	A. YEAH.
2	Q. AND WHAT DO YOU SEE THERE AT THE BOTTOM LEFT-HAND CORNER?
3	IS IT A URL?
4	A. YES, I SEE A URL. UH-HUH.
5	Q. AND WHO DOES IT RELATE TO?
6	A. ADOBE.
7	Q. OKAY.
8	A. THIS IS FROM THEIR WEBSITE. IT'S JUST THEIR WAY OF SAYING
9	WE ARE GOING TO CHARGE
10	Q. DID YOU RELY ON THIS DOCUMENT AS PART OF YOUR ANALYSIS?
11	A. YES.
12	Q. DO YOU CONSIDER IT TO BE INFORMATION AN EXPERT WOULD RELY
13	ON IN FORMING THEIR ANALYSIS?
14	A. YES. IT DIRECTLY RELATES TO DIGITAL RIGHTS TECHNOLOGY.
15	IT SHOWS THAT ADOBE EXPECTS TO BE PAID FOR THEIRS WHEN YOU'RE
16	LICENSING IT OUT WHEN THEY'RE LICENSING IT OUT. SO IT'S
17	NOT UNREASONABLE FOR THEM TO PAY A ROYALTY TO DIGITAL REG TO
18	LICENSE IN.
19	MR. PRICE: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD LIKE TO OFFER
20	EXHIBIT 95 INTO EVIDENCE.

MR. REINES: THIS IS THE SAME STATUS AS THE OTHER LICENSES.

MR. PRICE: THIS ONE IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME WE'VE SEEN THIS ONE, AND IT RELATES --

THE COURT: HOW LONG IS IT?

21

22

23

24

1	MR. PRICE: ONE PAGE.
2	THE COURT: IT WILL BE RECEIVED.
3	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 95 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)
4	(PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
5	BY MR. PRICE:
6	Q. IF WE COULD GO TO THE TOP PORTION.
7	YOU SEE THE TITLE THERE?
8	A. YES.
9	Q. YOU SEE THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO DRM PLUG-INS?
10	A. YES.
11	Q. OKAY. LET'S GO DOWN TO WHAT YOU WERE REFERENCING.
12	THE QUESTION THAT STARTS WITH ONE OF THE TERMS OF THE DRM
13	AGREEMENT?
14	A. THAT'S RIGHT. SO
15	MR. PRICE: CAN YOU MAKE THAT A LITTLE MORE LEGIBLE?
16	IT'S HARD TO READ.
17	THE WITNESS: IN FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS IF YOU
18	ARE ON THE WEBSITE, THERE IS OFTEN FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS
19	AND THE ANSWERS ARE PROVIDED IN ADVANCE.
20	SO I GUESS THEY ARE ASKED OFTEN WHAT IS THIS GOING TO COST
21	IF I WANT TO DEVELOP A PLUG-IN. THIS IS THE ANSWER: YOU WILL
22	BE CHARGED \$50,000 PER YEAR TO DEVELOP AND SELL YOUR PLUG-IN
23	AND YOU WILL WANT 5.5 PERCENT OF THE REVENUE YOU GET FOR
24	SELLING THAT PLUG-IN.
25	Q. LOOKING AT THE PARAGRAPH BELOW THAT WHERE NET REVENUE IS

- DEFINED, CAN YOU TELL THE JURY YOUR ANALYSIS BASED ON -- IN LIGHT OF THAT PORTION?
 - A. THE BEST WAY TO DO IT IS TO JUST SAY NET REVENUES IS

 DEFINED BY ADOBE TO MEAN: "ALL PAYMENT DUE TO THE LICENSEE

 FROM ANY THIRD PARTY FOR, ONE, THE USE OR DISTRIBUTION OF THE

 LICENSEE PLUG-IN, TWO, GOODS AND SERVICES THAT RELY UPON THE

 READER AND THE LICENSE PLUG-IN TO VIEW, PRINT AND/OR OTHERWISE

SO IT'S BASICALLY SAYING, IF YOU SELL A PRODUCT THAT PLUGS INTO ADOBE, WE GET 5.5 PERCENT OF THE BENEFITS FROM SELLING THAT.

- Q. AND LET'S GO DOWN TO THE BOTTOM OF IT. THERE'S SOME BULLET POINTS DOWN THERE.
 - I WOULD LIKE TO FOCUS IN ON THOSE.

ASSESS PDF FILES CONTAINING ANY DRM FEATURES".

- MR. PRICE: AND CAN WE DO A BETTER JOB OF GETTING IN ON THAT? AGAIN, IT'S DIFFICULT TO READ.
- BY MR. PRICE:

- Q. WOULD YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST AND SECOND BULLET POINTS, SIR,

 AND TELL US IF THAT IMPACTED YOUR VIEW OF WHETHER OR NOT THIS

 WAS A RELIABLE SOURCE?
 - A. WELL, THIS IS -- THIS IS THE LAST FREQUENTLY ASKED

 QUESTION. THE ANSWER -- THE QUESTION IS: IF I DON'T WANT TO

 PAY THE FEE, WHAT ARE MY OPTIONS?
 - IT'S A REASONABLE QUESTION. I WANT TO HAVE A PLUG-IN,
 DEVELOP ONE, BUT IF I DON'T WANT TO PAY THE 5.5 PERCENT, WHAT

IF I CAN GET MR. FARLEY TO STEP OUT OF THE ROOM FOR A

24

25

MOMENT, PLEASE.

I THINK HE ACTUALLY WAS OUT OF THE ROOM WHEN THIS CAME UP, 1 2 WHICH WAS FORTUITOUS. 3 YOU NEED TO KEEP IN MIND THAT IF YOU ALLOW YOUR WITNESSES TO COME BACK IN, THAT MEANS THAT YOU ARE NOT GOING TO RECALL 4 5 THEM. MR. FARLEY DID SAY IN THE PORTION THAT WAS POINTED OUT 6 7 THAT HE DID NOT KNOW WHETHER INTUIT PAID 14 MILLION OR NOT. 8 SO, THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT HE DIDN'T PREVIOUSLY TELL THE 9 EXPERT THAT HE DID, BUT YOU CAN CERTAINLY IMPEACH THE EXPERT 10 WITH THE NOTION THAT IF THAT 14 MILLION FIGURE HAD NO BASIS, THEN THE 2 PERCENT FIGURE WOULD LIKEWISE HAVE NO BASIS. 11 12 MR. REINES: MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW IS -- WAS 13 AMENDED TO AVOID THE SITUATION WHERE TESTIMONY'S NOT GOOD ENOUGH TO COME IN DIRECTLY, BUT CAN COME IN INDIRECTLY. 14 15 SO THERE'S TWO THINGS. ONE IS, THERE'S A 403 BALANCING 16 THAT'S REQUIRED WITH THE BURDEN ON THE OTHER SIDE, THAT 17 SHOWING THAT THE -- THE PROBATIVE VALUE IS GREATER THAN THE 18 PREJUDICIAL VALUE, WHICH IS CLEARLY NOT SO WHERE THERE'S NO 19 WAY HE KNEW ABOUT THE REVENUES IS PROVEN ON THE RECORD. 20 AND THE SECOND THING IS, IN -- AND I HAVE A COPY IF THE 21 COURT WOULD LIKE, UNITED STATES VERSUS .59 ACRES OF LAND, NOT 22 MUCH, I SUPPOSE, THE NINTH CIRCUIT HELD THAT IF IT IS ALLOWED 23 IN, THAT A -- AN INSTRUCTION IS NECESSARY TO MAKE CLEAR THAT 24 IT'S NOT EVIDENCE EVEN THOUGH THIS GUY'S REPEATING WHAT 25 SOMEONE COULDN'T SAY UNDER OATH ON THE STAND.

1	THE COURT: WELL, I SUPPOSE I CAN DO THAT OR STRIKE
2	THE PERCENTAGE AND USE THE 350,000, WHICH IS WHAT I THINK WE
3	TALKED ABOUT DOING.
4	MR. REINES: I THINK YOU DID THAT. YOU DID THAT AT
5	331. IT SAYS IT.
6	MR. PRICE: YOUR HONOR
7	THE COURT: FROM HIS TESTIMONY, YES. BUT HE DID TELL
8	THE WITNESS THAT APPARENTLY, AND THE WITNESS RELIED ON IT I
9	IMAGINE
10	(SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)
11	MR. PRICE: I'M SORRY. THAT WAS MY FAULT.
12	THE COURT: WHAT IS IT NOW?
13	MR. PRICE: HE RECORDED IT IN HIS REPORT, SO THERE'S
14	LIKE A
15	THE COURT: I DON'T DOUBT THAT FARLEY TOLD HIM THAT.
16	BUT THE POINT IS THAT WE NOW KNOW THAT FARLEY HAD NO BASIS FOR
17	KNOWING THAT. SO NOW WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT DO WE DO WITH
18	THE FACT THAT THIS EXPERT RELIED IN GOOD FAITH ON INFORMATION
19	THAT TURNS OUT APPARENTLY NOT TO BE BASED WITH A BASIS. SO
20	THAT'S THE PROBLEM WE ARE FACING RIGHT NOW.
21	BUT IF WE ARE DONE WITH THAT, I GUESS WE CAN MOVE ON TO
22	OTHER THINGS, AND YOU CAN EITHER WRITE ME AN INSTRUCTION THAT
23	YOU THINK WILL SOLVE IT WELL, THAT WILL EITHER EXPLAIN THAT
24	THERE WAS NO BASIS FOR THAT NUMBER OR SIMPLY STRIKE THE
25	PERCENTAGE AND USE THE DOLLAR FIGURE.

WHICH REMINDS ME OF SOMETHING I KEPT -- MEANT TO BRING UP 1 2 PREVIOUSLY. I'M GETTING WORRIED ABOUT THIS 184, I THINK IT 3 IS, EXHIBIT. I ALLOWED IN THE FACT THAT ALL THESE LICENSES 4 WERE TAKEN BECAUSE IT WAS A GEORGIA-PACIFIC FACTOR AND THE 5 LICENSES WERE TAKEN. BUT IT ALSO HAS THE AMOUNTS IN IT, INCLUDING AMOUNTS THAT HAVE BEEN EXCLUDED AND AMOUNTS THAT 6 7 AREN'T USED BY THE EXPERT. 8 SO I'M NOW THINKING WE BETTER HAVE A VERSION OF THIS TO GO 9 IN WHICH DOESN'T HAVE ANY RATES OR AMOUNTS ON IT AT ALL. AND THE DRM ONE SHOULD SAY SOMETHING LIKE "OPTION TO LICENSE", 10 11 BECAUSE THAT WASN'T EVEN A LICENSE AT ALL. S IF YOU COULD 12 MAKE A NEW VERSION AND SUBSTITUTE IT FOR 184. 13 MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR --14 THE COURT: OF YOU CAN MAKE TWO PROPOSED 15 INSTRUCTIONS. AND IF YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT TO TELL 16 ME YOU CAN, BUT WE WON'T DISCUSS IT ANYMORE AT THIS POINT WITH 17 THIS WITNESS. MR. PRICE: VERY QUICKLY, YOUR HONOR. MR. FARLEY 18 19 SAID HE COULDN'T REMEMBER IT OFF THE TOP OF HIS HEAD. HE KNEW 20 IT WAS RELATED TO UNITS THAT HAD TOLD AND THAT THEY HAD SOME 21 KIND OF ROYALTY RATE BUILT INTO THAT. 22 SO HE DIDN'T HAVE PERFECT RECOLLECTION OF THE NUMBER, BUT 23 HE'S CLEARLY SAYING HE REMEMBERS THIS ONE BEING UNIQUE. AND 24 THAT IS ON PAGE 330, LINE 12 THROUGH 20. 25 MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, HE DIDN'T HAVE FOUNDATION,

THE COURT FOUND THAT, AND THEN THEY ABANDONED EVEN ATTEMPTING 1 2 TO ESTABLISH IT. THAT'S AT 331. 3 IS THERE A RECONSIDERATION MOTION ON THE EVIDENCE? AND THEN --4 5 THE COURT: WELL, I DIDN'T FIND ANYTHING. I HAD HIM 6 ASK WHETHER HE KNEW AND HE SAID HE DIDN'T, SO HE DIDN'T. 7 MR. REINES: THEN IT WAS ABANDONED --8 THE COURT: THAT DOESN'T MEAN HE DIDN'T SAY SO ON 9 SOME PREVIOUS OCCASION. THAT'S WHY I WANTED FARLEY TO LEAVE. I SUPPOSE MAYBE HE COULD SAY HE KNEW IT BACK THEN BUT HE 10 11 DIDN'T KNOW IT NOW. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE MIGHT SAY, BUT HE 12 HAS NOT BEEN EXCLUDED, SO I DON'T EVEN KNOW IF HE CAN BE 13 RECALLED NOW THAT HE HAS BEEN SITTING IN. 14 MR. PRICE: HE'S THE CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE, YOUR 15 HONOR, SO I THINK THE RULE WOULDN'T APPLY TO HIM. 16 MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, IT WOULD JUST BE INCREDIBLY 17 PREJUDICIAL GIVEN HE WAS GIVEN A FULL OPPORTUNITY TO TESTIFY AT THAT POINT IN THE CASE, AND HE FAILED TO ESTABLISH 18 19 FOUNDATIONS. TOP OF THE HEAD; THIS IS HIS CENTRAL BUSINESS 20 DUTIES KNOWING THESE FACTS. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL --22 MR. REINES: JUST TWO ISSUES, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE 23 IT'S COMING UP FOR CROSS AFTERWARDS RELATED TO THIS CLOSELY. 24 ONE IS, I WOULD LIKE TO USE THAT CHART, EVEN THOUGH I FIND 25 IT OBJECTIONABLE AND WE PRESERVED OUR OBJECTIONS, JUST AS THE

```
1
       COURT DESCRIBED THEM, BUT TO IMPEACH MR. PARR AND MR. FARLEY
 2
       AND DIG REG.
 3
          AND, TWO, IS THAT I WOULD LIKE -- I NEED TO CROSS-EXAMINE
       PARR ON THIS 2.5 PERCENT THEORY BECAUSE, WHEN I DO MY
 4
 5
       CROSS-EXAMINATION -- UNLESS IT'S STRICKEN. SO I'M GOING TO
       HAVE TO GO OVER THAT TERRITORY IN SOME SENSE. I DON'T WANT
 6
 7
       THAT TO BE PERCEIVED AS OPENING SOME KIND OF DOOR --
 8
                THE COURT: I GUESS YOU WOULD SAY THAT IF THE
 9
      NUMBER -- IF THE PERCENTAGE IS BASED ON THE NUMBER AND THE
10
      NUMBER PROVES TO BE FALSE, THE PERCENTAGE IS MEANINGLESS.
11
               MR. REINES: YES.
12
                THE COURT: YOU CAN DO THAT.
               MR. REINES: OKAY. THANK YOU.
13
                THE COURT: OKAY.
14
15
               MR. PRICE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
16
               (RECESS TAKEN AT 12:17; RESUMED AT 12:30 P.M.)
17
              (PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.)
18
                THE COURT: IF WE CAN HAVE THE WITNESS BACK ON THE
19
       STAND?
20
          PLEASE BE SEATED.
21
           YOU MAY PROCEED.
22
       BY MR. PRICE:
23
         MR. PARR, LET'S GO ON TO FACTOR NUMBER 3. AND WHILE THE
24
       BOARD IS BEING PUT UP, I'LL JUST TELL YOU WHAT IT IS.
25
           THE NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE LICENSE AS EXCLUSIVE OR
```

NONEXCLUSIVE OR AS RESTRICTED OR NONRESTRICTED IN TERMS OF 1 2 TERRITORY OR WITH RESPECT TO WHO --THE REPORTER: EXCUSE ME. 3 MR. PRICE: NOW THAT I HAVE MY BOARD, I'M NOT EVEN 4 5 GOING TO REPEAT IT. BY MR. PRICE: 6 7 CAN YOU GIVE US A TRUNCATED VERSION OF WHAT FACTOR 3 IS AND HOW IT IMPACTED YOUR ANALYSIS, IF ANY, SIR? 8 9 THIS -- IT DEFINES WHAT WE ARE LICENSING. Α. THE LICENSE WOULD COVER THE UNITED STATES, NO TERRITORIAL 10 11 RESTRICTIONS WITHIN THE UNITED STATES, AND IT WOULD BE A 12 NONEXCLUSIVE LICENSE. 13 NOW, IF IT'S NONEXCLUSIVE, THE ROYALTY RATE WOULD BE A LITTLE LOWER THAN EXCLUSIVE. IF YOU'RE GOING TO LICENSE 14 15 EXCLUSIVELY, YOU'VE GOT TO GET ALL YOUR MONEY OUT OF THE ONE 16 PERSON USING IT. IF YOU ARE LICENSING NONEXCLUSIVELY, THEN 17 YOU ARE GOING TO CHARGE A LITTLE LESS BECAUSE THE LICENSOR --18 LICENSEE, SAY ADOBE KNOWS THAT OTHER PEOPLE ARE GOING TO GET 19 TO USE IT AND MAYBE TO COMPETE WITH THEM ON IT. 20 BUT THAT'S THE WHOLE GIST OF THAT FACTOR. 21 OKAY. IS THAT -- DOES YOUR ANALYSIS RESULT IN AN UPWARD Q. 22 OR DOWNWARD REVISION OF THE NUMBER IN ANY WAY? 23 KIND OF NEUTRAL, BUT LIKE I SAID, IF WE WERE GOING TO BE 24 EXCLUSIVE, WE SHOULD GO HIGHER. 25 OKAY. IS THAT IT FOR 3? Q.

- A. YES. 1
- 2 LET'S GO TO 4. Q.
 - Α. 4.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NOW, IF YOU LOOK AT THE ESTABLISHED POLICY OF THE LICENSOR, AND THE ESTABLISHED POLICY OF DIGITAL REG IS THAT THEY WANT A LICENSE. THEY ARE NOT GOING TO KEEP THIS FOR THEIR OWN MONOPOLISTIC USE.

AND THAT WOULD NOT SAY -- THE FACT THAT THEY AREN'T GOING TO BE USING IT THEMSELVES, THEY MIGHT BE WILLING TO TAKE A LOWER ROYALTY RATE TO GET A LOT OF PEOPLE TO LICENSE IT.

BUT IF IT HAD BEEN THE CASE, WE'RE IN THE HYPOTHETICAL NEGOTIATION THEY WERE ADAMANT THAT THEY DIDN'T WANT TO EVER LICENSE TO ANYBODY AND THEY HAD TO BECAUSE OF THE HYPOTHETICAL SCENARIO, THEN THAT WOULD PRESSURE THE RATE UP.

BUT HERE WE DON'T HAVE THAT CONCERN.

- SO THE UPSHOT OF 4, SIR? Q.
- WELL, THERE IS NO REASON TO DRIVE IT UP. AND I DON'T SEE Α. ANY REASON THAT YOU WOULD LOWER IT WHERE JUST BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT TRYING TO USE IT THEMSELVES YOU WOULDN'T GIVE IT AWAY. IT DOESN'T HAVE A TREMENDOUS SWAYING EFFECT.
- OKAY. LET'S LOOK AT 5. Q.
- THIS FACTOR SAYS YOU SHOULD CONSIDER THE COMMERCIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO.

IN THIS CASE THEY ARE NOT COMPETITORS. IF THEY WERE, DIRECT, FIERCE FIGHTING COMPETITORS, THEN THERE WOULD BE GREAT

OF THEM AS ACCESSORIES.

IF -- IF I'M LICENSING A PATENT TO MAKE A PRODUCT, AND I

SELL THAT PRODUCT, BUT IN ADDITION, SAY 50 PERCENT OF THE

TIMES, THEY ALSO YIELDS SALE OF OTHER PRODUCTS, THEN I MIGHT

BE REASONABLE -- IT MIGHT BE REASONABLE FOR ME TO ASK FOR A

HIGHER ROYALTY ON THE PRODUCT BECAUSE I KNOW YOU ARE GOING TO

MAKE A WHOLE LOT OF MONEY ON ACCESSORIES.

IN THIS CASE, THERE IS EVIDENCE THAT WHEN SOFTWARE

COMPANIES SELL SOFTWARE, THEY OFTEN GET ADDITIONAL WORK, WHICH

IS SUPPORT AND SERVICES. THEY MIGHT CHARGE FOR LOADING THE

SOFTWARE, AND FOR SPECIALIZING IT OR CUSTOMIZING IT, OR FOR

MAINTAINING AND RUNNING IT. NOW, THAT EXISTS IN THE INDUSTRY,

AND ADOBE DOES, I THINK, ENJOY THAT. BUT I DON'T HAVE

EVIDENCE TO DIRECTLY TIE THAT POSSIBILITY TO THE SPECIFIC

PRODUCTS HERE.

IT MAY HAVE HAPPENED, I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S HAPPENED. SO I HAVEN'T GIVEN THAT ANY WEIGHT. BUT I JUST WANTED TO STATE IN ADDRESSING THAT FACTOR I'M AWARE THAT THERE COULD BE CONVOYED SALES, BUT I HAVEN'T GIVEN IT ANY WEIGHT.

Q. OKAY. IS THAT IT FOR 6?

A. YOU WANT TO LOOK AT THE DURATION.

IF WE'RE ARGUING OR LICENSING, DOING A NEGOTIATION FOR

PATENTS THAT HAVE A VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME, THE ARGUMENT

WOULD BE THEY ARE NOT VERY VALUABLE BECAUSE YOU CAN JUST WAIT

THREE MONTHS, SIX MONTHS UNTIL THEY EXPIRE AND THEN START

PRACTICING THE INVENTION WITHOUT HAVING TO PAY ANYBODY.

TECHNOLOGY, WE ARE GOING TO ALSO CONSIDER WELL, HOW IS THIS

BETTER THAN MY OTHER ALTERNATIVES?

AND FOR THIS I TALKED TO DR. DEVANBU. AND HE EXPLAINED SOME OF THE OLD MODES AND ALTERNATIVES AND WHY HE CONSIDERED THEM TO BE INFERIOR AT THIS POINT.

THE FIRST ONE HE EXPLAINED WAS THE DELIVERY OF THE SOFTWARE PRODUCTS ON THE CD-ROMS, WHICH WAS VERY COMMON FIVE, TEN YEARS AGO. THE TECHNOLOGY -- THERE WAS A TECHNOLOGY EMBEDDED IN THE CD-ROMS SO THAT IF I BOUGHT IT AND LOADED IT ONTO MY COMPUTER, I COULDN'T THEN JUST MAKE COPIES OF IT AND SELL THEM TO OTHER PEOPLE. SO THE TECHNOLOGY THERE WAS PHYSICAL.

HE SAID THERE'S ANOTHER METHOD WHERE YOU COULD GET DIGITAL RIGHTS MANAGEMENT BY REQUIRING PEOPLE TO BUY A HARDWARE PLUG-IN. SO IF YOU WANT -- BUY A PRODUCT, YOU HAVE TO HAVE SOME SORT OF PIECE OF HARDWARE THAT ATTACHED TO YOUR COMPUTER THAT ALLOWED YOU TO GET AUTHORIZATION TO USE THE PROGRAM. AND HE SAID THAT WAS KIND OF RIDICULOUS. CONSUMERS ARE GOING TO LIKELY BE HESITANT TO BUY A PLUG-IN FROM EVERY COMPANY THAT THEY WANT TO BUY SOFTWARE.

THEN THE THIRD OPTION WOULD BE TO USE THE CLOUD, MEANING
THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TO CONSTANTLY HAVE A CONNECTION, A WI-FI
CONNECTION TO USE THE SOFTWARE SO THAT THE CLOUD COULD
CONSTANTLY AUTHORIZE YOUR USE OF A PROGRAM. HE SAID THAT'S -THAT'S PRETTY BURDENSOME AND A LOT OF CONSUMERS AREN'T GOING
TO LIKE THE FACT THAT THEY CAN'T USE ADOBE ACROBAT OR OTHER

PROGRAMS, OR ANY KIND OF PROGRAM UNLESS THEY HAVE A WI-FI 1 2 CONNECTION. 3 SO HE SAID THAT AS FAR AS HE WAS CONCERNED, THE DIGITAL RIGHTS MANAGEMENT INVENTION IS THE MOST ELEGANT SOLUTION 4 5 BETWEEN DOING NOTHING AND DOING THESE, SAY, DRACONIAN MEASURES THAT ARE GOING TO ANNOY CONSUMERS. AND HE ALSO TOLD ME HE 6 7 WASN'T AWARE OF ANY WAY TO DESIGN AROUND THE DRM TECHNOLOGY. 8 IS THAT IT FOR FACTOR 9? 0. 9 Α. YES. AND DO YOU WANT TO MOVE ON TO FACTOR 10? 10 11 MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE HAVE SOME KIND OF QUESTION SO WE DON'T GET LONG NARRATIVES? "MOVE ON TO FACTOR 12 13 10" IS NOT REALLY A QUESTION. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 14 15 BY MR. PRICE: 16 DESCRIBE FOR THE JURY WHAT FACTOR 10 IS. 17 IT SAYS YOU SHOULD CONSIDER THE NATURE OF THE PATENTED 18 INVENTION. SAYS THE CHARACTER OF THE COMMERCIAL EMBODIMENT OF 19 IT IS OWNED AND PRODUCED BY THE LICENSOR AND THE BENEFITS TO 20 THE USERS. 21 OKAY. GIVE US YOUR ANALYSIS OF THAT FACTOR. Q. 22 WELL, THE BENEFITS TO THE LICENSOR, WHICH WOULD BE DIGITAL 23 REG'S BENEFIT IS THAT IT HAS BEEN LICENSING IT TO QUITE A FEW 24 PEOPLE. 25 THE BENEFITS TO THE USERS IS THAT -- THOSE OF ADOBE,

WITH RESPECT TO YOUR ANALYSIS FOR THIS CASE?

1 WELL, THEY ARE BOTH TALKING ABOUT WHAT WOULD BE Α. 2 COMPARABLE -- WHAT INDUSTRY STANDARD IS THERE. IS THERE JUST 3 A RULE OF THUMB THAT'S USED IN THIS PARTICULAR INDUSTRY FOR THIS KIND OF TECHNOLOGY SO THAT WE DON'T EVEN HAVE TO BOTHER 4 5 WITH ALL OF THIS ANALYSIS. AND THE FACTORS ARE SAYING IF THERE'S A RULE OF THUMB, YOU 6 7 SHOULD CONSIDER IT. WELL, THERE IS REALLY NOT A RULE OF 8 THUMB. IN FACT, THERE IS NOT A RULE OF THUMB IN ANY INDUSTRY. 9 OKAY. SO 12 AND 13 WERE OF NO EFFECT? Q. THAT'S CORRECT. 10 Α. 11 LET'S GO TO 14. Q. 12 Α. 14 IS --13 Q. LET ME GET A QUESTION OUT. WHAT IS 14? 14 15 IT'S THAT YOU SHOULD CONSULT EXPERTS --Α. 16 DID YOU DO --0. 17 -- IN THESE AREAS. Α. 18 YES, I DID. I CONSIDERED DR. DEVANBU AS A VERY IMPORTANT 19 EXPERT FOR ME TO UNDERSTAND THE BEGINNING OF THE INFRINGEMENT 20 DATE, AND HIS ANALYSIS INDICATED WHICH PRODUCTS INFRINGED, AND 21 HIS ANALYSIS INDICATED WHEN IT STARTED. 22 Q. OKAY. AND FACTOR NUMBER 15, WOULD YOU -- IT'S A FAIRLY 23 LONG ONE. WOULD YOU GIVE US A SHORT VERSION OF THAT? 24 A. AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, IT BOILS DOWN TO THE HYPOTHETICAL

NEGOTIATION. WHAT WOULD THE PARTIES DO WITH ALL THE

INFORMATION WE'VE JUST COLLECTED FROM THE PRIOR 14 SO THAT 1 2 THEY COULD VOLUNTARILY REACH A DECISION AS TO WHAT A FAIR 3 AMOUNT IS TO PAY -- TO CURE -- IN PLACE OF WHAT HAS HAPPENED 4 BY HAVING INFRINGEMENT. 5 OKAY. AND WHAT IS YOUR ANALYSIS UNDER FACTOR 15? Q. WELL, I FIRST CONCLUDED A ROYALTY RATE REASONABLY -- I 6 7 FIGURED A REASONABLE ROYALTY RATE IS TWO AND A HALF PERCENT. 8 WHAT DID YOU LOOK AT TO COMPUTE THAT RATE? 0. 9 Α. WELL, CONSIDERED ALL THE FACTORS, AND THEN WE HAD THREE 10 LICENSE SITUATIONS. 1 PERCENT FOR MACROVISION, TWO AND A HALF 11 PERCENT FROM INTUIT, AND FIVE AND A HALF PERCENT OF WHAT ADOBE 12 REQUIRES IF YOU WANT TO USE THEIR TECHNOLOGY. SO, TO BE CONSERVATIVE, I WENT IN THE MIDDLE. THAT'S HOW 13 I FIGURED TWO AND A HALF PERCENT WAS REASONABLE. 14 OKAY. SO WE'VE DONE THE ROYALTY RATE. WHAT DO WE DO NEXT 15 16 IN TERMS OF YOUR ANALYSIS? 17 YOU HAVE TO APPLY IT TO THE ROYALTY BASE WHICH REPRESENTS Α. 18 THE REVENUE DERIVED -- ASSOCIATED WITH THE INFRINGEMENT. 19 IF YOU WILL LOOK IN YOUR BINDER AT EXHIBIT 181. IT'S THE 20 LAST TAB THERE. 21 TELL US IF YOU'VE RELIED ON THAT DOCUMENT TO PERFORM YOUR 22 ANALYSIS. 23 I GUESS THE FIRST QUESTION SHOULD BE, CAN YOU IDENTIFY 24 THAT DOCUMENT FOR US?

THAT'S IN A DOCUMENT THAT'S PROVIDED BY ADOBE SHOWING

ALL THE LAW THAT WE HAVE CITED ABOUT THIS KIND OF NUMBER.

MR. REINES: JUST TO EMPHASIZE 403 -- RULE 403 AND

24

1 THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW IT SUBJECT TO 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION. 3 THE CLERK: SO ADMITTED, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: IS THIS AN EVIDENCE OR DEMONSTRATIVE? 4 5 MR. PRICE: IT'S AN EVIDENCE. WE ARE GOING TO GO TO THE DEMONSTRATIVE BECAUSE THAT'S A LITTLE DIFFICULT TO READ. 6 7 THE COURT: OKAY. 8 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 181 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 9 BY MR. PRICE: SO LET'S PUT UP THE DEMONSTRATIVE. IT'S POWER POINT SLIDE 10 11 2. 12 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) 13 AND THIS IS A THE TRUNCATED VERSION THAT YOU PREPARED? 14 THAT'S RIGHT. THESE ARE THE REVENUES PROVIDED BY ADOBE IN Α. 15 RESPONSE TO WHAT WAS ACCUSED, THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS. AND, YOU 16 KNOW, YOU CAN SEE IN THE EARLY YEARS THERE'S -- THERE WERE 17 ZERO SALES AND LATER YEARS THERE WERE LOTS OF SALES. AND THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE REVENUE FOR ACCUSED PRODUCTS 18 19 IS \$2 BILLION 66 MILLION. 20 COULD YOU SAY THAT AGAIN? I THINK YOU SAID 2,066,000,000. 21 I DIDN'T OUITE CATCH IT. 22 THE TOTAL AMOUNT IS \$2,066,000,000. A. 23 AND THAT'S THE TOTAL THERE IN THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND CORNER? Q. 24 Α. YES, THAT'S RIGHT.

OKAY. SO AFTER YOU LOOKED AT THIS, WHAT DID YOU DO NEXT?

1	A. THE NEXT IDEA IS TO APPORTION THE TOTAL REVENUES TO AN
2	AMOUNT THAT YOU MIGHT CALL THE SMALLEST SALABLE UNIT OR, IN
3	THIS CASE, WHERE DOES THE DIGITAL RIGHTS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY
4	GET IMPLEMENTED, AT WHERE IS IT INVOKED?
5	SO I DID RESEARCH, AND I FOUND THAT WHEN THESE WHEN
6	SOFTWARE PRODUCTS ARE DISTRIBUTED, THE DISTRIBUTOR GETS
7	30 PERCENT OF THE PRICE FOR DISTRIBUTION AND DELIVERY.
8	AND DISTRIBUTION AND DELIVERY IS WHERE CONTROL AND
9	REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OF TECHNOLOGY OCCURS. IT'S WHERE
10	THE DRM INVENTIONS WOULD BE DEPLOYED IN THE DELIVERY AND
11	DISTRIBUTION PORTION.
12	SO IT TOOK 30 PERCENT OF THIS 2 BILLION-DOLLAR NUMBER AND
13	CAME UP WITH THE UNIVERSE OF DISTRIBUTION AND DELIVERY, AND
14	THEN APPLIED THE 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY TO THE 30 PERCENT NUMBER.
15	Q. OKAY. LET'S EXPLAIN HOW WE GOT THAT 30 PERCENT NUMBER,
16	OKAY?
17	A. YES.
18	Q. IN YOUR BINDER YOU HAVE EXHIBITS 109, 110 AND 111.
19	AND IF YOU WOULD LOOK AT THOSE IN AGGREGATE, WE WILL DO
20	THIS IN ONE BIG SWATH.
21	A. ALL RIGHT.
22	Q. AND ARE THESE THE DOCUMENTS YOU RELIED ON TO COME UP WITH
23	THIS 30 PERCENT NUMBER?
24	A. YES.
25	Q. ARE THEY DOCUMENTS THAT ARE RELIED UPON BY EXPERTS IN YOUR

- 2 A. I RELIED ON THEM AND I'M AN EXPERT IN MY FIELD. YEAH.
- 3 THE INFORMATION HERE SHOWS THAT 30 PERCENT IS STANDARD
- 4 INDUSTRY PRACTICE FOR DISTRIBUTION AND DELIVERY OF SOFTWARE.
 - MR. PRICE: YOUR HONOR, WE ASK THEY BE OFFERED IN EVIDENCE, EXHIBITS 109, 110 AND 111.
- 7 MR. REINES: RENEWING OBJECTIONS AND REEMPHASIZING.
- 8 THE COURT: WELL, THIS IS DIFFERENT SUBJECT MATTER.
- 9 AND I THINK THAT IT PROBABLY IS NOT ADMISSIBLE UNDER 703.
- HE CAN USE IT, BUT I BELIEVE THE RULE SAYS HE CAN USE
- 11 OTHERWISE INADMISSIBLE INFORMATION, BUT IT ISN'T ADMITTED.
- MR. REINES: THAT'S CORRECT.
- MR. PRICE: OKAY. SO WE CAN MAYBE PUBLISH IT TO THE
- 14 JURY, YOUR HONOR?
- 15 THE COURT: NO. HE CAN TALK ABOUT IT.
- 16 BY MR. PRICE:
- 17 Q. WHY DON'T WE TALK ABOUT EXHIBIT 109.
- 18 **A.** OKAY.

5

- 19 Q. AND IF YOU WILL TURN TO THE SECOND PAGE OF THAT.
- 20 FIRST OFF, WHAT IS THIS DOCUMENT?
- 21 **A.** THIS ONE SAYS "SEGA CLAIMING 941,000 FROM THQ OVER COMPANY
- OF HEROES 2 PREORDERS".
- 23 Q. OKAY. AND IF YOU WILL TURN TO THE SECOND PAGE, DO YOU SEE
- 24 | THE 30 PERCENT NUMBER SUPPORTED ANYWHERE IN THERE?
- 25 A. THE LAST PARAGRAPH SAYS: "THE CUT SEGA CLAIMS IT IS OWED

I MEAN, THE DEVELOPERS AREN'T HAPPY, BUT IT DOESN'T MATTER

1	IF THEY ARE HAPPY OR NOT. THIS IS WHAT EVERYBODY IS CHARGING.
2	THIS IS WHAT THE STANDARD IS.
3	Q. OKAY. LET'S MOVE ON TO EXHIBIT 111, IN THE FIRST
4	PARAGRAPH.
5	LET US KNOW IF YOU SEE ANY SUPPORT FOR YOUR 30 PERCENT
6	NUMBER THERE.
7	A. THE LAST SENTENCE SAYS: THOSE WRITTEN TO TAKE ADVANTAGE
8	OF MICROSOFT 8'S METRO INTERFACE WILL REQUIRE GIVING MICROSOFT
9	THE INDUSTRY STANDARD 30 PERCENT CUT OF SALES.
10	Q. OKAY. AND WHY DO YOU SUGGEST THAT THIS IS THE CORRECT
11	INDUSTRY STANDARD TO BE APPLIED?
12	A. BECAUSE THIS HAS TO DO, SAY WITH DELIVERY AND
13	DISTRIBUTION. THIS IS WHERE DIGITAL REG INVENTION WOULD BE
14	INVOKED AND BE POWERFUL.
15	SO I'M TAKING 30 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL REVENUES AS THIS
16	SMALLER SALABLE UNIT AND APPLYING MY ROYALTY RATE TO THE
17	30 PERCENT NUMBER.
18	MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OBJECT TO THAT AND
19	MOVE TO STRIKE AS NOT EVEN BEING THE MINIMAL LEGAL STANDARDS
20	UNDER 702 TO BE IN AN OPEN COURT.
21	I AM VERY WE HAD THAT ISSUE BEFORE, BUT WE'RE CERTAINLY
22	MAKING CLEAR ON THE RECORD WE DON'T THINK 30 PERCENT IS A
23	LEGITIMATE PORTION ANALYSIS HERE.
24	THE COURT: YES, YOU'VE MADE THAT OBJECTION, AND I'VE

OVERRULED IT.

PARR - DIRECT / PRICE BY MR. PRICE: 1 2 OKAY. LET'S GO BACK TO THE POWER POINT, SLIDE 2. 3 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) MR. PARR, WOULD YOU TELL US IF YOU -- IF YOUR ROYALTY 4 5 ANALYSIS INCLUDES ANY PRODUCTS THAT ARE NOT LISTED HERE. 6 DID YOU -- IS THIS FOR EVERYTHING OR IS IT JUST FOR THESE 7 PRODUCTS? 8 A. NO. THE AMOUNT OF DAMAGES I'M COMING UP WITH IS FOR THE INFRINGEMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THESE PRODUCTS AND THIS REVENUE, 9 10 AND NOTHING ELSE. 11 O. OKAY. SO LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. WALK US THROUGH 12 THIS. WHAT ARE WE SEEING HERE ON THE TOP LINE? 13 14 (PUBLISHED TO JURY.) 15 THERE IT IS. OKAY. THE TOP LINE IS THE TOTAL ACCUSED 16 REVENUE FROM THE SOFTWARE IS, LIKE I SAID, 2,066,000,000. 17 THEN I'M TRYING TO CAPTURE LOWEST SALABLE UNIT, AN 18 ALLOCATION OF THE PORTION OF REVENUES THAT MIGHT BE MORE 19 DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INFRINGED 20 TECHNOLOGY. AND THAT'S THE 30 PERCENT WE JUST TALKED ABOUT. SO NOW WE HAVE \$619,860,000. AND THAT'S WHERE I APPLIED 21 22 MY 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY RATE TO COME UP WITH DAMAGES THAT ADOBE 23 SHOULD PAY TO DIGITAL REG OF \$15,496,500.

RIGHT? THAT'S WHERE YOU CUT THE ROYALTY BASE DOWN?

O. OKAY. SO THAT -- THAT -- THE 30 PERCENT IS THE TOP LINE,

24

- 1 Α. THAT'S RIGHT. 2 AND THEN THE BOTTOM LINE IS THE APPLICATION OF WHAT WE 3 CAME OUT OF THE GEORGIA-PACIFIC FACTORS WITH? 4 YES. Α. 5 AND YOU MULTIPLY THE REDUCED TOTAL BY THE GEORGIA-PACIFIC OUTCOME? 6 7 THE ROYALTY RATE. Α. 8 ROYALTY RATE, AND THEN TELL US AGAIN THE TOTAL? Q. 9 15.5 MILLION. Α. 10 AND THAT IS WHAT YOUR OPINION IS OF DIGITAL REG'S DAMAGES 11 IN THIS CASE? 12 A. YES. 13 MR. PRICE: OKAY. I WILL PASS THE WITNESS. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. REINES: 16 MR. PARR, ARE YOU READY?
- 17 Α. YES.
- 18 Q. THANK YOU.
- 19 I WOULD LIKE TO JUST DISCUSS SOME OF THE LITIGATION
- 20 MECHANICS OF AN EXPERT.
- 21 HOW MANY HOURS DID YOU WORK ON YOUR FIRST REPORT?
- 22 I DON'T RECALL. A HUNDRED, MAYBE 150. Α.
- 23 AND THE WAY IT WORKED IS YOU WORKED AN AMOUNT OF TIME AND Q.
- 24 YOU PUT A FIRST REPORT TOGETHER; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 25 Α. RIGHT.

- Q. AND THEN WE HAD A DEPOSITION OF YOU AFTER THAT; IS THAT RIGHT?

 A. I DON'T REMEMBER -- FOR THIS CASE, I DON'T REMEMBER
- 4 EXACTLY -- YES, THAT'S TRADITIONALLY WHAT YOU DO. I WROTE A
 5 REPORT AND THEN I GAVE A DEPOSITION. YES.
- Q. YOU DON'T REMEMBER IN THIS CASE ISSUING A REPORT AND THEN
 HAVING YOUR DEPOSITION TAKEN?
- A. YES. THERE'S BEEN TWO, I THINK. SO, I DO REMEMBER TAKING

 A DEPOSITION, YES.
 - Q. OKAY.

- AND FOR YOUR DEPOSITION IN THIS CASE, YOU KNEW THAT YOU

 12 NEEDED TO BE PREPARED ON YOUR REPORT, CORRECT?
- 13 A. SURE. YES.
- 14 Q. AND YOU DID YOUR BEST TO DO THAT, DIDN'T YOU?
- 15 **A.** YES.
- 16 Q. AND YOU SPENT THE TIME THAT YOU NEEDED TO INVEST TO MAKE
- 17 | SURE THAT YOU WOULD BE FULLY PREPARED FOR YOUR DEPOSITION,
- 18 RIGHT?
- 19 **A.** YES.
- 20 Q. AND THEN YOU'VE ACTUALLY, IN THIS CASE, PREPARED FOUR
- 21 REPORTS, RIGHT?
- 22 A. YES. TOTAL OF FOUR.
- 23 **Q.** OKAY.
- 24 AND IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR CURRENT OPERATIVE REPORT, YOU
- 25 HAD A -- YOU SPENT ABOUT HOW MANY HOURS ON THAT? IT WAS ABOUT

- 1 TWO WEEKS AGO.
- 2 A. WHICH ONE?
- 3 \parallel Q. THE FOURTH ONE THAT YOU CALLED THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL.
- 4 A. THAT WAS ADJUSTMENTS TO THE REPORT TO ELIMINATE SOME
- 5 FACTORS, SO IT DIDN'T TAKE A LOT. THERE WAS NO NEW
- 6 INFORMATION ADDED.
- 7 Q. OKAY. BUT IN ANY EVENT, YOU SPENT HOW MUCH TIME ON THAT
- 8 REPORT?
- 9 A. I DON'T KNOW, TEN HOURS.
- 10 Q. AND THEN I TOOK YOUR DEPOSITION LAST TUESDAY NIGHT; IS
- 11 THAT CORRECT?
- 12 **A.** YES.
- 13 Q. OKAY. AND WITH RESPECT TO THAT DEPOSITION, YOU TOOK THAT
- 14 DEPOSITION SERIOUSLY, RIGHT?
- 15 A. ALWAYS.
- 16 O. YOU SPENT ALL THE TIME THAT YOU THOUGHT YOU NEEDED TO BE
- 17 FAMILIAR WITH YOUR REPORT AND SUBJECT MATTER, CORRECT, IN
- 18 ORDER TO BE PREPARED?
- 19 A. YES. UH-HUH.
- 20 Q. NOW, WHEN YOU PREPARED -- WELL, LET ME SAY, IN THIS CASE,
- 21 ONE OF THE THINGS YOU LOOKED AT AS A CORE BODY OF INFORMATION
- 22 WAS TEN LITIGATION SETTLEMENTS, DO YOU RECALL THAT?
- 23 **A.** YES.
- 24 | O. AND THAT WAS THE INFORMATION THAT WAS IN YOUR FIRST
- 25 REPORT?

- TIME CROSS / REINES
- 1 **A.** YES.
- 2 \parallel Q. AT THE TIME OF YOUR FIRST REPORT, WERE YOU AWARE THAT
- 4 IN SUIT IN THIS CASE?
- 5 **A.** WELL, YES. THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN THE SUBJECT OF SOME OF
- 6 THE SETTLEMENT LICENSE AGREEMENTS THAT I HAD.
- 7 Q. WHEN YOU SAY SOME OF THOSE, DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY OF THEM?
- 8 | A. I DIDN'T TRACK THEM ALL. THERE'S TEN SETTLEMENT
- 9 AGREEMENTS. I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OF THEM WERE INVOLVED AS A
- 10 RESULT OF LITIGATION.
- 11 | O. YOU DON'T KNOW THAT ALL TEN LITIGATION SETTLEMENTS WERE
- 12 FROM LITIGATION?
- 13 **A.** THEY WOULD BY DEFINITION BE.
- 14 Q. AND WITH RESPECT TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, CERTAINLY AT
- 15 DEPOSITION, DID YOU KNOW WHEN YOUR DEPOSITION WAS TAKEN THAT
- 16 | THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT HAD THAT PRIOR LITIGATION? WERE YOU AWARE
- 17 OF THAT FACT?
- 18 | A. IT WAS IN MY FIRST REPORT, SO I WOULD KNOW THAT THEY HAD
- 19 SETTLEMENTS OF PRIOR LITIGATION.
- 20 Q. ALL RIGHT.
- 21 MR. REINES: I WOULD LIKE TO APPROACH. YOUR HONOR,
- 22 HERE IS THE ORIGINAL FOR YOU.
- 23 (BINDER HANDED TO COURT.)
- MR. REINES: MAY I APPROACH?
- 25 **THE COURT:** YES.

1	MR. REINES: THANK YOU. HERE IS YOUR DEPOSITION
2	TRANSCRIPTS.
3	(BINDER HANDED TO WITNESS.)
4	BY MR. REINES:
5	Q. I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOU TO PAGE 205, LINE 5 THROUGH 8.
6	AND WHY DON'T WE PLAY THAT. IT'S 205, FROM YOUR FIRST
7	DEPOSITION, LINES 5 THROUGH 8.
8	(VIDEO PLAYED AS FOLLOWS:)
9	"NOW, YOU UNDERSTOOD THERE WAS A PRIOR LITIGATION
_0	INVOLVING AT LEAST ONE OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT.
L1	RIGHT?
L2	"ANSWER: NO, I DON'T REMEMBER."
L3	BY MR. REINES:
4	Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS A LITTLE BIT.
L5	REGARDING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS, YOU AGREE THAT SETTLEMENT
L 6	AGREEMENTS NEVER INDICATE VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT, CORRECT?
L7	A. I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S NEVER, BUT I'VE NEVER SEEN ONE. AS
L8	FAR AS I'VE NEVER SEEN ONE WHERE THE PARTIES STIPULATE THAT
L 9	THEIR PATENTS ARE VALID AND THE PATENTS INFRINGE.
20	AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, ALL OF THE SETTLEMENTS I'VE SEEN
21	LEAVE THAT QUESTION OPEN BECAUSE THERE WAS NO COURT DECISION
22	TO PROVE THAT THEY WERE VALID AND PROVE THEY WERE INFRINGED.
23	Q. RIGHT. BUT IF YOU LISTEN TO MY QUESTION, BECAUSE WORDS
24	MATTER AS YOU KNOW FROM HAVING DONE THIS 70 TIMES, YOUR
5	TESTIMONY IS THAT SETTLEMENT ACREEMENTS NEVER INDICATE

- 1 VALIDITY. RIGHT? THEY JUST DON'T INDICATE THAT TO YOU; ISN'T
 2 THAT TRUE?
 - A. CORRECT.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. AND DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT ONE OF THE ARGUMENTS BEING MADE

 BY DIGITAL REG IS THAT THE FACT OF THESE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS

 PROVE THE VALIDITY -- SUPPORT THE VALIDITY OF THEIR PATENT?

 DID YOU KNOW THAT?
 - A. NO, I DIDN'T KNOW THAT.
 - Q. NOW, OF THE TEN LITIGATION SETTLEMENTS, YOU EXCLUDED EIGHT AGREEMENTS AND INCLUDED TWO IN YOUR ANALYSIS IN TERMS OF WHAT YOU WERE RELYING ON; ISN'T THAT CORRECT?
 - A. YES.
- Q. AND, IN FACT, YOU HAVE THREE DATA POINTS FOR YOUR ROYALTY

 RATE. THE ADOBE PLUG-IN, IN TERMS OF THE RATE ITSELF, THE

 MACROVISION AND INTUIT LICENSE AGREEMENTS.
 - THOSE ARE THE KIND NUMERICALLY WHAT YOU RELIED ON; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
 - A. CORRECT.
 - Q. OKAY.
- ON THE SCREEN AND THAT MR. HERBACH TESTIFIED AND YOU TESTIFIED

 ABOUT TODAY.
 - IF YOU COULDN'T RELY ON THAT 5.5 PERCENT, YOU JUST WOULDN'T KNOW WHERE TO GO WITH YOUR ANALYSIS; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
 - A. I WOULD DEFINITELY FIGURE IT OUT, BUT RIGHT NOW I WOULD

```
1
      HAVE TO CONSIDER IT. I CAN'T SAY I DON'T KNOW WHERE TO GO, I
 2
       JUST --
 3
       Q.
         ALL RIGHT --
 4
       Α.
          I WOULD HAVE TO READJUST.
 5
          I WOULD LIKE TO PLAY YOUR DEPOSITION AT LINE -- PAGE 194,
       Q.
       LINE 13 THROUGH PAGE 195, LINE 5.
 6
 7
                         (VIDEO PLAYED AS FOLLOWS:)
 8
                "QUESTION: IF THE JUDGE DECIDES IT'S NOT COMPARABLE,
 9
                DO YOU AGREE THAT THE ROYALTY RATE SHOULD GO DOWN
10
                FROM 2.5 PERCENT?
11
                "ANSWER: I'D HAVE TO THINK ABOUT IT. I CAN'T -- I
                DON'T KNOW.
12
13
                "OUESTION: IF THE --
14
                "ANSWER: I DON'T LIKE IT WHEN JUDGES TELL ME WHAT'S
15
                COMPARABLE AND WHAT'S NOT. I'M IN THE BUSINESS MORE
16
                THAN THEY ARE. BUT IF HE SAYS I CAN'T USE IT, I
17
                DON'T KNOW WHERE I'M GOING TO GO.
                "QUESTION: OKAY. AND IF THE JURY DECIDES THAT THE
18
19
                5.5 PERCENT PLUG-IN IS NOT COMPARABLE BECAUSE IT'S
20
                NOT A PATENT LICENSE AND IT'S NOT FOR DRM TECHNOLOGY
21
                THAT YOU CAN TIE TO THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT AND THEY
22
                DECIDE IT'S NOT COMPARABLE, DO YOU AGREE THAT THE
23
                NUMBER SHOULD GO DOWN FROM 2.5 PERCENT?
24
                "ANSWER: I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO DO WITH INJURIES.
25
                THEY'RE ON THEIR OWN. THEY CAN DECIDE WHATEVER THEY
```

PARR - CROSS / REINES

WANT."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. REINES:

YOU AGREE THAT THROUGH THE ADOBE PLUG-IN -- LET ME STEP BACK.

REGARDING THE AGREEMENT, THE 5.5 PERCENT THAT YOU RELY ON THAT WAS JUST ADDRESSED IN THAT TESTIMONY WE ADDRESSED WITH THE JUDGE AND THE JURY, THAT 5.5 PERCENT, THAT'S NOT A LICENSE FOR DRM, RIGHT?

- WELL, IT TALKS ABOUT DRM EXACTLY IN IT. IF YOU WANT TO Α. PUT DRM IN THEIR PRODUCT, YOU HAVE TO PAY 5.5 PERCENT. SO I EOUATE THAT TO DRM.
- Q. THE LICENSEE HAS THE DRM TECHNOLOGY, RIGHT? THE LICENSEE HAS --
- THE PERSON THAT'S GOING TO BE REQUIRED TO PAY THE Α. 5.5 PERCENT HAS TO DEVELOP THEIR OWN DRM. AND IF YOU WANT TO INCORPORATE DRM INTO ADOBE'S PRODUCT, YOU HAVE TO PAY THEM FIVE AND A HALF PERCENT.
- I THINK IT'S A SIMPLE QUESTION.

THE PERSON THAT'S THE LICENSEE THAT WOULD BE PAYING THE 5.5 PERCENT, THEY'RE NOT GETTING ANY DRM TECHNOLOGY. THEY ARE THE ONES THAT ARE COMING UP WITH THE DRM TECHNOLOGY; ISN'T THAT TRUE?

- A. RIGHT. THEY'RE JUST GETTING RIGHTS TO PUT IT INTO THE PROPERTY.
- WE WILL TALK IN A MINUTE ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE GETTING.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BUT WHAT I WANT TO BE CLEAR IS WHAT THEY'RE NOT GETTING. THEY'RE NOT GETTING DRM TECHNOLOGY FOR THEIR 5.5 PERCENT; THEY HAVE IT. OR THEY HAVE TO DEVELOP IT, THAT'S CORRECT. Α. Q. OKAY. NOW, WHAT THEY ARE GETTING FOR THEIR 5.5 PERCENT IS THEY ARE GETTING ACCESS TO ALL OF THE TECHNOLOGY OF THE ADOBE READER THAT THEY CAN PUT THEIR DRM ON, ESSENTIALLY BEING COMPETITIVE WITH THE ADOBE DRM, AND THEN THEY CAN TRY AND DO WHAT THEY WANT WITH THAT PRODUCT, RIGHT? WHAT THEY ARE GETTING, IN A SENSE, IS A KEY TO THE DOOR. SO THEY CAN CREATE THE TECHNOLOGY THAT WILL BE COMPATIBLE WITH THE TECHNOLOGY OF THE PRODUCT, OF ADOBE'S ACROBAT. SO THEY ARE NOT REALLY GETTING THE TECHNOLOGY AS MUCH AS THEY'RE JUST GETTING HERE'S A MAP OR THE KEY TO THE DOOR SO THAT YOU CAN BUILD YOUR PRODUCT AND IT WILL WORK WITH ADOBE. Q. RIGHT. AND WHEN THEY GET THE KEY TO THE DOOR TO OPEN THE DOOR --A. UH-HUH. -- WHETHER IT IS TO A SAFE OR ANYTHING ELSE, WHAT'S ON THE OTHER SIDE IS ALL THE TECHNOLOGY AND ALL THE PATENTS OF ADOBE'S THAT ADOBE'S ALLOWED TO CONTROL, THEY'RE GETTING ALL THAT BENEFIT, RIGHT? THEY'RE NOT GETTING ANY RIGHTS TO USE IT TO MAKE PRODUCTS.

THEY ARE JUST GETTING RIGHTS TO MAKE SURE THEIR PRODUCTS WORKS

1 WITH IT.

YOU'RE IMPLYING THAT THEY WILL BE GETTING ALL OF ADOBE
TECHNOLOGY, SAY FOR ACROBAT, AND THEN BE FREE TO GO USE IT ANY
WAY THEY WANT, AND THAT'S WRONG.

Q. SO YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT IF THEY USE THAT PLUG-IN OF
THEIR DRM WITH ADOBE'S PRODUCTS WITHOUT PERMISSION, THAT THAT
WOULD BE INFRINGEMENT?

YOU'VE DONE A LOT OF EXPERTING IN THIS AREA, RIGHT? YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, DON'T YOU?

- A. I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.
- Q. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IF SOMEONE TAKES THEIR OWN DRM

 TECHNOLOGY, AND WITHOUT LICENSE USES IT AND MATCHES IT TO ALL

 OF ADOBE'S TECHNOLOGY AND ENCOURAGES PEOPLE TO USE IT THAT

 WAY, THAT CAN BE PATENT INFRINGEMENT?

DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

- A. I DON'T KNOW THAT -- YES, BECAUSE THEY WOULD -HYPOTHETICALLY, TO MAKE A PLUG-IN, THAT WORKS WITH ADOBE
 PRODUCT, WITHOUT HAVING RIGHTS TO SAY POKE AROUND INSIDE THE
 ADOBE PRODUCT, THAT WOULD BE -- WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT
 WOULD BE. I'M NOT A PATENT EXPERT.
- IT'S JUST THAT ADOBE IS SAYING THAT IF YOU WANT THE KEY TO
 THE DOOR SO THAT YOU CAN MAKE YOUR PRODUCT COMPATIBLE WITH OUR
 PRODUCT, WE WANT 5.5 PERCENT.

BUT REMEMBER, WHEN YOU GET THE KEY TO THE DOOR, THAT

DOESN'T MEAN YOU GET OWNERSHIP TO DO ANYTHING YOU WANT ONCE

- YOU GET INSIDE THE REST OF THE HOUSE. YOU CAN'T GO IN AND USE 1
- 2 THAT TO MAKE YOUR OWN ADOBE PRODUCT. YOU ARE ONLY GETTING
- 3 LIMITED RIGHTS. THIS IS JUST WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE INSIDE THE
- 4 HOUSE, YOU BUILD YOUR PLUG-IN IT WILL FIT.
- Q. AND IN TERMS OF THIS 5.5 PERCENT, HAS ANYBODY EVER ENTERED 5
- INTO AN AGREEMENT WHERE THEY HAVE BEEN WILLING TO PAY THE 6
- 7 5.5 PERCENT TO GET ACCESS TO THE ADOBE TECHNOLOGY FOR THEIR
- 8 PLUG-IN?
- 9 WELL, AS I SAID IN MY REPORT, I DON'T KNOW. I HAVE NEVER
- 10 SEEN ANY LICENSES.
- 11 AND, IN FACT, ISN'T TRUE -- YOU'VE TESTIFIED A LITTLE BIT
- 12 HOW YOU THINK IT WORKS, BUT YOU HAVE NO IDEA HOW THE DRM
- 13 PLUG-INS ARE IMPLEMENTED?
- A. NO, THAT'S A TECHNICAL ISSUE. I WOULD RELY ON DR. DEVANBU 14
- 15 FOR THAT.
- 16 O. AND YOU DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT OTHER THAN THE
- 17 ONE-PAGE DOCUMENT THAT WAS UP HERE, CORRECT?
- 18 THAT'S THE ONLY INFORMATION ADOBE PROVIDED ON IT, THAT'S
- 19 CORRECT.
- 20 O. AND IN TERMS OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PLUG-INS OF
- 21 THESE THIRD PARTIES AND THE ACCUSED DRM TECHNOLOGY, YOU HAVE
- 22 NO IDEA WHETHER THERE'S COMPARABILITY OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
- 23 FROM A TECHNICAL POINT OF VIEW? A.
- 24 Q. YES.
- 25 Α. RIGHT.

DID YOU ASK DIGITAL REG ABOUT ANY OF THE INFORMATION ABOUT
THE BENEFITS OR THE USAGE OR WHETHER THEY'VE BEEN ABLE TO FIND
OUT FROM MR. HERBACH WHETHER ANYONE EVER ENTERED INTO THIS
LICENSE AGREEMENT?
A. NO, I HAVE I DON'T KNOW I STILL DON'T KNOW WHAT THE
BENEFITS ARE OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE MADE A PLUG-IN AND SOLD IT.
THAT'S WHAT I'M REFERRING TO HERE ARE BENEFITS.
YOU HAVE TO PAY 5.5 PERCENT. BUT I DON'T HAVE ANY
EVIDENCE THAT SHOWS PEOPLE HAVE DONE THIS AND MADE A BILLION
DOLLARS ON WHICH THEY PAY 5.5 PERCENT.
SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT BENEFITS PEOPLE WHO HAVE DONE THIS
HAVE RECEIVED BY DOING IT. I JUST KNOW THAT TO DO IT, YOU
HAVE TO PAY 5.5 PERCENT.
MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, I MOVE TO STRIKE THAT
TESTIMONY. THE QUESTION WAS WHETHER HE ASKED DIG REG'S
ATTORNEYS FOR THE INFORMATION THAT HE DIDN'T HAVE.
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
BY MR. REINES:
Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT YOUR FIRST REPORT.
IN YOUR FIRST REPORT, THE ROYALTY RATE THAT YOU CONCLUDED
WAS REACHED WAS 2.5 PERCENT; ISN'T THAT CORRECT?
A. YES.
Q. AND THE THEORY THAT YOU USED FOR THAT RELATED TO PIRACY
SAVINGS, CORRECT?
Brivings, condict.

THAT WAS ONLY ONE PORTION. THE THEORY I USED WAS THE

- ENTIRE GEORGIA-PACIFIC FACTORS AND THE LICENSE AGREEMENTS THAT

 ARE JUST DISCUSSED HERE IN THE REPORT, AND ALSO A CALCULATION

 I DID OF SAVINGS.
 - Q. AND THE PIRACY SAVINGS OPINION THAT YOU HAD, FROM WHICH HELPED YOU GET TO THIS 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY RATE, YOU CONCLUDED THAT THE NUMBER CAME OUT TO 2 PERCENT UNDER THAT, WHATEVER THAT FORMULA WAS THAT YOU USED, CORRECT?
 - A. I THINK I REMEMBER THAT FOR ME THAT INDICATED A
 2-POINT PERCENT ROYALTY WHICH SUPPORTED ALL THE OTHER
 INFORMATION I HAD ALREADY TO SUPPORT 2.5.
 - Q. AND THEN WHAT YOU DID WAS YOU TOOK THAT 2 PERCENT NUMBER AND YOU CHANGED IT TO 2.5 PERCENT BECAUSE THAT'S THE CALCULATION YOU HAD FOR DIFFERENT PARTIES, DIFFERENT PEOPLE THAT YOU WERE ALLEGED -- THAT DIG REG WAS ALLEGING INFRINGEMENT FOR SO THAT THEY WOULD BE CONSISTENT.
 - A. I DIDN'T CHANGE IT. WHAT I HAVE IS 1 PERCENT FOR MACROVISION. THEN I HAD TWO AND A HALF PERCENT FROM INTUIT. FIVE AND A HALF PERCENT FROM ADOBE WHEN THEY'RE LICENSING THE RIGHTS TO MAKE DRM. AND THEN I HAD THIS CALCULATION, WHICH WAS 2 PERCENT.
 - AND IF YOU SPREAD THEM OUT AND LOOK AT THEM, I DECIDED YOU'VE GOT A LOW, YOU'VE GOT A HIGH, I WENT WITH TWO AND A HALF PERCENT AS IN BETWEEN.
- Q. AND AT LEAST ONE REASON WHY YOU WENT FROM THAT 2 PERCENT

 TO 2.5 PERCENT WAS BECAUSE YOU THOUGHT DIGITAL REG WOULD WANT

```
1
       STRICKEN. THAT IS NOT RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY.
 2
                THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
 3
                MR. REINES: MAY I APPROACH?
                THE COURT: YES.
 4
 5
                        (BINDER HANDED TO WITNESS.)
      BY MR. REINES:
 6
 7
          I WOULD LIKE YOU TO TURN TO YOUR FIRST REPORT AT
 8
      PARAGRAPH 184, PLEASE.
 9
      A. GOT IT.
10
                            (PUBLISHED TO JURY.)
11
          LET'S -- JUST THE TWO SENTENCES FROM THE THIRD LINE TO THE
12
       SEVENTH LINE?
13
                THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE HIS REPORT --
14
                      (DOCUMENT REMOVED FROM SCREEN.)
15
                MR. REINES: LET'S NOT SHOW IT TO THE JURY.
16
                THE COURT: IT'S DOWN.
17
      BY MR. REINES:
         DO YOU SEE THE SENTENCE THAT SAYS:
18
19
                "BASED ON AN ADOBE PROFIT ANALYSIS, I ALSO DETERMINED
20
                THAT A TWO-POINT -- A 2 PERCENT ROYALTY RATE WOULD BE
21
                REASONABLE BASED ON A SPLIT OF THE PROFITS
22
                ATTRIBUTABLE TO DIGITAL REG'S INVENTION. BECAUSE
23
                DIGITAL REG WOULD LIKELY WANT TO ENTER INTO A
24
                CONSISTENT LICENSING CAMPAIGN, IT WOULD LIKELY ALSO
25
                CONSIDER HOW A 50 PERCENT SPLIT OF PROFITS WOULD WORK
```

WITH OTHER DEFENDANTS SUCH AS EA, UBISOFT, AND 1 2 SYMANTEC. BY COMBINING THESE IMPORTANT DATA POINTS, 3 I BELIEVE A 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY RATE IS A CONSERVATIVE FIGURE." 4 5 DO YOU SEE THAT? 6 YES. Α. 7 AND SO THE FACT OF A CONSISTENT LICENSING CAMPAIGN WAS A 8 CONTRIBUTOR TO YOUR 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY, RIGHT? THAT'S A 9 "YES" OR "NO" OUESTION. SIMPLE OUESTION. WAS THE FACT THAT YOU WANTED TO HAVE A 10 11 CONSISTENT ROYALTY RATE FOR DIGITAL REG AT 2.5 PERCENT ONE OF 12 THE REASONS WHY YOU CAME TO 2.5 PERCENT IN YOUR FIRST REPORT? 13 RIGHT. I ESTABLISHED 2.5 PERCENT AND FELT THAT IT SHOULD Α. BE UNIVERSAL. THAT'S RIGHT. BUT IT WASN'T LIKE THE OTHER WAY 14 15 AROUND. 16 O. NOW, IN THAT FIRST REPORT, YOU INCLUDED AN ALTERNATIVE 17 WHERE WHAT YOU SAID WAS, SINCE YOU HAD THIS HUGE BASE UNDER 18 DIGITAL REG'S THEORY OF \$2 BILLION IN REVENUE, THAT YOU WERE 19 GOING TO APPORTION IT SO YOU WOULDN'T BE TRYING TO GET ALL THE 20 MONEY FOR ALL OF THAT, BUT YOU WOULD JUST TRY TO GET A 21 30 PERCENT ROYALTY BASE; ISN'T THAT CORRECT? 22

Α. YES.

23

24

25

AND THEN YOU SAID, THE WAY YOU WERE GOING TO WORK IT WAS LIKE A SEESAW, RIGHT? THAT IF YOU LOWERED THE BASE BY 30 --TO 30 PERCENT FROM A HUNDRED PERCENT, YOU WERE AUTOMATICALLY GOING TO INCREASE THE ROYALTY RATE SO THAT THE AMOUNT ALWAYS REMAIN THE SAME.

AND YOU DID A CALCULATION, WHICH WAS -- SEEMED HARDER THAN I REMEMBER. IT WAS LIKE -- I THINK YOU CAME TO LIKE 8.33 AS THE NEW EFFECTIVE ROYALTY RATE. SO YOU AGREED, OH, WELL, WE SHOULD APPORTION, AT LEAST UNDER THIS ALTERNATIVE THEORY, BUT IT GOES UP 8.33 PERCENT.

IS THAT GENERALLY FAMILIAR?

- A. THAT'S WHAT I DID, YES.
- 10 Q. AND YOU SAID, WELL, THAT 8.33 PERCENT, THAT'S EFFECTIVELY
- 11 A 2.5 PERCENT RATE ON THE OVERALL BASE, RIGHT?
- A. RIGHT. THE 2.5 PERCENT IS FROM LICENSES, BUT IT'S FROM
- 13 THE LICENSE WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT, THE SETTLEMENTS.
- 14 | Q. I'M NOT ASKING YOU FOR ALL THE INTERWORKINGS OF HOW YOU
- GOT TO 2.5 PERCENT. I JUST WANTED TO GET INTO THE SEESAW
- 16 POINT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 17 A. OKAY. THE SEE --
- 18 Q. THERE'S NO QUESTION PENDING.
- 19 SO YOU HAD YOUR MAIN OPINION, AND WE TALKED ABOUT, AND
- 20 | THAT CAME TO A 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY. AND THEN YOU HAD YOUR
- 21 | ALTERNATIVE THEORY, THIS APPORTIONMENT THEORY, AND THAT CAME
- 22 TO AN EFFECTIVE 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY RATE; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
- 23 A. PLEASE SAY THAT AGAIN. I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU.
 - Q. SURE.

24

25 YOUR MAIN OPINION IN YOUR FIRST REPORT CAME TO A

- 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY RATE, CORRECT? 1 2 THAT WAS MY ULTIMATE OPINION, YES. A. 3 AND THAT -- A VERY SUBSTANTIAL PART OF THAT WAS THIS PIRACY SAVINGS AMOUNT THAT WE TALKED ABOUT? 4 5 I CAN'T SAY THAT. I CAN'T SAY THAT'S A SUBSTANTIAL PART. Α. 6 I LOOKED AT THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS. THEY LED ME TO 2.5 7 PERCENT. AND HERE'S HOW I USUALLY DO THINGS. 8 Q. OKAY. 9 WHAT I DO THEN IS, IS THERE SOME OTHER THING I CAN DO 10 TO -- A DIFFERENT APPROACH THAT MIGHT GIVE SUPPORT FOR IT, OR 11 NOT. SO I DID THIS PROFIT SAVINGS ANALYSIS, AND IT CAME UP 12 WITH 2 PERCENT. 13 AND SO I THOUGHT, YEAH, LOOK, HERE'S ANOTHER DIFFERENT APPROACH OTHER THAN JUST LOOKING AT THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS, 14 15 AND IT COMES UP WITH A NUMBER THAT'S GENERALLY SUPPORTIVE. 16 THAT'S ALL I DID IT FOR. 17 Q. NOW, IN TERMS OF THE PROFITS SAVINGS APPROACH THAT YOU 18 USED, THE APPROACH YOU USED WHERE YOU CALCULATED AGAINST ALL 19 REVENUE AND DIDN'T USE A 30 PERCENT DIVIDER BECAUSE YOU USED 20 THE SEESAW METHOD, AND YOUR ATTEMPT TO APPORTION WITH THAT 21 30 PERCENT, THAT WAS ALL STRUCK BY THE COURT AS INAPPROPRIATE, 22 CORRECT? 23
 - MR. PRICE: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THAT'S --
 - THE COURT: YEAH, THAT --

25

MR. PRICE: THERE'S A MOTION IN LIMINE --

AND I -- IF I CONTRIBUTED TO CONFUSION, I APOLOGIZE.

24

25

ACCUSED.

1 ARE ALL WORKING WITH A LOT OF PAPER.

THERE'S FOUR REPORTS, BUT THE NUMBERING SCHEME THAT YOU USED WAS A FIRST REPORT, AND THEN WHAT YOU JUST CALLED A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT, WHICH IS THE ONE YOU JUST DESCRIBED, AND THEN A FIRST -- SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT, AND A THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT.

SO WHEN I REFERRED TO THE SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT, I
MEAN THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU LABELED AS THE SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL
REPORT, WHICH IS ACTUALLY THE FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT, WHICH
WAS ON AUGUST 18TH. SO YOU DID IT ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO.

A. OKAY.

- Q. DO YOU KNOW THAT REPORT? BECAUSE I THINK IT'S IN YOUR BINDER.
- 14 A. I'M SURE IT IS.
 - Q. BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION, I WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO REFRESH SO WE ARE ALL WORKING ON THE SAME DOCUMENT. THAT'S ONLY FAIR.

THE COURT: AND IN THE FUTURE, LET'S CALL THEM BY
DATE RATHER THAN CALL THEM BY FIRST, SECOND, AND THIRD.

MR. REINES: OKAY. THAT WILL BE A GOOD WAY TO AVOID THIS PROBLEM.

- BY MR. REINES:
- Q. DO YOU HAVE AT AUGUST 18TH, 2014 REPORT?
- **A.** YES, I DO.
- **Q.** OKAY.

- 1 **A.** GOT IT.
- 2 Q. AND WITH THAT AUGUST 18TH, 2014 REPORT, YOU CONSIDERED TWO
- 3 | LICENSES THAT WERE NEW INFORMATION FOR YOU. LET'S CALL IT
- 4 THAT.

- 5 A. YES, THAT'S RIGHT.
- 6 Q. OKAY. AND ONE OF THEM WAS A 1 PERCENT LICENSE, ACCORDING
- 7 TO YOUR ANALYSIS, CORRECT?
 - A. YES, THAT'S RIGHT.
- 9 Q. AND THEN YOUR STATEMENT, YOUR ANALYSIS WAS A ROYALTY RATE
- 10 OF APPROXIMATELY 1 PERCENT OF ACCUSED REVENUE GENERALLY
- 11 SUPPORTS MY 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY RATE CONCLUSION.
- 12 **A.** YES.
- 13 Q. OKAY. LET'S LOOK AT THE SECOND LICENSE.
- 14 | THE SECOND LICENSE WAS .33 PERCENT, CORRECT?
- 15 **A.** YES.
- 16 O. AND YOUR CONCLUSION WAS THAT THAT SUPPORTS YOUR
- 17 | 2.5 PERCENT ROYALTY RATE. THAT DIDN'T CHANGE YOUR 2.5 PERCENT
- 18 ROYALTY RATE AT ALL, RIGHT?
- 19 A. BECAUSE I STILL HAD THE OTHER ONES. I NOW HAD 1 PERCENT
- 20 FROM MACROVISION, TWO AND A HALF PERCENT FROM INTUIT,
- 21 | 5.5 PERCENT FOR THE ADOBE DRM LICENSING STRATEGY. NOW, IN
- 22 ADDITION TO THOSE, I HAVE 1 PERCENT FROM --
- 23 Q. YOU DON'T NEED TO MENTION THE NAMES. JUST KEEP IT TO THE
- 24 NUMBERS.
- 25 **A.** I'M SORRY.

```
1
          1 PERCENT FROM ONE OF THE SETTLEMENTS AND 3 PERCENT --
 2
       .3 PERCENT FROM THE OTHER SETTLEMENTS. SO I GOT MORE BUT
 3
       THEY'RE STILL SURROUNDING TWO AND A HALF PERCENT. I DIDN'T
       SEE ANY REASON TO CHANGE MY TWO AND A HALF PERCENT.
 4
 5
       O. YOU UNDERSTAND THROUGH NO FAULT OF ADOBE'S THAT YOU HAD TO
       WITHDRAW THAT REPORT; YOU'RE NOT RELYING ON THOSE LICENSES,
 6
 7
       CORRECT?
 8
                MR. PRICE: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. WE ARE UP AGAINST
 9
       THIS MOTION IN LIMINE AGAIN.
10
                THE COURT: YEAH.
11
               MR. REINES: I HAVE TO MAKE -- YOUR HONOR, YOU GAVE
       US THE OPPORTUNITY TO POINT OUT THAT IT'S 2.5 PERCENT NO
12
13
      MATTER WHAT REPORT. I HAVE TO MAKE THE POINT THAT IT WASN'T
14
       RELIED ON. I DON'T KNOW HOW ELSE TO DO THAT.
15
                THE COURT: THAT'S FINE, BUT THE REASON THAT IT
16
       WASN'T DOESN'T NEED TO BE MENTIONED.
17
               MR. REINES: I --
18
                          (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)
19
                THE COURT: -- DOES ANY FAULT NEED TO BE SAID OR NOT
20
       SAID.
21
               MR. REINES: I JUST SAID WE WEREN'T AT FAULT. THAT'S
22
       ALL I SAID.
23
                THE COURT: THAT'S STRICKEN.
24
               MR. REINES: OKAY.
25
```

BY MR. REINES:

1

2 Q. ALL RIGHT. 3 AND, AGAIN, JUST TO BE CLEAR, IN THAT AUGUST 18TH REPORT, 4 YOU STILL CAME UP WITH THE 2.5 PERCENT, CORRECT? 5 RIGHT. I HAD 2.5 PERCENT. NOW I'VE GOT TWO NEW PIECES OF DATA. AND WHEN ADDED TO THE COLLECTION OF THE DATA THAT I 6 7 HAD, I SAW NO REASON TO CHANGE. 8 THERE'S NOTHING STUNNINGLY DIFFERENT THAT WOULD SAY, WOW, 9 THAT SHOULD BE SO MUCH HIGHER BECAUSE OF THESE. 10 Q. AND IN THE CURRENT REPORT, YOU ARE STILL AT 2.5 PERCENT, 11 RIGHT, EVEN THOUGH YOU DID A MODIFIED ANALYSIS? 12 A. BECAUSE I STILL HAVE THE CORE DEALS THAT PROVIDED A 13 2.5 PERCENT CONCLUSION. 14 IN OTHER WORDS, WE'VE HAD DATA COME AND DATA GO, BUT NONE OF THE DATA THAT'S COME AND GONE HAS BEEN SURPRISINGLY OUTSIDE 15 16 OF MY RANGE. 17 MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS A LOGICAL POINT. I CAN GO ON, THERE'S NO PROBLEM WITH THAT, IT'S JUST I'M 18 19 SWITCHING GEARS. 20 THE COURT: WE CAN. I USUALLY GO TO UNTIL 1:30. BUT 21 IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO BREAK NOW --22 MR. REINES: IF THAT'S ALL RIGHT. 23 MR. PRICE: YOUR HONOR, IF THERE'S ANY CHANCE WE CAN 24 FINISH. MR. PARR DOES LIVE ON THE EAST COAST. 25 THE COURT: OH, I DON'T --

MR. REINES: NO. THIS IS A SMALL FRACTION OF THE 1 2 OUTLINE. 3 MR. PRICE: OKAY. THE COURT: OKAY. SO WE'LL BREAK FOR THE WEEKEND 4 5 THEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. SOMEONE HAS ASKED FOR AN UPDATE OR A REPETITION OF THE --6 7 OR PROJECTION. WHAT I HAD SAID BEFORE, AND I THINK IS STILL 8 THE CASE, IS THAT WE WILL HAVE THE EVIDENCE COMPLETED BY NO 9 LATER THAN THE END OF THE DAY ON FRIDAY THE 5TH. AND WE 10 ANTICIPATE THEN HAVING -- YOU MAY STEP DOWN IF YOU WOULD 11 LIKE -- INSTRUCTIONS AND CLOSING ARGUMENTS AND THE BEGINNING 12 OF DELIBERATIONS ON MONDAY, THE 8TH OF SEPTEMBER. 13 AND THE DELIBERATIONS CAN TAKE AS LONG AS THEY TAKE. IT COULD BE THAT DAY, IT COULD BE THE REST OF THAT WEEK. 14 15 THERE'S SOME CHANCE WE GET DONE EARLIER WITH THE EVIDENCE, 16 IN WHICH CASE WE COULD HAVE INSTRUCTIONS AND ARGUMENT EARLIER 17 THAN THE 8TH, PERHAPS ON THE 5TH, BUT I HAVE NO INDICATION ONE 18 WAY OR THE OTHER ABOUT THAT. 19 WE ARE MORE OR LESS ON TRACK WITH WHAT WE HAVE BEEN 20 PLANNING. SOMETIMES THINGS HAPPEN, BUT SO FAR THEY HAVEN'T. 21 SO, YOU REMEMBER THAT MONDAY IS A HOLIDAY. SO WE WILL BE 22 BACK IN SESSION ON TUESDAY. WE WILL START UP AT 8:30. SO, 23 AGAIN, I WILL ASK YOU TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU ARE HERE ON TIME 24 SO WE CAN KEEP TO THE SCHEDULE. 25 REMEMBER NOT TO DISCUSS THE CASE OR DO ANY RESEARCH ABOUT

```
THE CASE ON YOUR OWN OVER THE LONG WEEKEND. LEAVE YOUR NOTES
 1
 2
       AND YOUR JURY INSTRUCTIONS IN THE JURY ROOM. TAKE YOUR BADGES
 3
       WITH YOU AND BRING THEM BACK ON TUESDAY WHEN YOU COME, AND
      HAVE A GOOD WEEKEND.
 4
 5
           (PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.)
                THE COURT: SO I DID SAY YOU COULD IMPEACH WITH HIS
 6
 7
       PRIOR REPORTS. I SORT OF HAD IN MIND POINTING OUT THE
 8
       DIFFERENCES, AND SO ON. WE HADN'T REALLY DISCUSSED WHETHER IT
 9
       WOULD BE EXPLAINED AS TO WHY THOSE REPORTS WEREN'T EXTANT
10
       ANYMORE, AND I DON'T HAVE ANY PARTICULAR IDEAS ABOUT WHAT TO
11
      DO ABOUT THAT.
12
               MR. PRICE: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE A SPECIFIC MOTION IN
13
       LIMINE NUMBER 20 THAT ADDRESSES THIS VERY ISSUE. NO RELIEF
       WAS SOUGHT FROM THAT MOTION IN LIMINE. YOUR ORDER WAS CLEAR
14
15
       THAT IT COULD BE USED FOR IMPEACHMENT AND FOR CREDIBILITY, BUT
16
       I DON'T THINK CREDIBILITY WAS BASICALLY SAYING THAT THE COURT
17
       STRUCK YOUR REPORT, AND NOW WE'VE GOT THIS COMMENT FROM MR. --
18
                THE COURT: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR IDEA AS TO HOW IT
19
       WOULD BE EXPLAINED THAT THERE WERE THREE PRIOR REPORTS --
20
               MR. PRICE: JUST THAT YOU ISSUED ANOTHER REPORT AND
21
       IT DID THIS, AND YOU DID ANOTHER REPORT THAT DID THIS. BUT
22
      NOT LIKE THIS, HEY, THE JUDGE SAID THAT THIS REPORT IS NO
23
      GOOD.
24
           THAT'S -- THAT'S -- INCREDIBLY PREJUDICIAL, AND THEN IT
25
       HAPPENED AGAIN.
```

```
MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, ALL I SAID IS THAT WE
 1
 2
      WEREN'T AT FAULT.
 3
                THE COURT: NO. EARLIER THAN THAT --
               MR. REINES: OH. THAT IS TRUE. THAT IS TRUE.
 4
                                                                THE
 5
      POINT I WAS TRYING TO MAKE IS THAT IT WAS NOT OUR
      RESPONSIBILITY THAT THIS HAPPENED.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: IT WAS YOUR FAULT IN THE SENSE YOU MOVED
 8
      TO STRIKE THEIR EXPERT TESTIMONY.
 9
               MR. REINES: WELL, I MEAN THAT'S BECAUSE -- I MEAN
10
      THAT'S BECAUSE IT WAS LEGALLY INADEQUATE, WHICH PUT US IN THIS
11
      SITUATION IN THE FIRST PLACE. AND WE DID SEEK PERMISSION, AND
      A FAIR READING OF THAT ORDER WAS THAT THAT WAS APPROPRIATE TO
12
13
      SAY HE HAD THESE PRIOR OPINIONS, THEY WERE INSUFFICIENT, AND
14
      THEY WERE THE SAME NUMBER NO MATTER WHAT IT IS. THAT'S HOW I
15
      TOOK IT.
16
               MR. PRICE: YOUR HONOR, WE WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS
17
      YESTERDAY. WAS IT THE 19TH --
               MS. GLAUSER: IT WASN'T YESTERDAY. IT WAS THE
18
19
      19TH --
20
               MR. PRICE: THE 19TH WE HAD A MEET AND CONFER AND
21
      SAID, LOOK, WE ARE NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT WHAT THE JUDGE
22
      ORDERED IN TERMS OF THE JUDGE ORDERED THE REPORT STRUCK.
23
      MR. REINES AGREED THAT HE WOULDN'T BE DOING THAT.
24
          SO WE -- OTHERWISE WE WOULD HAVE COME TO YOU FOR
25
      ADDITIONAL CLARIFICATION, BUT WE HAD NO IDEA THAT HE WAS GOING
```

TO SAY THE JUDGE STRUCK YOUR ORDER IN FRONT OF THE JURY. 1 2 MR. REINES: I MADE NO SUCH COMMITMENT. I MEAN, THEY 3 ARE SAYING FIVE DAYS AGO I SAID WHAT I WAS DOING TO DO ON CROSS? I'M NOT SURE THE ORDER ISSUED. THAT'S THE FIRST 4 5 THING. THE SECOND THING, YOUR HONOR, IS WE WOULD ASK, WITH 6 7 RESPECT TO PARR, THAT THEY BE INSTRUCTED NOT TO CONSULT WITH 8 HIM OVER THE -- WHILE HE'S PENDING ON THE STAND. 9 MR. PRICE: WE'RE NOT READY TO MOVE ON -- WE HAVEN'T 10 MOVED ON FROM THE OLD ISSUE, SO I THINK WE NEED TO ADDRESS 11 WHAT WE NEED TO DO ABOUT WHAT JUST HAPPENED. 12 THE COURT: LET'S HAVE NO MORE REFERENCES TO THE FACT 13 THAT THE COURT STRUCK THE REPORTS OR WHOSE FAULT IT WAS THAT 14 THEY WERE STRICKEN. YOU MAY REFER TO THE FACT THAT THERE WERE 15 A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT REPORTS AND NOT BRING OUT ANYTHING ABOUT 16 WHY THERE WERE, AND --17 MR. REINES: I WILL MOVE ON TOTALLY. 18 THE COURT: -- YOU WON'T BE ABLE TO EXPLAIN WHY THEY 19 WERE EITHER. LEAVE IT AS A MYSTERY. 20 MR. PRICE: CAN WE HAVE A CURATIVE INSTRUCTION, THOUGH, YOUR HONOR, THAT SAYS THE JUDGE -- THE COURT HAS NOT 21 22 PASSED ON MR. PARR'S METHODOLOGY, OR SOMETHING OF THAT 23 NATURE --24 THE COURT: A CURATIVE INSTRUCTION WON'T BE FALSE. 25 YOU CAN DRAFT A PROPOSED INSTRUCTION THAT SAYS IT'S NOT THEIR

```
PROVINCE, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. BUT, NO, I AM NOT GOING TO
 1
 2
       SAY I DIDN'T DO IT --
                          (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)
 3
               MR. PRICE: -- I UNDERSTAND. I'M NOT GOING TO GO
 4
 5
      CRAZY WITH IT, YOUR HONOR.
          ANOTHER COMMENT, YOUR HONOR, AND I THINK THIS WOULD BE
 6
 7
       HELPFUL, I THINK THAT THIS LINE FROM YOUR ORDER, BECAUSE IT
 8
      HAS BEEN ABUSED TWICE ABOUT IMPEACHING HIS CREDIBILITY AND
 9
      METHODOLOGY WITH THE OLD REPORTS, I THINK THAT SHOULD BE TAKEN
10
      AWAY BECAUSE IT HAS NOW BEEN --
11
                THE COURT: NO. I AM VERY TROUBLED BY HIS TESTIMONY,
12
       AND HE MAY CROSS-EXAMINE ON IT.
13
          BUT IF YOU CAN DRAFT AN INSTRUCTION THAT SAYS, I DON'T
       KNOW WHAT IT WOULD SAY, FRANKLY, BUT I WILL BE HAPPY TO
14
       CONSIDER WHATEVER YOU WOULD LIKE. I WOULDN'T -- HAD I BEEN
15
16
       ASKED, I WOULD NOT HAVE ALLOWED IT TO BE SAID THAT THE COURT
17
      HAD STRICKEN IT, BUT I WASN'T ASK AND I DIDN'T SAY THAT, AND I
18
      DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MIGHT HAVE SAID PRIVATELY TO ONE ANOTHER,
19
      BUT IT WASN'T CONFIRMED BY ME AS FAR AS I'M AWARE.
20
          SO, YOU MAY DRAFT SOMETHING.
               MR. PRICE: WE WILL. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
21
                THE COURT: SHOW IT TO THEM FIRST.
22
23
          OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE?
24
               MR. DINOVO: I'M CURIOUS TO HEAR WHAT ADOBE THINKS
25
       ABOUT THE TIME FRAME.
```

```
MR. REINES: I THINK -- WE CAN CONSULTED A LITTLE
 1
 2
      BIT.
 3
          I THINK WEDNESDAY, THURSDAY END OF EVIDENCE. IT'S ONE OF
       THOSE THINGS WHERE IT'S SORT OF CUSPY. ANYTHING CAN CHANGE
 4
 5
      FROM EITHER SIDE, BUT WE ARE THINKING, YOU KNOW, IT FLOPS INTO
       THURSDAY, OR IT ENDS, IF WE ARE ALL LUCKY, I GUESS, END OF
 6
 7
       WEDNESDAY. THAT'S THE BEST --
 8
                THE COURT: THAT SEEMS OPTIMISTIC TO ME, BUT THAT
 9
      WOULD BE FINE. THE JURY WOULD BE HAPPY AS WELL.
10
               MR. REINES: AS I TOLD OPPOSING COUNSEL, WE ARE GOING
11
       TO BE LOOKING TO MAYBE DROP AT LEAST ONE WITNESS AND MAYBE
12
       SKINNY DOWN ANOTHER. YOU KNOW, IT GETS TO THE END OF THE
13
      CASE...
          AND THEN JUST -- I KEEP GETTING NOTES -- THAT WE WANT A
14
15
       STATEMENT OF NO CONSULTATION WITH MR. PARR.
16
          IT'S NOT CLEAR TO US IF THAT'S A STANDING RULE IN THIS
17
       CASE OR A PER WITNESS RULE.
18
                THE COURT: I GENERALLY DON'T MAKE THAT RULE, BUT IF
19
      THAT'S WHAT YOU WOULD LIKE --
20
               MR. REINES: YES.
21
               MR. PRICE: WE HAVE NO INTEREST IN THAT RULE, YOUR
22
      HONOR.
23
                THE COURT: I'M SORRY?
24
               MR. PRICE: IF IT'S NOT A STANDING RULE, I DON'T KNOW
25
       WHY WE WOULD APPLY IT ANY DIFFERENTLY HERE.
```

THE COURT: IF PEOPLE WANT IT, THEY CAN HAVE IT. 1 2 DO YOU WANT TO CONSULT WITH HIM OVER THE --3 MR. PRICE: I DON'T KNOW. IT'S A THREE-DAY WEEKEND. THERE'S A LOT OF TIME THERE. 4 5 MR. REINES: YOUR HONOR, IT APPLIED TO US WHEN WE HAD WITNESSES MULTIPLE TIMES. THE COURT SAID THAT WASN'T THE 6 7 THING, AND WE SAID CAN WE INVOKE -- THE RULE WAS INVOKED. 8 THE COURT: WHAT RULE? 9 MR. REINES: WE UNDERSTOOD THAT THE COURT SAID IT 10 WASN'T THE STANDARD -- MS. MEHTA --11 MS. MEHTA: YES, YOUR HONOR. 12 DURING THE EXAMINATION OF DR. DEVANBU, THIS ISSUE CAME UP. AND YOUR HONOR SAID WE HAVEN'T HAD A DECISION AS TO WHETHER 13 14 THAT RULE IS GOING TO BE INVOKED OR NOT. YOU ASKED WHETHER I 15 WANTED IT TO BE, AND I SAID IT WOULD. 16 AND THEN FROM THAT POINT FORWARD, ADOBE ABIDED BY THE 17 RULES. FOR EXAMPLE, OUR TECHNICAL EXPERT, DR. WICKER, WAS ON 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION YESTERDAY AFTERNOON THAT CONTINUED TO THIS 19 MORNING. WE DID NOT CONSULT WITH HIM AT ALL OVER THE COURSE 20 OF THE EVENING. 21 SO WE THINK NOW THAT THE RULE HAS BEEN INVOKED, AND WE'VE 22 ABIDED BY IT, AND THEY HAVEN'T OBJECTED TO ITS INDICATION THAT 23 THEY NEED TO ABIDE BY IT AS WELL. 24 MR. PRICE: WE ARE OKAY WITH IT. 25 THE COURT: I WASN'T -- I DIDN'T REMEMBER WHETHER --

1	I WAS CONCERNED WHETHER IT WAS APPLIED TO EXPERTS OR
2	PERCIPIENTS. AND I DIDN'T REMEMBER WHETHER IT WAS A
3	PERCIPIENT IT HAD COME UP WITH BEFORE, IN WHICH CASE ONE MIGHT
4	ARGUE THAT AN EXPERT IS DIFFERENT, BUT IF IT WAS AN EXPERT
5	THAT IT CAME UP WITH BEFORE, THEN IT WOULD APPLY TO FUTURE
6	EXPERTS AS WELL.
7	MR. DINOVO: ONE FINAL POINT ON THAT, YOUR HONOR.
8	MR. PARR DOES LIVE ON THE EAST COAST, SO OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE TO
9	COORDINATE WITH HIM TO SOME DEGREE HIS FLIGHT BACK.
10	THE COURT: YOU CAN TALK TO HIM ABOUT LOGISTICS, YES.
11	MR. PRICE: JUST NOT HIS TESTIMONY.
12	THE COURT: CORRECT. YES.
13	MR. REINES: HAVE A GOOD LONG WEEKEND, THE WHOLE
14	STAFF.
15	THE COURT: OKAY.
16	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 1:33 P.M.)
17	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
18	I, DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL REPORTER FOR THE
19	UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY
20	CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE
21	RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
22	
23	Disn E. Skillman
24	DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR
25	CATURDAY AUGUST 30 2017